# Stellar populations in early-type Coma cluster galaxies – I. The data

Stephen A. W. Moore,<sup>1\*</sup> John R. Lucey,<sup>1</sup> Harald Kuntschner<sup>1,2</sup> and Matthew Colless<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Extragalactic Astronomy Group, University of Durham, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE

<sup>2</sup>European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Research School of Astronomy & Astrophysics, The Australian National University, Weston Creek, ACT 2611, Australia

Accepted 2002 June 5. Received 2002 May 29; in original form 2002 March 18

# ABSTRACT

We present a homogeneous and high signal-to-noise ratio data set (mean S/N ratio of ~60 Å<sup>-1</sup>) of Lick/IDS stellar population line indices and central velocity dispersions for a sample of 132 bright ( $b_j \leq 18.0$ ) galaxies within the central 1° ( $\equiv 1.26 h^{-1}$  Mpc) of the nearby rich Coma cluster (A1656). Our observations include 73 per cent (100 out of 137) of the total early-type galaxy population ( $b_j \leq 18.0$ ). Observations were made with the William Herschel 4.2-m telescope and the AUTOFIB2/WYFFOS multi-object spectroscopy instrument (resolution of ~2.2Å FWHM) using 2.7-arcsec diameter fibres ( $\equiv 0.94 h^{-1}$  kpc). The data in this paper have well-characterized errors, calculated in a rigorous and statistical way. Data are compared with previous studies and are demonstrated to be of high quality and well calibrated on to the Lick/IDS system. Our data have median errors of ~0.1 Å for atomic line indices, ~0.008 mag for molecular line indices and 0.015 dex for velocity dispersions. This work provides a well-defined, high-quality baseline at  $z \sim 0$  for studies of medium- to high-redshift clusters. Subsequent papers will use this data set to probe the stellar populations (which act as fossil records of galaxy formation and evolution) and the spectrophotometric relations of the bright early-type galaxies within the core of the Coma cluster.

**Key words:** catalogues – galaxies: clusters: individual: Coma (A1656) – galaxies: elliptical and lenticular, cD – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics – galaxies: stellar content.

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

Rich clusters provide a large sample of galaxies at a common distance. This makes them ideal laboratories for studying global correlations between the dynamical, structural and stellar population properties of galaxies in dense environments. One of the most important currently unsolved problems in observational cosmology though is the formation process and subsequent evolutionary history of early-type galaxies within such a rich cluster environment. These early-type galaxies constitute the dominant population of galaxies within rich cluster cores.

To date there have been many observational studies probing the evolutionary history of nearby cluster early-type galaxies (e.g. Bower, Lucey & Ellis 1992; Caldwell et al. 1993; Kuntschner & Davies 1998; Colless et al. 1999; Jørgensen 1999; Castander et al. 2001; Poggianti et al. 2001; Vazdekis et al. 2001). However, these studies have reached somewhat differing conclusions. Some of the significant results, particularly on the Coma cluster of galaxies (A1656), are discussed below in more detail.

Caldwell et al. (1993) (see also Caldwell & Rose 1997) obtained multifibre spectroscopy for 125 early-type Coma cluster galaxies from two 45-arcmin diameter fields: one centred on the cluster core  $(-21.4 \le B \le -17.6)$  and one centred 40-arcmin south-west (SW) of the cluster centre ( $-21.4 \leq B \leq -16.7$ ). They found that for  $B \leq -18.5$ , 11 out of the 28 galaxies (39 per cent) in the SW region are 'abnormal', as opposed to only three out of 68 (4 per cent) in the central field. They define 'abnormal' spectra to be spectra indicative of recent star formation ( $\leq 1$  Gyr) or nuclear activity (with CN/H8 < -0.5), analogous to the 'E+A' galaxies of Dressler (1987), Gunn & Dressler (1988) and MacLaren, Ellis & Couch (1988). A subsequent line strength analysis of the Caldwell spectra by Terlevich et al. (1999) confirms the previous results and demonstrates that the colour-magnitude relation in Coma is driven primarily by a luminosity-metallicity relation. These results imply an old, passively evolving cluster core, whilst the SW corner, possibly infalling to the main, older core of galaxies, shows a spread of stellar population ages.

Jørgensen (1999) observed 71 Coma cluster early-type galaxies ( $B \lesssim -19.2$ ) within the central 64 × 70 arcmin<sup>2</sup> region. She combined these observations with literature data to create a data set of 115 early-type galaxies with Mg<sub>2</sub>, H $\beta_{G}$  and  $\langle Fe \rangle$  Lick/ image

dissector scanner (IDS) index measurements (though there were only 68 with all of the indices measured). Using stellar population models and the Lick/IDS indices she found a low mean age and a sizeable spread in age (5.25 Gyr  $\pm$  0.166 dex) for a subsample of 71 early-type galaxies ( $B \leq -19.2$ ). She also observed a small spread in metallicity, [Fe/H] of  $+0.08 \pm 0.194$ . Taken at face value this result seems to disagree with the analysis of the spectra from Caldwell et al. (1993).

The SDSS team (Castander et al. 2001) observed a 3° field centred on the south-western part of the Coma cluster. They found 25 per cent of galaxies (49 out of 196 galaxies,  $B \leq -17.7$ ) showed signs of recent star formation activity, giving them a young luminosityweighted mean age. Their total population of galaxies therefore has a large spread in age. This result is in broad agreement with the findings of Caldwell et al. (1993) and Jørgensen (1999). However, since they used spectral morphological classification techniques it is difficult to relate their findings directly to the early-type galaxy subpopulation.

Poggianti et al. (2001) observed two  $32.5 \times 50.8$  arcmin<sup>2</sup> fields towards the centre and the south-western region of the Coma cluster. They found that for their sample of 52 early-type galaxies  $(-21.0 \le B \le -18.0)$ , 95 per cent (18 out of 19) of ellipticals were consistent with ages older than 9 Gyr, whilst 41 per cent (13 out of 32) of lenticulars had ages smaller than 5 Gyr (one lenticular was excluded from their analysis because it had strong emission lines). The early-type galaxies show a large metallicity spread (-0.7 to +0.4 in [Fe/H]). This more detailed study suggests that there are real differences between the formation processes of the ellipticals and lenticulars. However, the individual measurements are not actually contradictory with those of previous Coma cluster studies, but the study does imply that a better understanding of the sample selection is needed. It seems, that if a sample of early-type galaxies is incomplete then an overrepresentation of ellipticals would lead to the conclusion that there is a small spread in age, whereas a sample with a larger number of lenticulars would conclude the reverse. It is therefore important to understand the selection effects and to deal with the elliptical and lenticular morphological classes separately. The caveat to the findings of Poggianti et al. (2001) is that they are based upon data from both the core of the cluster and the southwestern region. Caldwell et al. (1993) previously showed that these parts of the cluster have *different* levels of star formation activity. This would affect conclusions based upon a conglomerate sample from the two regions (a sample with more galaxies from the SW region would have a larger age distribution than a sample with more from the core).

The different evolutionary histories of elliptical galaxies have previously been reported by Kuntschner & Davies (1998, see also Kuntschner 2000), who conducted a study of the small Fornax cluster (11 ellipticals and 11 lenticulars,  $B \leq -17.0$ ). They found a large spread in metallicity of -0.25 to +0.30 in [Fe/H] for the ellipticals, while the data are consistent with no spread in age suggesting an early formation epoch at ~8 Gyr. For the lenticulars, however, they found a large spread in age (1 Gyr to older than ~12 Gyr) and metallicity ( $-0.5 \leq$  [Fe/H]  $\leq$  +0.5). Overall, the mean metallicity of early-type galaxies increases with luminosity in the Fornax cluster.

Emerging from the studies discussed is a picture of a different stellar population evolutionary history of lenticular and elliptical galaxies within the cluster environment. Additionally, it can be seen that the growth of clusters caused by the accretion of small groups or mergers with other clusters will affect the stellar populations of the individual galaxies (demonstrated by the differences between the SW region and the core of the Coma cluster). It is also obvious that it is essential in all observational studies of galaxy evolution to build up homogeneous and complete, or at least representative, samples. Somewhat surprisingly this has not been done for the Coma cluster up to this date. This has been the cause of much disagreement and controversy in the study of galaxy stellar population ages and metallicities. A new study is therefore needed that does not suffer from these limitations and that can answer the question of the formation processes and subsequent evolutionary histories of early-type galaxies within a rich cluster environment. This is the aim of this study.

In this study we measure spectroscopic absorption-line indices to derive accurate luminosity-weighted mean ages and metallicities for the central stellar populations of bright early-type galaxies in Coma to probe their evolutionary history through an analysis of the 'noise' of galaxy formation. This study will also use several important early-type galaxy correlations to place further constraints on their evolution. The correlations that will be investigated are: the colour-magnitude relation (Faber 1973; Visvanathan & Sandage 1977; Bower et al. 1992), the Mg<sub>2</sub> line strength versus velocity dispersion relation (Terlevich et al. 1981; Burstein et al. 1988; Bender, Burstein & Faber 1993) and the fundamental plane (Djorgovski & Davis 1987; Dressler et al. 1987). These early-type galaxy relations provide a rich source of constraints for galaxy formation scenarios, probing their underlying physical mechanisms (Bower et al. 1992; Bender, Burstein & Faber 1992, 1993; Guzmán, Lucey & Bower 1993; Ciotti, Lanzoni & Renzini 1996; Bernardi et al. 1998).

This paper is the first in a series on the rich Coma cluster [early results can be found in Moore (2001) and Moore et al. (2001)]. In this paper (Paper I) we present the sample selection, spectroscopic reduction and final data catalogue. Section 2 describes the sample selection, Section 3 details the astrometry, Section 4 the observations, Section 5 the data reduction, Section 6 the corrections to the velocity dispersion measurements, Section 7 the measurement of stellar population absorption-line strengths, Section 8 the comparison with previous data and finally Section 9 presents the conclusions on the quality of the data. The companion papers (Moore et al. 2002a,b – hereafter referred to as Papers II and III) will use the data in this paper to measure stellar population mean ages and metallicities to probe the evolutionary history of the Coma cluster (Paper II) and combine the data with photometry to investigate in detail various spectrophotometric relations (Paper III).

# **2** SAMPLE SELECTION

Our aim was to construct a representative sample of the bright earlytype galaxy population in the central region of the rich Coma cluster in order to measure central velocity dispersions and stellar population line strengths. Furthermore, to minimize systematic errors we aimed to provide a large overlap with previous studies; we also aimed to obtain many repeat observations with high signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio to characterize the random errors. The sample was selected using first the Godwin, Metcalfe & Peach (1983) catalogue (GMP), which contains 227 galaxies ( $b_i \leq 18.0$ , equivalent to  $B \lesssim -17.7^1$ )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Taking the mean heliocentric redshift of the Coma cluster to be 6841 km s<sup>-1</sup> (this study) and assuming  $H_0 = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $q_0 = 0$ , no extinction and that the cluster is at rest with respect to the cosmic microwave background (i.e. no cluster peculiar velocity) yields a distance modulus of 35.68 mag.

Table 1. Sample selection.

Total number of galaxies in field	227
Number of galaxies with redshifts	223
Galaxies with cluster membership confirmed by redshifts	210
Confirmed cluster member galaxies with morphologies	158
Confirmed cluster member early-type galaxies	137

Notes: Only galaxies with  $b_j \leq 18.0$  and within a 1° field centred on the Coma cluster are considered.

within a 1° field centred close to the cD galaxy NGC 4874 (a small offset is applied to improve the AUTOFIB2/WYFFOS setup). Magnitudes  $(b_i)$  and colours  $(b - r \simeq B - R)$  for the galaxies were also taken from GMP. A magnitude limit of  $b_i \leq 18.0$  (this is the magnitude limit implied in all subsequent discussions herein) was chosen since this study aims to obtain high-quality data of bright early-type galaxies and this limit corresponds to  $\sim 2.1$  mag fainter than  $M_B^*$  [Beijersbergen et al. (2002), found best-fitting Schechter function parameters of  $M_B^* = -19.79^{+0.18}_{-0.17}$  and  $\alpha_B = -1.37^{+0.024}_{-0.016}$ for the central area,  $r < 245 h^{-1}$  kpc, of the Coma cluster]. This limit therefore samples the bulk of the early-type galaxy luminosity function with little contamination from dwarf galaxies (see Binggeli, Sandage & Tammann 1988 for a review); it also allowed high S/N ratio measurements ( $\geq 35 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ ) to be obtained within the observing programme time constraints (see Section 4). In addition to the complete GMP data set we also used 816 redshifts in the Coma cluster region (223 within the 1° field and with  $b_i \leq 18.0$ ) kindly provided by M. Colless (see also Edwards et al. 2002). The morphological typing for the galaxies was taken from Dressler (1980). Within the central 1° field there are 210 confirmed cluster members. A subsample of 158 galaxies have been classified by Dressler and 137 galaxies are of early-type morphology. The sample definition is summarized in Table 1.

Selection criteria are then applied to the sample of 210 Coma galaxies to prioritize their importance within the AUTOFIB2/WYFFOS multifibre configuration programme. This programme uses a weighting scheme to maximize the scientific return of any observations, with priorities from 9 (most important) to 1 (least important), and takes into account the limitations of the instrument (e.g. constraints on the minimum distance between fibres). The highest priority was given to galaxies with early-type morphologies and with previously measured velocity dispersions (the goal being to tie down the systematics of any measurements). The next highest priority was given to galaxies with early-type morphologies but without previous velocity dispersion measurements. Lower priorities are then given to those galaxies with no morphological types in Dressler (1980), with preference being given to the brighter galaxies. The lowest priority was given to late-type galaxies within the cluster. This prioritized sample was then passed to the multifibre instrument configuration programme. To increase the completeness of the observations of this sample (affected by constraints on fibre closeness and by there being only 126 available fibres), three different AUTOFIB2/WYFFOS field configurations were observed at the same position. The second field has the same priorities for the configuration programme as the first, except that the galaxies that were observed in the first field have a lower priority (two levels lower). Similarly, the third field also has reduced priorities for the configuration programme for the galaxies observed in the previous two fields. This technique increases the completeness and scientific return of the observations, whilst ensuring repeats between each of the three observed fields.

# **3 ASTROMETRY**

To determine our astrometry three Schmidt plates were used:

- (i) 10-min exposure plate (OR17491) taken on 1997 April 3;
- (ii) 30-min exposure plate (OR18041) taken on 1998 June 18;
- (iii) 85-min exposure plate (OR9945) taken on 1985 February 25.

The shorter exposure plates were specifically requested to measure accurate astrometry for the bright Coma galaxies. The plates were taken at the UK Schmidt Telescope using 3-mm glass with emulsion IIIaF and filter OG590. These Schmidt plates were scanned in using the SuperCOSMOS scanner at the Royal Observatory Edinburgh (Hambly et al. 2001). The data were then analysed and positions of all the programme objects determined by matching field star positions to the USNOA2 catalogue (Monet et al. 1997) and creating an astrometry solution for the plate. Table 2 lists the astrometry of the objects observed in this study together with the different names associated with each galaxy. Comparison (Fig. 1) with the Coma cluster astrometry of M. Colless (Edwards et al. 2002) confirms that our astrometry is accurate to  $\leq 0.3$  arcsec. This is sufficient for multifibre spectroscopy to be undertaken (cf. the 2.7-arcsec diameter of the WYFFOS fibres).

#### **4 OBSERVATIONS**

Observations were carried out over three half-nights between 1999 April 13–18 with the wide-field ( $1^{\circ} \equiv 1.26 h^{-1}$  Mpc at Coma with  $H_0 = 100 h \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ) multi-object spectroscopy instrument AUTOFIB2/WYFFOS and the H1800V grating on the William Herschel 4.2-m telescope (WHT). Table 3 summarizes the observation parameters.

Exposure times of typically  $6 \times 1650$  s per configuration were sufficient to obtain a high S/N ratio ( $\geq 35 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ ) on our programme objects ( $b_j \leq 18.0$ ). Each individual exposure on the brighter galaxies ( $b_j \leq 16.0$ ) was also long enough to achieve the same S/N ratio. Therefore, a large number of repeats both during a night and nightto-night were gathered, enabling a detailed treatment of the random and day-to-day systematic errors of our velocity dispersion and line strength measurements.

The completeness of the observations versus the sample defined in Section 2 is summarized in Table 4, Figs 2 and 3. These completeness calculations assume that the GMP catalogue is 100 per cent complete within the central 1° of the Coma cluster core down to the faint limit of this study; this is justified as at this magnitude limit missing blue compact dwarfs and potential problems of stellar contamination are at a minimum. High S/N ratio spectroscopic data of a homogeneous nature were collected for 73 per cent of the known Coma cluster early-type galaxies brighter than  $b_i = 18.0$ .

# **5 DATA REDUCTION**

# 5.1 Basic data reduction

The first step in the raw reduction was performed with the IRAF WYFFOS data reduction software (Pollacco et al. 1999). This software performs the following basic tasks: bias subtraction; aperture identification; scattered light correction; flat-fielding; throughput correction; fibre extraction; wavelength calibration (using an argon I lamp); and sky subtraction. Cosmic ray and night sky line removal were then performed using our own software. The wavelength calibration residuals had a median rms of 0.050–0.083 Å for the three field configurations.

 Table 2. Coma cluster astrometry for the 135 galaxies observed.

n1	n2	n3	n4	n5	type		$b_j$	b-r		RA (J2	2000)	D	EC (J20	)00)
d112		gmp4945		b40	Е	E+A	16.64	1.78	12	57	21.731	+27	52	49.75
d75		gmp4679		b91	<b>S</b> 0		16.13	1.91	12	57	46.139	+27	45	25.51
d201		gmp4666			SO		17.35	1.80	12	57	46.697	+28	8	26.77
d93		gmp4664		b39	<b>S</b> 0		16.26	2.06	12	57	47.296	+27	50	0.03
d74		9mp4656		b84	Е		17.62	1.82	12	57	47.863	+27	46	10.03
d210		gmp4648			Ē		15.97	1.88	12	57	48.658	+28	10	49.48
d110		gmp4626			S0/E		16.60	1.00	12	57	50.627	+27	52	46 34
d220	ngc4848	gmp1020			Scd		14 50	1.55	12	58	5 598	+27	14	33 31
<b>u</b> 220	inge to to	gmp4469		b79	bea		17.69	1.88	12	58	6.820	+20	34	37.09
d29		gmp4447		b78	F		17.02	1.00	12	58	9.688	+27	32	57.86
u2)		gmp4420		b75	Б		17.60	1.90	12	58	11 426	⊥27 ⊥27	56	23.85
4200		gmp4420		075	50		16.04	1.00	12	59	12 702	+ 29	10	57.20
d209		gmp4391 gmp4270		025	50		16.09	1.77	12	50	15.792	+20	10	22.25
u200		gmp4379		a35	30		10.08	1.02	12	59	18 202	+20	50	54.46
472		gmp4548	-th 102	h24	Б	E L A	17.77	1.50	12	50	10.205	+27	30	J4.40
u/5		gmp4541	10185	024	E	$\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{A}$	17.55	1.04	12	50	19.160	+27	43	45.05
4127	ngc4851	gmp4313		- 9	50		15.00	1.95	12	38 59	21.722	+28	ð 50	30.18
114	ngc4850	gmp4315		a8	E/S0	<b>F</b>	15.59	1.8/	12	38 59	21.828	+27	28	4.05
d44		gmp4255		064	50	E+A	16.57	1.//	12	58	28.386	+27	33	33.31
d225		gmp4235			50		16.80	1.53	12	58	29.503	+28	18	4.60
d161		gmp4230	rb241		E		15.19	1.87	12	58	30.202	+28	0	53.20
d59		gmp4209	rb188		E		16.90	1.85	12	58	31.596	+27	40	24.73
d182		gmp4200	rb243	a15	S0		16.84	1.72	12	58	31.908	+28	2	58.66
d43	ngc4853	gmp4156		b42	S0p	E+A	14.38	1.66	12	58	35.193	+27	35	47.00
d197	ic3943	gmp4130			S0/a		15.55	1.97	12	58	36.343	+28	6	49.46
d28		gmp4117		b83	E/S0		16.67	1.99	12	58	38.405	+27	32	39.09
		gmp4103	rb245				17.74	1.76	12	58	38.931	+27	57	14.11
		gmp4083	rb198	a9/b3	SA0		17.82	1.91	12	58	40.780	+27	49	37.41
		gmp4060	rb199				17.57	1.31	12	58	42.641	+27	45	38.71
d224		gmp4043			SO		17.19	1.77	12	58	43.903	+28	16	57.62
d91	ic3946	gmp3997		a57/b77	<b>S</b> 0		15.28	1.95	12	58	48.723	+27	48	37.72
d181		gmp3972	rb252	a2	<b>S</b> 0		16.52	1.87	12	58	50.767	+28	5	2.47
d72	ic3947	gmp3958		a74/b61	Е		15.94	1.91	12	58	52.102	+27	47	6.45
d90		gmp3943	rb209	a69	<b>S</b> 0		16.93	1.88	12	58	53.020	+27	48	48.51
d136		gmp3914	rb257		Е		16.57	1.81	12	58	55.254	+27	57	53.02
d71		gmp3882	rb214	a96/b44	<b>S</b> 0		16.97	1.85	12	58	57.638	+27	47	7.81
d42		gmp3879		b55	<b>S</b> 0		16.31	1.86	12	58	58.103	+27	35	41.06
d135		gmp3851	rb260		Е		16.98	1.86	12	59	0.068	+27	58	3.19
		gmp3829					17.44	1.85	12	59	1.590	+27	32	12.87
d194	ngc4860	gmp3792			Е		14.69	1.93	12	59	3.902	+28	7	25.29
d134	U	gmp3794	rb261		Е		17.37	1.98	12	59	4.143	+27	57	33.07
d108		gmp3782	rb262	a76	<b>S</b> 0		16.55	1.85	12	59	4.639	+27	54	39.69
d109	ic3960	gmp3733			<b>S</b> 0		15.85	1.89	12	59	7.948	+27	51	17.95
d69	ic3959	gmp3730		a19/b86	E		15.27	1.94	12	59	8.211	+27	47	3.10
		gmp3706	rb223				17.61	1.85	12	59	9.626	+27	52	2.71
d53		9mp3697	rb224	a50/b93	Е		16.59	1.87	12	59	10.302	+27	37	11.70
d159	ngc4864	gmp3664	10221	a58	Ē		14.70	1107	12	59	13,176	+27	58	36.55
d68	ic3963	gmp3660		uso	50		15.76	1 87	12	59	13 493	+27	46	28.73
d133	ngc/867	gmp3630		282	F		15.70	1.07	12	50	15 227	⊥27 ⊥27	58	1/ 88
u155	inge+007	gmp3588		b/3	Б		17.76	1.05	12	59	18 453	⊥27 ⊥27	30	19.00
		gmp3585		045			17.70	1.72	12	59	18 5/11	$^{+27}_{\pm 27}$	35	36.67
4107		gmp3585	rh6		Б		16.25	1 9 1	12	50	20 162	+ 27	52	0.56
d159		gmp3537	100 mb 7		E SO		17.20	1.01	12	59	20.102	+27	50	9.50
d105	maa 1960	gmp5554	107		50 E		17.20	1.//	12	59	21.393	+27	50 54	24.90
4105	ngc4809	gmp5510	-1-220		E		14.97	2.00	12	59	25.550	+27	34	41.09
4122		gmp3493	r0230		20		10.50	1.94	12	59	24.924	+27	44	19.93
d132		gmp348/	r013		50		10.03	1.88	12	59	25.320	+27	58	4.73
d157		gmp3484	rb14	- /	50		16.26	1.81	12	59	25.479	+27	58	23.72
d156		gmp3471	rb18	a56	E/S0		16.45	1.05	12	59	26.585	+27	59	54.69
d88	1c3976	gmp3423		a21	S0		15.80	1.95	12	59	29.393	+27	51	0.56
d87		gmp3403	rb234		Е		16.87	1.79	12	59	30.632	+27	47	29.31
d103	ic3973	gmp3400		a68	S0/a		15.32	1.88	12	59	30.823	+27	53	3.27
d155	ngc4873	gmp3367		a20	SO		15.15	1.91	12	59	32.781	+27	59	1.16
d130	ngc4872	gmp3352		a47	E/S0		14.79	1.78	12	59	34.110	+27	56	48.85
d129	ngc4874	gmp3329			cD		12.78		12	59	35.694	+27	57	33.62

# 386 S. A. W. Moore et al.

Table 2 – a	continued
-------------	-----------

n1	n2	n3	n4	n5	type		$b_j$	b-r		RA (J2	2000)	Γ	DEC (J2	000)
		gmp3298					17.26	1.79	12	59	37.838	+27	46	36.68
d104	ngc4875	gmp3296		a54	SO		15.88	1.96	12	59	37.904	+27	54	26.40
d154		gmp3291	rb38	a7	SO		16.41	1.78	12	59	38.304	+27	59	14.08
d153		gmp3213	rb45		Е		16.14	1.83	12	59	43.730	+27	59	40.84
d124	ngc4876	gmp3201		a66	Е		15.51	1.91	12	59	44.393	+27	54	44.97
d152	ic3998	gmp3170		a59	SB0		15.70	1.90	12	59	46.770	+27	58	26.13
d57		gmp3165		a4	S0/a		15.15	1.78	12	59	47.138	+27	42	37.32
u <i>o</i> ,		gmp3129	rb153		50/4		17 94	1 71	12	59	50 271	+28	8	40.61
		gmp3125	rb60				17.55	1.82	12	59	51,000	+20 + 27	49	58 78
		gmp3113	rb58				17.82	1.02	12	59	51.000	127	5	54.80
485		gmp3002	1050		F		17.62	1.51	12	50	54 870	+20	17	15.63
d102		gmp3092	rb155	016	E		16.42	1.39	12	50	55.005	+ 28	4/	42.05
d175	ngo/1992	gmp3084	10155	a10	SO		15.42	1.02	12	50	56.012	+ 28	2	42.21 5.00
d122	lig04005	gmp3073	rb61	a97	50		15.45	1.09	12	50	56.685	+20	55	19 15
u125		gmp5008	1004		300		10.47	1.95	12	59	57.000	+27	55	40.43
1017		gmp3058	rdoo		Б		1/./1	1./8	12	59	57.000	+28	3	34.47
d217	ngc4881	gmp3055	1.7.1		E		14.75	1.87	12	59	57.758	+28	14	48.02
		gmp3017	rb/l				17.91	1.65	13	0	0.936	+27	56	43.95
		gmp3012	1.1.60	<i></i>	a		17.49	1.83	13	0	1.530	+27	43	50.39
d216	100.5	gmp2989	rb160	a65	Sa	E+A	17.05		13	0	2.998	+28	14	25.16
d151	ngc4886	gmp2975		a95	Е		14.83	1.76	13	0	4.448	+27	59	15.45
		gmp2960	rb74		SA0		16.78	1.74	13	0	5.396	+28	1	28.24
d84		gmp2956		a51	<b>S</b> 0		16.20	1.98	13	0	5.503	+27	48	27.87
		gmp2942					16.34	1.73	13	0	6.263	+27	41	7.01
d65		gmp2945		a11	SO		16.15	1.77	13	0	6.285	+27	46	32.93
d150	ic4011	gmp2940		a86	E		16.08	1.82	13	0	6.383	+28	0	14.94
d174	ic4012	gmp2922			E		15.93	1.86	13	0	7.997	+28	4	42.89
d148	ngc4889	gmp2921			cD		12.62	1.91	13	0	8.125	+27	58	37.22
d207		gmp2912	rb167	a45	E		16.07	1.80	13	0	9.109	+28	10	13.49
d40		gmp2894			<b>S</b> 0		17.15	1.84	13	0	10.413	+27	35	42.20
d64		gmp2866		a94	E		16.90	1.79	13	0	12.629	+27	46	54.75
d122	ngc4894	gmp2815		a12	<b>S</b> 0		15.87	1.74	13	0	16.510	+27	58	3.16
d171		gmp2805	rb91	a17	<b>S</b> 0		16.57	1.78	13	0	17.024	+28	3	50.24
d206	ngc4895	gmp2795		a24	<b>S</b> 0		14.38		13	0	17.915	+28	12	8.57
		gmp2783					17.37	1.83	13	0	18.569	+27	48	56.09
		gmp2778	rb94		SB0/a		16.69	1.81	13	0	18.767	+27	56	13.52
d39		gmp2776			S0/E		16.17	1.89	13	0	19.101	+27	33	13.37
d170	ic4026	gmp2727		a23	SB0		15.73	1.77	13	0	22.123	+28	2	49.26
		gmp2721					17.50	1.82	13	0	22.376	+27	37	24.85
		gmp2688					17.71	1.87	13	0	25.165	+27	33	8.25
d27		gmp2670			E		16.45	1.88	13	0	26.833	+27	30	56.26
d147		gmp2651	rb100	a93	<b>S</b> 0		16.19	1.85	13	0	28.376	+27	58	20.77
d26		gmp2640			S0p		16.18		13	0	29.210	+27	30	53.72
d232	ngc4896	gmp2629			<b>S</b> 0		15.06	2.01	13	0	30.762	+28	20	47.12
d63		gmp2615			S0/a		16.97	1.90	13	0	32.508	+27	45	58.27
d83		gmp2603			SO		17.36	1.80	13	0	33.357	+27	49	27.44
d192		gmp2584		a61	S0		16.14	1.79	13	0	35.572	+28	8	46.15
d38		gmp2582			Sbc		16.20	1.74	13	0	35.709	+27	34	27.27
d118	ngc4906	gmp2541		a62	Е		15.44	1.98	13	0	39.753	+27	55	26.45
d145	ic4041	gmp2535		a42	S0		15.93	1.90	13	0	40.830	+27	59	47.81
d144	ic4042	gmp2516			S0/a		15.34	1.86	13	0	42.761	+27	58	16.87
d116		gmp2510	rb113	a64	SB0		16.13	1.90	13	0	42.825	+27	57	47.44
d231		gmp2495			<b>S</b> 0		15.78	2.09	13	0	44.226	+28	20	14.26
d191		gmp2489	rb116		<b>S</b> 0		16.69	1.77	13	0	44.629	+28	6	2.38
d117		gmp2457	rb119	a83	S0/a		16.56	1.88	13	0	47.383	+27	55	19.76
d168	ic4045	gmp2440		a6	É		15.17	1.85	13	0	48.631	+28	5	26.92
d205	ngc4907	gmp2441			Sb		14.65	1.74	13	0	48.804	+28	9	30.30
	0	gmp2421		a81			17.98	1.90	13	0	51.124	+27	44	34.43
d167	ngc4908	gmp2417			S0/E		14.91	1.87	13	0	51.525	+28	2	35.10
d62		gmp2393		a25	S0		16.51	1.90	13	0	54.217	+27	47	2.60
d143	ic4051	gmp2390			Е		14.47	1.82	13	0	54.457	+28	0	27.59
		gmp2385	rb122				17.62	1.82	13	Ő	54,769	+27	50	31.47
d50		gmn2355			SBa		16.56	1.81	13	0	58.371	+27	39	7.64
d98		gmp2347	rb124	a78	S0/a		15.85	1.91	13	0	59,262	+27	53	59.59
d81		gmp2252			E		16.10	1.85	13	1	9.215	+27	49	6.00

Table 2 – continued

n1	n2	n3	n4	n5	type	$b_j$	b-r		RA (J2	2000)	E	DEC (J20	00)
		gmp2251	rb128			17.35	1.79	13	1	9.435	+28	1	59.25
		gmp2201	rb129	a43	unE	16.86	1.85	13	1	13.616	+27	54	51.64
d79	ngc4919	gmp2157		a88	<b>S</b> 0	15.06	1.92	13	1	17.595	+27	48	32.95
	-	gmp2141	rb131			17.78	1.44	13	1	19.317	+27	51	37.94
d204		gmp2091			Е	15.99	1.75	13	1	22.767	+28	11	45.86
d142		gmp2048	rb133	a49	Е	17.06	1.94	13	1	27.147	+27	59	57.20
d78	ngc4923	gmp2000		a36	Е	14.78	1.93	13	1	31.794	+27	50	51.37
	-	gmp1986				17.91	1.78	13	1	33.817	+27	54	40.39

Notes. RA and DEC are given in J2000 coordinates. Columns 1–5 give the different names associated with the galaxy according to the following key: 'n1' names from Dressler (1980); 'n2' names from New General Catalogue or Index Catalogue (Dreyer 1888, 1908); 'n3' names from Godwin et al. (1983); 'n4' names from Rood & Baum (1967); 'n5' names from Caldwell et al. (1993) [a = Table 1(a), b = Table 1(b), 64 out of 125 galaxies in common]. Columns 6–8 are described as follows: 'type' morphological types from Dressler (1980) ['E+A' typing from Caldwell et al. (1993)]; 'b<sub>j</sub>' magnitudes from Godwin et al. (1983), accurate to  $\pm 0.15$ ; 'b – r' colours from Godwin et al. (1983), accurate to  $\pm 0.15$ . Note that  $b - r \simeq B - R$ .



Figure 1. Comparison of our astrometry with that of Colless (see also Edwards et al. 2002).

Individual spectra from a particular night were summed together to produce a spectrum of higher S/N ratio. Where galaxies were observed on multiple nights it was decided not to co-add the spectra but rather to treat each night as a separate measurement. This was because each spectra had sufficient S/N ratio to yield high-quality measurements without co-adding.

#### 5.2 Redshift and velocity dispersion measurement

Velocity dispersions,  $\sigma$  of the central 2.7-arcsec region of a galaxy (determined by the fibre diameter, equivalent to 0.94  $h^{-1}$  kpc) are measured using our own software which is based upon the well-known Fourier quotient method of Sargent et al. (1977). Recession velocities, cz, are obtained simultaneously with the velocity dispersions as a result of the Fourier quotient fit.

In the Fourier quotient method a galaxy spectrum is approximated by the convolution of a representative stellar spectrum with an appropriate broadening function. This function is then calculated in Fourier parameter space. Prior to transforming to Fourier space, continuum fits are subtracted (using a fifth-order polynomial) from both the stellar template spectrum and the galaxy spectrum, and modulated by a cosine bell function to fix the ends of the spectrum to zero. The latter step is necessary to avoid unphysical signals appearing at all frequencies in the Fourier transforms. These spectra then require filtering in Fourier space to remove signals arising from: noise, inadequate continuum removal, and the application Table 3. The principal parameters of the observations.

Telescope	WHT 4.2 m at ING, La Palma
Instrument	WYFFOS + AUTOFIB2
Field diameter	$1^{\circ} \equiv 1.26 \ h^{-1}$ Mpc at Coma
Unvignetted field	40 arcmin
Number of fibres	126
Fibre diameter	126 × 153 μm
	$(2.7 \operatorname{arcsec} \equiv 0.94 h^{-1} \operatorname{kpc} \operatorname{at} \operatorname{Coma})$
Positioning accuracy	Better than 10 µm (i.e. 0.18-arcsec rms)
CCD	Thinned Tektronix (TEK6), $1 \text{ k} \times 1 \text{ k}$
Pixel size	$24 \times 24 \ \mu m \equiv 0.93 \times 0.93 \ \text{\AA}$
Grating used	H1800V
Resolution	$\sim$ 2.2–4.0Å FWHM
Gain	1.7e <sup>-</sup> per ADU
Readout noise	5.6e <sup>-</sup>
Wavelength range <sup>†</sup>	4600–5600 Å
Number of nights	three half nights
Typical exposure time	$6 \times 1650$ secs
per configuration	
Date of observations	1999 April 13-18
Observers	Stephen Moore, John Lucey
Field centre (J2000)	12 <sup>h</sup> 59 <sup>m</sup> 32.9 <sup>s</sup> , +27°55′49″

Note: <sup>†</sup>The wavelength range varies by  $\sim$ 4 per cent because of the stepping of the fibres on the CCD.

**Table 4.** Completeness of observed sample of bright early-type galaxies  $(b_j \leq 18.0)$  within a 1° field centred on the Coma cluster all with cluster membership confirmed by redshifts.

Early-type galaxies	100/137 = 73 per cent
Galaxies with no morphologies	30/55 = 55 per cent
Late-type galaxies	5/18 = 28 per cent
Total number of galaxies observed	135/210 = 64 per cent

of the cosine bell. A cut is made at high frequencies to suppress channel-to-channel noise. The resulting  $\sigma$  values are fairly insensitive to the exact value,  $k_{high}$ , chosen for the high-frequency cut.  $k_{high} = 200$  has been used throughout. At low frequencies, a filter must be applied to remove residual continuum features and the effects of the cosine-bell modulation function described above. For the case of the low-frequency cut, results are found to exhibit a clear trend: velocity dispersions are measured to be smaller when  $k_{low}$  is larger. The cut-off frequency must therefore be chosen with



**Figure 2.** Luminosity function of observed galaxies overlaid on top of the luminosity functions of the sample defined in Section 2. The completeness function of the observed galaxies with respect to the galaxies with cluster membership confirmed by redshifts is shown at the top of the figure. Both apparent and absolute magnitudes are plotted along the *x*-axes; this assumes a distance modulus of 35.68 mag (see footnote 1).



**Figure 3.** Coma galaxies observed (solid points) overlaid on the total sample defined in Section 2, i.e. galaxies with confirmed cluster membership and with  $b_j \leq 18.0$ .

care. It is required that the low-frequency filter should remove the signal arising from the cosine bell modulation, whilst preserving intrinsic features in spectra of velocity dispersion  $\leq 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . For the spectra herein, these constraints leave a range of  $k_{\text{low}} = 6-9$  over which an average velocity dispersion is calculated.

In order for the recovered width to represent only the intrinsic velocity broadening of the galaxy spectrum, it is necessary to ensure that the stellar spectrum has been subject to the same instrumental resolution effects as the galaxy spectrum. This is done by observing standard stars throughout an observing run and comparing these spectra with the observed galaxy spectra. In this study, five radial velocity standard stars (stellar types G8 III to K3 III) were observed with a number of different fibres. Since these observed standard stars have small, but non-zero radial velocities it is necessary to first zeroredshift them. This is performed by applying the Fourier quotient method to calculate the radial velocity of the standard stars against a high-resolution (0.5 Å pixel<sup>-1</sup>), very high S/N ratio (~250–1000 Å<sup>-1</sup>) spectrum that has been precisely zero-redshifted through the identification of spectral features and then shifting them to their laboratory rest frame. Four spectra were kindly supplied by Claire Halliday (private communication, hereafter referred to as the Halliday spectra). These allow the standard stars to be zero-redshifted to an accuracy of  $\pm 6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

Redshifts and velocity dispersions were measured both from each galaxy exposure and from the combined galaxy exposures for each night the galaxy was observed. The redshifts are corrected to heliocentric redshifts and the results averaged (weighting by their S/N ratio) to yield a final value for a galaxy.

#### 5.3 Spectral resolution variation

Using any multifibre spectroscopy instrument introduces intrafibre and fibre-to-fibre variations in spectral resolution and throughput that necessarily have to be removed before an accurate analysis can be undertaken. These variations are caused by the optical performance of the telescope plus instrumentation setup both across the field and along the slit (where the fibres are fed into the spectrograph). These variations are found to be significant in the WHT/WYFFOS system and affect velocity dispersion and line strength measurements (though to a lesser extent, see Section 6). In this section we describe how these variations are quantified.

The variation in spectral resolution was mapped by analysing the calibration spectra taken on each night.<sup>2</sup> The arc lamps used for the calibration of spectra are located at the Cassegrain focus of the WHT. They shine their light on to the tertiary mirror from which it is then relayed to the fibres. These arc lamps allow an accurate map to be constructed of the vignetting caused by the IDS grating H1800V convolved with a function representing the vignetting of the telescope optics from the tertiary mirror onwards. Using this map it is possible to remove the majority of the overall vignetting, since any effects superimposed by the telescope optics prior to the tertiary mirror (caused by the primary and secondary mirrors) are significantly smaller than the dominant effects subsequent to the tertiary mirror.

The results of this mapping can be seen in Fig. 4. A clear and sizeable variation in spectral resolution is seen for each of the WYFFOS fibres. This effect is inherent in all telescopes as a result of the natural vignetting caused by their optical performance. However, it is exacerbated in the WHT/WYFFOS system by the mismatch between the smaller IDS grating H1800V used and the larger WYFFOS beam; this mismatch further vignettes the spectra observed in fibres at the top and bottom ends of the slit. The widths of the argon I calibration spectrum can be seen to vary from  $\sim 4$  Å at the end of the slit and at the edge of the field to  $\sim 1.7$  Å at the centre of the slit and at the centre of the field. This variation is a function both of fibre number (reflecting the position of the fibre on the slit and within the observed field) and of wavelength. These variations in linewidth will affect any velocity dispersion measurements (with a maximum error of 12 km s<sup>-1</sup> for  $\sigma = 100$  km s<sup>-1</sup>), but will not change the redshift measurements (there is no systematic shift introduced by this problem) and will have a minor effect on line strengths (since the spectra are broadened before measurement, see Section 7.2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It would have been preferable to use twilight sky flats to characterize the performance of the system, but these observations could not be obtained.



Figure 4. Dependence of argon I emission linewidth on wavelength and fibre number. Part (a, left-hand panel) shows the spectral resolution dependence on wavelength, whilst (b, right-hand panel) shows the dependence on fibre number. Each point corresponds to a spectral linewidth measurement. Part (a) has a second-order polynomial fit to the spectral resolution variation with wavelength for each fibre superimposed. In (b) the points are shaded to indicate the different linewidth measurements for a fibre. See the text for a more detailed explanation.

In principle, it is possible that the variable throughput of the fibres might play a role here, with a dependence of spectral resolution on the strength of an arc line. However, after further investigation this was ruled out. There could also be a further complication in that the tracking of the field centre on the Coma cluster during an exposure is not perfect, causing a drift in field position (and hence fibre position) relative to the target being observed. This drift, both during an exposure and between exposures, could introduce a time dependence into the mapping of the fibre characteristics. This effect was investigated by comparing the first and last spectra observed on a night and was found to be negligible ( $\sim 0.1$  Å) compared with the other effects discussed.

# **6 VELOCITY DISPERSION CORRECTIONS**

# 6.1 Modelling the effect of intrafibre and fibre–fibre variations

The first stage in removing the effect of any intrafibre and fibre–fibre variations is to fit a function to the spectral resolution variation. We fit second-order polynomials to the variation with wavelength for each fibre and each field configuration (see Fig. 4a). These functions can then be convolved with an ideal template spectrum and the result used to cross-correlate against that of an observed galaxy to find its dispersion with any intrinsic fibre variation removed. The problem with this method is in having a template spectrum of very high resolution that is not itself suffering from any internal or instrumental variation.

To counter this problem we have devised the following method. We use the Halliday spectra, which have been precisely zeroredshifted through the identification and then subsequent shifting of spectral features to their laboratory rest frame. These are the same spectra that were previously used to removed any redshift from observed standard stars in Section 5.2. These spectra will still suffer from some intrinsic variation (because of, for example, the telescope/instrument setup they were obtained with), but this is unimportant in the proposed method. These spectra are convolved with a particular fibre model in linear wavelength space, resulting in a 'template spectrum'. The new template spectra are then crosscorrelated against a mock galaxy created by convolving the original high-resolution spectra with a fibre model (not necessarily the same fibre) and broadening it by a fixed amount in logarithmic wavelength space (to simulate the Doppler broadening caused by a galaxy). A correction can then be calculated for each fibre configuration and each galaxy dispersion case:

dispersion correction = measured dispersion - true dispersion.

(1)

These corrections are then used to modify the real calculated dispersions that are calculated using template spectra observed on the night to cross-correlate against the galaxy spectra. This is done by subtracting the calculated correction from the measured value. In this way the 'true' (or best estimate) dispersion is derived, with any modifications owing to intrafibre and fibre–fibre variations removed. Some results of this method can be seen in Figs 5–7.

Figs 5 and 6 show the size of the velocity dispersion correction required versus galaxy fibre number for a range of template stars with different broadening factors (simulating the typical velocity dispersions of the observed galaxies) and different spectral resolution variation functions (simulating the variations introduced through observing a galaxy with different fibres). Ideally each plot should be a straight line, however, this is not the case because of the WHT/WYFFOS spectral resolution variations. A correction therefore needs to be applied to the velocity dispersion measurements of the observed early-type galaxies. This correction is a function of the fibre number that the galaxy was observed with and of the fibre number that the star used for the velocity dispersion measurement was observed with. The size of the correction is also a function of the velocity dispersion of the galaxy observed (Fig. 7), with larger velocity dispersions requiring a smaller correction. These corrections are typically small, but where an unfavourable pairing between galaxy fibre and standard star fibre occurs the velocity dispersion correction can be as large as 20 km s<sup>-1</sup> for low-velocity dispersion galaxies ( $\sigma \simeq 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ). However, for the typical velocity dispersions of the galaxies that we observed in this project ( $\sigma \sim$ 100 km s<sup>-1</sup> and greater) the corrections are not large, but are



**Figure 5.** Velocity dispersion measured versus galaxy fibre number for template stars observed with different fibres (template fibre numbers are given by the key at the top of the figure). Three plots are shown for the Halliday spectra broadened to 100, 200 and 300 km s<sup>-1</sup>. The dashed line separate from the others corresponds to velocity dispersions measured using a template star observed with fibre number 14 (which is close to the edge of the slit). This fibre suffers a large amount of spectral variation that greatly affects velocity dispersion measurements. Stars observed with different fibres (41, 53, 70, 74, 85) that are not close to the edge of the slit have a smaller variation in spectral resolution. This highlights how an unfavourable pairing between a galaxy fibre and a standard star fibre can lead to erroneous results. See the text.



**Figure 6.** Velocity dispersion correction versus galaxy fibre number for one template star observed with a particular fibre cross-correlated against a mock galaxy observed with different fibres and with various broadening factors (the different broadenings used are given by the key in the top right-hand corner of the figure, these are  $50-350 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ). See the text.

significant. As expected though, when a galaxy is cross-correlated against a standard star observed in the same field configuration and with the same fibre the velocity dispersion correction is zero.

This modelling of the effect of intrafibre and fibre–fibre variations results in accurate velocity dispersions for the galaxies, which are subsequently required during the Lick/IDS stellar population index measurement process (see Section 7.6). Bootstrap tests on the accuracy of this method using the high-resolution, very high S/N



**Figure 7.** Velocity dispersion correction versus measured velocity dispersion for each fibre in a particular field setup cross-correlated against a standard star observed with a particular fibre (in this case fibre number 41). The curves are constructed by taking a Halliday spectrum, convolving it with a previously computed broadening function for a given fibre number and then broadening it by a given velocity dispersion from 25 to 350 km s<sup>-1</sup>. This resultant mock galaxy spectra is then cross-correlated against a similar template spectra that has been convolved with a broadening function for a different fibre (matching a fibre number with which a standard star was observed during the run). The computed velocity dispersion is compared with the true velocity dispersion and a correction computed. A curve is then fitted to the variation of the velocity dispersion correction with measured velocity dispersion. This curve (overlaid on the plot) can then be subsequently used to correct an actual galaxy velocity dispersion measurement. Ideally all lines should be straight and coincident with a zero correction. See the text.

ratio stellar template spectra have shown that the random errors for the velocity dispersion corrections are  $\sim 1-2$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, demonstrating the success of this approach.

#### 6.2 Redshift and velocity dispersion data

Table 5 lists the heliocentric redshift (135 galaxies) and central velocity dispersion data (132 galaxies) for the galaxies observed in the Coma cluster in this study. The dispersions have been corrected for the field variations (Section 5.3). These data are average values (weighted by their S/N ratio) of all the measurements from the multiple exposures. Blank entries indicate a measurement was not possible.

The redshift errors are calculated by combining the error in the wavelength calibration in quadrature with the error resulting from the cross-correlation technique plus the template mismatching error (calculated through cross-correlating the galaxy spectrum against *different* stellar spectra) and an additional error component factor (calculated from the variance between multiple exposures on a galaxy cross-correlated against a single stellar spectrum). The median heliocentric redshift error is 12 km s<sup>-1</sup>.

The velocity dispersion errors are calculated by combining the error resulting from the cross-correlation technique in quadrature with the error resulting from template mismatching (calculated through cross-correlating the galaxy spectrum against *different* stellar spectra) plus an additional error component factor (calculated from the variance between multiple exposures on a galaxy cross-correlated against a single stellar spectrum). The median velocity dispersion error is 0.015 dex.

Table 5. Heliocentric redshifts and central velocity dispersions.

Table 5 – continued

							a / :	1	1
Name	type	S/N ratio	$cz_{\odot} (km s^{-1})$	$\sigma (\mathrm{km}\mathrm{s}^{-1})$	Name	type	S/N ratio	$cz_{\odot} (km s^{-1})$	$\sigma (\mathrm{km}\mathrm{s}^{-1})$
d26	S0p	53.5	$7396 \pm 12$	$71.5\pm9.4$	d225	SO	38.1	$5879 \pm 14$	$71.7\pm6.7$
d27	Е	41.3	$7762\pm12$	$107.4\pm3.6$	d231	SO	62.9	$7878 \pm 13$	$127.8 \pm 5.0$
d28	E/S0	57.9	$5974 \pm 12$	$103.5\pm4.5$	ic3943	S0/a	97.8	$6789 \pm 12$	$168.6 \pm 1.9$
d29	Е	33.9	$6973 \pm 16$	$63.1\pm8.6$	ic3946	SO	73.8	$5927 \pm 12$	$199.6 \pm 2.6$
d38	Sbc	38.8	$5084 \pm 12$	$71.3 \pm 14.4$	ic3947	E	93.6	$5675 \pm 12$	$158.8 \pm 2.1$
d39	S0/E	76.1	$5897 \pm 12$	$120.4\pm3.4$	ic3959	E	95.1	$7059 \pm 12$	$215.9 \pm 6.0$
d40	SO	47.0	$5597 \pm 12$	$72.9\pm6.2$	ic3960	SO	95.5	$6592 \pm 12$	$174.3 \pm 2.9$
d42	SO	80.7	$6016 \pm 12$	$147.1 \pm 7.0$	103963	SO	74.7	$6839 \pm 12$	$122.4 \pm 3.9$
d44	SO	55.7	$7533 \pm 12$	$55.4 \pm 11.5$	103973	S0/a	78.3	$4716 \pm 12$	$228.0 \pm 3.1$
d50	SBa	38.4	$5211 \pm 11$	$54.0 \pm 6.3$	103976	SO	105.8	$6814 \pm 14$	$255.2 \pm 6.4$
d53	E	80.2	$5742 \pm 12$	$128.4 \pm 5.4$	103998	SBO	75.5	$9420 \pm 12$	$136.9 \pm 4.9$
d57	S0/a	97.4	$8384 \pm 12$	$142.5 \pm 4.7$	104011	E	52.5	$7253 \pm 11$	$123.2 \pm 3.6$
d59	E	66.0	$6947 \pm 12$	$129.9 \pm 5.0$	104012	E	90.7	$7251 \pm 12$	$180.7 \pm 3.7$
d62	SO	51.9	$8359 \pm 16$	$126.2 \pm 10.9$	104020	560	80.3	$8108 \pm 12$	$132.2 \pm 3.0$
d63	S0/a	34.8	$6675 \pm 12$	$87.3 \pm 4.8$	104041	50 50/a	/0.0	$7088 \pm 12$	$132.5 \pm 2.3$
d64	E	50.5	$7010 \pm 12$	$80.9 \pm 5.6$	104042	50/a	07.8	$0371 \pm 12$	$170.0 \pm 3.3$
d65	SO	65.1	$6191 \pm 12$	$116.3 \pm 3.2$	104045	E	107.9	$6992 \pm 22$	$217.0 \pm 3.0$
d67	SO	52.3	$6039 \pm 12$	$150.8 \pm 2.0$	104051	E	30.1	$4994 \pm 12$ 7100 $\pm 16$	$228.8 \pm 2.3$
d71	SO	42.3	$6919 \pm 12$	$63.9 \pm 7.7$	ngc4848	Sca	40.7	$7199 \pm 10$	$100.8 \pm 7.4$
d73	E	49.2	$5440 \pm 12$	$73.5 \pm 5.5$	ngc4850	E/S0	105.0	$6027 \pm 12$ 7861 ± 12	$189.8 \pm 2.3$
d74	E	27.9	$5793 \pm 11$	$41.1 \pm 10.9$	ngc4651	50 50m	30.0 88 5	$7801 \pm 12$	$120.8 \pm 3.3$
d/5	S0	48.2	$6132 \pm 13$	$79.6 \pm 5.8$	ngc4855	SOP	00.J 76.6	$7070 \pm 12$ $7026 \pm 12$	$140.6 \pm 4.4$
d81	E	48.7	$5928 \pm 12$	$143.3 \pm 2.3$	ngc4860	E	/0.0	$7920 \pm 12$	$2/7.5 \pm 7.2$
d83	SO	31.3	$8184 \pm 12$	$37.5 \pm 9.8$	ngc4804	E	105.4	$0828 \pm 12$	$167.0 \pm 3.2$
d84	S0	46.8	$6553 \pm 11$	$120.6 \pm 3.5$	ngc4807	E	117.5	$4817 \pm 12$ $6844 \pm 12$	$208.3 \pm 2.0$ $202.1 \pm 4.4$
d85	E	42.4	$8251 \pm 12$	$65.0 \pm 5.8$	ngc4809	E E/SO	80.1	$0.044 \pm 12$ 7108 $\pm$ 12	$203.1 \pm 4.4$
d8/	E	63.2	$7770 \pm 12$	$94.0 \pm 4.7$	ngc4872	E/30	100.8	$7198 \pm 12$ 5818 ± 12	$217.8 \pm 3.4$ $176.0 \pm 1.8$
402	50	52.0	$5522 \pm 12$	$88.5 \pm 4.1$	ngc/87/	cD	64.4	$5010 \pm 12$ 7180 ± 12	$170.9 \pm 1.0$ $274.5 \pm 3.3$
d93	50	/8.4	$6063 \pm 12$	$136.3 \pm 4.9$	ngc4875	SO SO	887	$7180 \pm 12$ $8014 \pm 13$	$274.5 \pm 3.5$ 180.1 $\pm$ 4.3
d98	50/a	//./	$6868 \pm 12$	$130.0 \pm 5.4$	ngc/875	50 F	82.0	$6710 \pm 12$	$164.1 \pm 3.1$
d107	E	39.3	$6491 \pm 12$	$8/./\pm 3./$	ngc/881	E	94.7	$6730 \pm 12$	$104.1 \pm 3.1$ $103.0 \pm 4.0$
4110	50 50/E	00.8	$6424 \pm 12$	$115.9 \pm 3.2$	ngc4883	50	85.3	$8161 \pm 12$	$105.0 \pm 4.0$ $166.1 \pm 2.7$
d110 d112	50/E E	50.8	$0948 \pm 12$ 7422 $\pm 12$	$114.4 \pm 3.2$	ngc4886	E	41 7	$6377 \pm 12$	$153.8 \pm 2.8$
d112	E SDO	50.8 75 7	$7435 \pm 13$ 8427 + 12	$38.3 \pm 0.3$	ngc4889	cD	141.6	$6495 \pm 13$	$397.5 \pm 10.1$
d110 d117	5D0 50/a	28.2	$6437 \pm 12$ 8561 $\pm$ 12	$123.2 \pm 4.2$ 02 1 $\pm$ 4.9	ngc4894	50	55.0	$4640 \pm 12$	$856 \pm 38$
d122	50/a SP0	50.0	$301 \pm 12$ 7712 ± 12	$93.1 \pm 4.0$ 100.6 ± 2.2	ngc4895	S0	106.9	$8458 \pm 15$	$239.8 \pm 5.0$
d120	50	J0.0 46.7	$7712 \pm 12$ 7608 ± 12	$100.0 \pm 3.3$	ngc4896	50	67.7	$5988 \pm 18$	$164.0 \pm 2.6$
d134	50 F	40.7 63.7	$7098 \pm 12$ $7009 \pm 12$	$90.2 \pm 3.3$ 1267 + 22	ngc4906	E	91.4	$7505 \pm 12$	$175.0 \pm 4.4$
d135	E	36.8	$8323 \pm 12$	$120.7 \pm 2.2$ $100.2 \pm 3.9$	ngc4907	Sb	56.8	$5812 \pm 12$	$148.2 \pm 2.6$
d136	F	82.0	$5682 \pm 12$	$168.8 \pm 2.3$	ngc4908	S0/E	72.5	$8710 \pm 12$	$193.9 \pm 4.3$
d142	F	79.0	$7652 \pm 11$	$160.0 \pm 2.3$ $161.4 \pm 2.3$	ngc4919	SO	121.0	$7294 \pm 12$	$191.5 \pm 3.1$
d142	50	58.9	$7032 \pm 12$ $7713 \pm 12$	$107.7 \pm 2.9$	ngc4923	Е	109.0	$5487 \pm 12$	$198.3 \pm 3.5$
d153	E	52.7	$6684 \pm 11$	$127.9 \pm 2.7$	rb58		22.6	$7634 \pm 12$	$50.1 \pm 6.7$
d154	50	51.1	$6833 \pm 11$	$57.1 \pm 5.0$	rb60		34.7	$7895 \pm 12$	$57.1\pm6.8$
d156	E/S0	51.8	$6671 \pm 12$	$84.8 \pm 7.9$	rb66		30.7	$5822 \pm 11$	$43.0 \pm 6.4$
d157		74.8	$6107 \pm 12$	$131.5 \pm 2.4$	rb71		35.4	$6839 \pm 12$	
d158	SO	28.9	$6058 \pm 12$	$64.8 \pm 6.1$	rb74	SA0	32.2	$5899 \pm 11$	$63.8\pm4.8$
d161	Е	86.9	$7146 \pm 12$	$190.3 \pm 4.9$	rb94	SB0/a	28.7	$5283 \pm 12$	$57.6\pm6.4$
d171	<b>S</b> 0	81.0	$6135 \pm 12$	$127.5 \pm 2.9$	rb122		33.4	$7082 \pm 11$	$77.3\pm6.3$
d181	<b>S</b> 0	63.0	$6090 \pm 12$	$120.3 \pm 4.5$	rb128		36.0	$7013\pm12$	$150.3\pm2.4$
d182	<b>S</b> 0	44.0	$5702 \pm 12$	$120.2 \pm 2.3$	rb129	unE	58.2	$5852\pm12$	$89.9 \pm 4.6$
d191	SO	44.4	$6592 \pm 12$	$90.9 \pm 5.2$	rb131		20.5	$8209\pm12$	$45.7\pm11.3$
d192	SO	56.4	$5435\pm12$	$87.5\pm5.5$	rb153		22.9	$6780 \pm 12$	$51.6\pm6.9$
d193	Е	72.4	$7567\pm12$	$117.6\pm3.4$	rb198	SA0	31.1	$6177 \pm 12$	$54.8\pm5.7$
d200	SO	104.0	$7466 \pm 12$	$189.3\pm4.5$	rb199		21.2	$8476\pm46$	
d201	<b>S</b> 0	36.5	$6409 \pm 12$	$59.6\pm9.4$	rb223		64.0	$6916 \pm 12$	$94.4 \pm 3.6$
d204	Е	53.1	$7578 \pm 12$	$126.1\pm4.0$	rb245		25.1	$6009 \pm 11$	$47.6 \pm 6.1$
d207	Е	78.1	$6743 \pm 12$	$146.9\pm2.8$	gmp1986		13.3	$6591 \pm 12$	$22.8 \pm 17.8$
d209	SO	48.5	$7182\pm12$	$80.7\pm5.2$	gmp2421		28.0	$8132 \pm 13$	$30.0 \pm 38.6$
d210	Е	66.6	$7252\pm12$	$144.6\pm3.8$	gmp2688		30.1	$7261 \pm 12$	$58.8 \pm 4.7$
d216	Sa	43.5	$7684 \pm 12$	$71.5\pm13.0$	gmp2721		28.7	$7580 \pm 11$	$55.6 \pm 5.4$
d224	SO	42.2	$7597 \pm 12$	$59.5 \pm 6.2$	gmp2783		22.6	$5360 \pm 12$	$39.8 \pm 11.3$

Name	type	S/N ratio	$cz_{\odot} \ (km \ s^{-1})$	$\sigma \ ({\rm km} \ {\rm s}^{-1})$
gmp2942		47.1	$7542 \pm 12$	$149.9\pm2.8$
gmp3012		25.8	$8041 \pm 12$	$60.4\pm8.2$
gmp3298		28.5	$6786 \pm 12$	$51.3\pm8.3$
gmp3585		29.5	$5178 \pm 22$	$52.8\pm23.1$
gmp3588		24.1	$6033 \pm 13$	$55.5\pm7.2$
gmp3829		18.6	$8577 \pm 12$	$48.4 \pm 5.0$
gmp4348		29.2	$7581 \pm 12$	$56.3 \pm 18.8$
gmp4420		40.6	$8520\pm13$	$59.6 \pm 12.0$
gmp4469		15.8	$7467 \pm 12$	

Notes. These results are average values (weighted by their S/N ratio) of all of the measurements from the multiple exposures. Blank entries indicate a measurement was not possible. There are a total of 135 galaxies in this data table. Morphological types are taken from Dressler (1980). S/N ratio is measured at the centre of index Fe5270.

# 7 STELLAR POPULATION ABSORPTION-LINE STRENGTHS

One of the main goals of this study was to measure the luminosityweighted mean ages and metallicities of the dominant stellar populations within the core of bright early-type galaxies. To measure these ages and metallicities, we used the Lick/IDS system of line strength measurement and then compared the data with models (e.g. Worthey 1994). The principal line indices used were H $\beta_G$  (predominantly age dependent) and [MgFe] (predominantly metallicity dependent). This section details the measurement of these and other stellar population absorption-line strength indices in the wavelength range ~4600–5600 Å.

# 7.1 Flux calibration

It is first necessary to remove the overall instrument response function (IRF) from galaxy spectra prior to line strength measurement. Spectra are affected by: the response of the spectrograph optics; the response of the CCD; the response of the grating; atmospheric conditions; and airmass. These effects are removed by observing flux standard stars (see, e.g., Massey et al. 1988). Flux calibration of the galaxy spectra was performed by comparing the observed stars with their standard spectrum and computing the IRF, which was then used to transform the galaxy spectra continuum. Since the conditions these observations were performed in were not photometric, it is only possible to correct the galaxy spectra to some arbitrary flux units. However, this does not affect the shape of the spectral continuum nor the line strength measurements. To improve the calculation of the IRF a number of flux standard stars were observed during the run. The IRFs calculated from each star were then compared and a mean IRF derived using a technique that minimized their maximum absolute deviations (MAD).

It was not possible to measure flux standard stars down each fibre and for each field configuration because of the prohibitively long observing time required. This means that the computed IRF function is only an *overall* IRF and does not include fibre-to-fibre continuum shape variations.<sup>3</sup> This fibre-to-fibre IRF variation is,

<sup>3</sup>Note that the fibre-to-fibre throughput variations are already removed in the basic data reduction process.



Figure 8. Fluxed spectrum of galaxy NGC 4869 shifted to its rest frame wavelength. The Lick/IDS features present in the spectrum are shaded.

however, small and would only affect line strength measurements at the level of < 0.1 Å.

A typical flux calibrated galaxy spectrum is shown in Fig. 8.

#### 7.2 Lick/IDS system

Absorption-line strengths were measured using the Lick/IDS system of indices, where a central feature bandpass is flanked on either side by pseudo-continuum bandpasses (see Trager et al. 1998 for details). The Lick/IDS system is based upon spectra with a mean resolution of 9 Å (Fig. 9). The spectra in this study need to be broadened to the same resolution to measure indices on the Lick/IDS system. This is done by calculating a transformation function using the known resolution function of a galaxy spectrum (Section 5.3) and the Lick/IDS resolution function (see Fig. 9 or Worthey & Ottaviani 1997):

$$FWHM_{trans}(\lambda)^{2} = FWHM_{Lick}(\lambda)^{2} - FWHM_{gal}(\lambda)^{2}.$$
 (2)

The spectrum is then broadened in linear wavelength space using a sliding Gaussian smoothing function derived from this transformation function.

#### 7.3 Line strength measurement

Indices were measured with our own software by first zeroredshifting galaxy spectra to the laboratory rest frame using the previously measured heliocentric redshifts corrected back to the geocentric rest frame. Then the mean height in each of the two pseudo-continuum regions was determined in either side of the feature bandpass, and a straight line drawn through the mid-point of each one. The difference in flux between this line and the observed spectrum within the feature bandpass determines the index. For narrow features, the indices are expressed in angstroms (Å) of equivalent width (EW); for broad molecular bands, in magnitudes. Specifically, the average pseudo-continuum flux level is

$$F_{\rm P} = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \frac{F_{\lambda}}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} d\lambda, \qquad (3)$$



Figure 9. Comparison of the variation in FWHM with wavelength for this study and for the Lick/IDS system. The mean resolution of this study is  $\sim 2.2$  Å (see Fig. 1), whereas the mean resolution of the Lick/IDS system is 9 Å. This Lick/IDS resolution varies to values 30 per cent higher at the ends of the spectra. To measure line strengths on the Lick/IDS system it is necessary to broaden any higher resolution spectra to the same resolution (Worthey & Ottaviani 1997).

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are the wavelength limits of the pseudo-continuum sideband. If  $F_{C\lambda}$  represents the straight line connecting the midpoints of the blue and red pseudo-continuum levels, an equivalent width is then

$$\mathrm{EW} = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \left( 1 - \frac{F_{I\lambda}}{F_{C\lambda}} \right) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda, \tag{4}$$

where  $F_{l\lambda}$  is the observed flux per unit wavelength and  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are the wavelength limits of the feature passband. Similarly, an index measured in magnitudes is

$$mag = -2.5 \log_{10} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \right) \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \frac{F_{I\lambda}}{F_{C\lambda}} d\lambda \right].$$
(5)

These definitions, after Trager et al. (1998), differ slightly from those used in Burstein et al. (1984) and Faber et al. (1985) for the original 11 IDS indices. In the original scheme, the continuum was taken to be a horizontal line over the feature bandpass at the level  $F_{C\lambda}$ taken at the midpoint of the bandpass. This flat rather than sloping continuum would induce erroneous small, systematic shifts in the feature strengths.

An example of the measurement of the Mg<sub>b</sub> index for galaxy NGC 4869, an elliptical galaxy with  $b_j = 14.97$  and  $\sigma = 203$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, is shown in Fig. 10.

#### 7.4 Indices measured

Table 6 presents the stellar population absorption-line indices measured in this work. Column 5 is after the work of Tripicco & Bell (1995), who modelled the Lick/IDS system using synthetic stellar spectra. They found that many of the Lick/IDS indices do not, in fact, measure the abundances of the elements for which they are named. The following composite indices were also measured in our study:

$$\langle \text{Fe} \rangle = \frac{\text{Fe5270} + \text{Fe5335}}{2} \tag{6}$$



**Figure 10.** Measurement of Mg<sub>b</sub> index for galaxy NGC 4869 (an elliptical galaxy with  $b_j = 14.97$  and  $\sigma = 203$  km s<sup>-1</sup>). The fluxed spectrum at rest wavelength is overlaid with the spectrum transformed to the Lick/IDS system spectral resolution (solid line). The two pseudo-continuum bandpasses are marked either side of the Mg<sub>b</sub> feature (also marked); the mean level at the mid-point of the two pseudo-continuum bandpasses are joined by a straight line and the flux in the index feature relative to this line computed.

$$[MgFe] = \sqrt{Mg_b \times \langle Fe \rangle}.$$
(7)

# 7.5 Signal-to-noise ratio

Our goal was to measure high signal-to-noise ratio line strength indices to probe the age and metallicity structure of the Coma cluster early-type galaxy population. We therefore measured a S/N ratio at the central rest wavelength of *each* line index investigated in this study. The line indices H $\beta_G$  and [MgFe] have a mean S/N ratio for their combined exposures of 58.7 and 66.7 Å<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, if a minimum cut-off of S/N ratio  $\geq$  35 Å<sup>-1</sup> is applied. This minimum S/N ratio cut-off is chosen to keep the errors of the line indices small in subsequent stellar population analyses. Fig. 11 shows the distribution of the S/N ratio for our sample as measured at the H $\beta_G$  index.

# 7.6 Line index velocity dispersion correction

The observed spectrum of a galaxy is the convolution of the integrated spectrum of its stellar population with the instrumental broadening and the distribution of line-of-sight velocities of the stars (parametrized by the velocity dispersion measurement). The broadening of the spectra generally causes the indices to appear weaker than they are intrinsically.

To probe the stellar population of a galaxy it is necessary to remove the effects of the instrumental and velocity dispersion broadening. This gives an index measurement corrected to zero-velocity dispersion. This was done by using the spectra of standard stars that were observed during the run. These stellar spectra were convolved in logarithmic wavelength space with a Gaussian function of widths 0–460 km s<sup>-1</sup> (in steps of 20 km s<sup>-1</sup>) to simulate the velocity dispersion broadening within a galaxy. They were then converted

Name	Index bandpass (Å)	Pseudocontinua (Å)	Units	Measures	Source
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
C4668 <sup>†</sup>	4634.000-4720.250	4611.500-4630.250	Å	C,(O),(Si)	Lick
		4742.750-4756.500			
$H\beta$	4847.875-4876.625	4827.875-4847.875	Å	$H\beta$ ,(Mg)	Lick
		4876.625-4891.625		,	
Fe5015	4977.750-5054.000	4946.500-4977.750	Å	(Mg),Ti,Fe	Lick
		5054.000-5065.250			
Mg <sub>1</sub>	5069.125-5134.125	4895.125-4957.625	mag	C,Mg,(O),(Fe)	Lick
-		5301.125-5366.125	-	-	
Mg <sub>2</sub>	5154.125-5196.625	4895.125-4957.625	mag	Mg,C,(Fe),(O)	Lick
		5301.125-5366.125			
Mg <sub>b</sub>	5160.125-5192.625	5142.625-5161.375	Å	Mg,(C),(Cr)	Lick
		5191.375-5206.375			
Fe5270	5245.650-5285.650	5233.150-5248.150	Å	Fe,C,(Mg)	Lick
		5285.650-5318.150			
Fe5335	5312.125-5352.125	5304.625-5315.875	Å	Fe,(C),(Mg),Cr	Lick
		5353.375-5363.375			
Fe5406	5387.500-5415.000	5376.250-5387.500	Å	Fe	Lick
		5415.000-5425.000			
$H\beta_G$	4851.320-4871.320	4815.000-4845.000	Å	$H\beta$ ,(Mg)	González (1993), p. 116
		4880.000-4930.000			Jørgensen (1997)
Fe4930	4903.000-4945.500	4894.500-4907.000	Å	Fe I,Ba II,Fe II	González (1993), p. 34
		4943.750-4954.500			
[OIII]1	4948.920-4978.920	4885.000-4935.000	Å	[O III]	González (1993), p. 116
		5030.000-5070.000			-
[О III] <sub>2</sub>	4996.850-5016.850	4885.000-4935.000	Å	[O III]	González (1993), p. 116
		5030.000-5070.000			
[O III] <sub>hk</sub>	4998.000-5015.000	4978.000-4998.000	Å	[O III]	Kuntschner (2000)
		5015.000-5030.000			

Table 6. Stellar population analysis spectral line index definitions.

Notes. <sup>†</sup>Worthey (1994) called this index Fe4668. In publications after 1995 this index is called  $C_24668$ , because it turned out to depend more on carbon than on iron.



**Figure 11.** A histogram of the S/N ratio  $Å^{-1}$  of the stellar population absorption-line index  $H\beta_G$  measured from each galaxy spectrum. The bold vertical line indicates a S/N ratio of 35 Å<sup>-1</sup>. The left-hand panel (a) shows combined exposures only, and the right-hand panel (b) shows the individual and combined exposures.

in linear wavelength space to the Lick/IDS resolution using the method detailed in Section 7.2. Index strengths were measured for each spectrum. These values were compared with the values measured from the zero-velocity dispersion stellar spectra that have also been transformed to the Lick/IDS resolution. A correction function versus velocity dispersion for each line index was then computed by calculating the difference between the broadened value and the zero-velocity dispersion value and then dividing it by the zero-velocity dispersion value. For each line index, a second-order polynomial was fitted to the correction function data from all the observed standard stars. This function was then evaluated at the

velocity dispersion of each observed galaxy and the measured line index value for that galaxy corrected to a zero-velocity dispersion value. After Kuntschner (2000), stars with low H $\beta$  (<1.6 Å), which are unrepresentative of bright elliptical galaxies, are excluded from the analysis.

The correction functions for the line indices  $Mg_b$  and  $H\beta_G$  are shown in Fig. 12. Table 7 gives the polynomial coefficients for each line index correction function and the size of the correction for a galaxy with a velocity dispersion,  $\sigma$  of 200 km s<sup>-1</sup>. The corrections are multiplicative except for the Mg<sub>1</sub> and Mg<sub>2</sub> indices where the corrections are additive (since they are measured in magnitudes rather than in equivalent widths).

#### 7.7 Emission correction

An important issue when estimating ages and metallicities from line strength indices is nebular emission. Elliptical galaxies normally contain much less dust and ionized gas than spirals, and were regarded as dust- and gas-free for a long time. Surveys of large samples of early-type galaxies (Caldwell 1984; Phillips et al. 1986; Goudfrooij et al. 1994) have revealed, however, that 50–60 per cent of the galaxies show weak optical emission lines. The measured emission-line strengths of [O II], [H $\alpha$ ] and [N II]  $\lambda$ 6584 indicate a presence of only 10<sup>3</sup>–10<sup>5</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> of warm ionized gas in the galaxy centre. Additionally, *Hubble Space Telescope (HST)* images of nearby bright early-type galaxies revealed that approximately 70–80 per cent show dust features in the nucleus (van Dokkum & Franx 1995). Stellar absorption-line strength measurements can be severely



**Figure 12.** Velocity dispersion correction functions for the Mg<sub>b</sub> and H $\beta_G$  line indices. Each point corresponds to an index correction calculated from broadening an observed star and comparing the index measured from that spectrum with that from the unbroadened spectrum. The second-order polynomial fit to the data is superimposed on the plot.

affected if there is emission present in the galaxy (e.g. González 1993; Goudfrooij & Emsellem 1996): nebular H $\beta$  emission on top of the integrated stellar H $\beta$  absorption weakens the H $\beta$  index and leads therefore to incorrectly older age estimates.

In the González (1993) study of bright elliptical galaxies in groups and clusters, he noted that [O III] emission at 4959 and 5007 Å are clearly detectable in approximately half of the nuclei in his sample and that most of these galaxies also have detectable H $\beta$  emission (see his fig. 4.10). For galaxies in his sample with strong emission, H $\beta$  is fairly tightly correlated with [O III] such that

$$\frac{\mathrm{H}\beta \text{ emission}}{\mathrm{[O \ III]}} \sim 0.7. \tag{8}$$

A statistical correction of

$$\Delta H\beta = 0.7[O \text{ III}] \tag{9}$$

was therefore added to  $H\beta$  to correct for this residual emission.

Trager et al. (2000a,b) re-examined the accuracy of this correction by studying H $\beta$ /[O III] among the González (1993) galaxies, supplemented by additional early-type galaxies from the emissionline catalogue of Ho, Filippenko & Sargent (1997). The sample was restricted to include only normal, non-active galactic nuclei (AGN) Hubble types E–S0 and well-measured objects with H $\alpha$  > 1.0 Å. For 27 galaxies meeting these criteria, they found that H $\beta$ /[O III] varies from 0.33 to 1.25, with a median value

Table 7. Velocity dispersion correction polynomial coefficients.

Index	Correction a0	$n = a0 + a1 \cdot \sigma$ $a1$	$+ a2 \cdot \sigma^2$ a2	Correction at $\sigma = 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$
C4668	9.994e-01	-5.779e-06	9.102e-07	× 1.035
Fe4930	9.945e-01	1.087e-04	4.743e-06	× 1.206
Fe5015	9.893e-01	3.144e-04	1.494e-06	× 1.112
Fe5270	9.914e-01	2.538e-04	1.553e-06	× 1.104
Fe5335	1.001e+00	-7.494e-05	5.355e-06	$\times$ 1.200
Fe5406	1.007e + 00	-2.662e-04	5.744e-06	× 1.184
$H\beta$	1.003e+00	-6.225e-05	7.326e-07	$\times 1.020$
$H\beta_G$	9.994e-01	-1.935e-05	1.007e-06	× 1.036
Mg <sub>1</sub>	-4.380e-04	1.154e-05	2.233e-08	+0.0028
Mg <sub>2</sub>	2.811e-05	1.888e-06	3.147e-08	+0.0017
Mg <sub>b</sub>	9.963e-01	4.038e-05	2.034e-06	$\times$ 1.086

Note: The final column gives the correction for a  $\sigma = 200$  km s<sup>-1</sup> galaxy as an example of the scale of the correction necessary.

of 0.60. They suggest that a better correction coefficient in equation (9) is 0.6 rather than 0.7:

$$\Delta H\beta = 0.6[O \text{ III}],\tag{10}$$

implying that the average galaxy in the González (1993) sample is slightly overcorrected. For a median [O III] strength through the González (1993)  $r_e/8$  aperture of 0.17 Å, the error caused by this correction difference would be 0.02 Å or ~3 per cent in age. This systematic error for a typical galaxy is negligible compared with other sources of error.

In this study we adopt the 0.6 multiplicative factor to correct the  $H\beta$  index for nebular emission using the [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007 emissionline strength. Whilst there is evidence that this correction factor is uncertain for individual galaxies (Mehlert et al. 2000), it is good in a statistical sense for the study sample. After Kuntschner (2000), we adopt a slightly different definition of the [O III] emission-line strength index bandpasses that we have found better measures the true [O III] emission. After the Lick/IDS system of measuring line indices, we define the feature bandpass to be 4998-5015 Å and the continuum side bandpasses to be 4978-4998 and 5015-5030 Å. This new definition does not affect the conclusions of Trager et al. (2000a,b) nor González (1993) on the relationship of [O III] to H $\beta$  emission. To further improve the measurement of the [O III] emission-line strength in this study, we measure our best estimate of the emission by first subtracting a zero-emission template from a galaxy spectrum and then measuring the residual equivalent width. The zero-emission template is simply a standard star. The process is repeated for a set of zero-emission templates and an average [O III] emission-line strength calculated. An example of this process is shown in Fig. 13.



Figure 13. Example of our [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007 emission-line strength measurement. Galaxy NGC 4850, type E/S0, is shown. The top part of the figure shows the fluxed galaxy spectrum (arbitrary units) overlaid with a zero-emission template (thick line) the continuum of which has been matched to the galaxy by minimizing the maximum absolute deviation between the two spectra. The vertical line marks the centre of the [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007 feature, whilst the dashed horizontal bar at the very top marks the continuum side bandpasses and width of the feature. The bottom part of the figure shows the difference between the galaxy and the zero-emission template (a standard star) overlaid with the difference broadened to the Lick resolution (thick line). The spectrum shows clear [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007 emission.



**Figure 14.** Summary of [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007 emission-line strength measurements. A total of 50 galaxies (shown in a darker shade on the graph) were found to have 1 $\sigma$  evidence of [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007 emission, with a median emission of 0.228 Å (marked as a dashed line on the graph) giving a median H $\beta$  correction of 0.137 Å. The H $\beta$  correction is calculated separately for each galaxy using equation (10) and our best estimate of the [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007 emission for that galaxy.

A total of 50 galaxies were found to have  $1\sigma$  evidence of [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007 emission, with a median emission of 0.228 Å giving a median H $\beta$  correction of 0.137 Å (see Fig. 14). The H $\beta$  correction is calculated separately for each galaxy using equation (10) and our best estimate of the [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007 emission for that galaxy.

#### 7.8 Line strength index errors

The line index measurement errors were calculated by internal comparison during a night and between nights. With the large amount of multiple observations with different fibre configurations and high S/N ratio data this allows accurate mapping of the random and some of the systematic errors.

The method assumes that the errors have an underlying Gaussian nature and exploits the central limit theorem. First, it is necessary to compute the difference between the multiple line index measurements taken during a night to the 'true' line index measurement, taken to be the measurement from the combined exposure for that night. To prevent any contaminating systematics, only exposures from a particular night were compared. In this way we mapped the random errors as a function of galaxy S/N ratio up to a maximum S/N ratio governed by the individual exposures. To extend this random error mapping to a higher S/N ratio limit, we used the fact that a number of galaxies were observed every night during the observing run and further compared the line index measurement from the combined exposure for a night with the mean line index measurement from all of the nights, taken here to be the 'true' measurement as before. This mapping to higher S/N ratios is only done for galaxies observed on all nights (often with different fibres because of the different field fibre configurations) to minimize any systematic error contamination of the random error mapping.

Once we obtained the dependence of the random errors with S/N ratio for a particular line index, we deduced the error function for that index. The error function is calculated by binning the data by S/N ratio from 5 to 35 S/N ratio  $Å^{-1}$  with bin widths of 3 S/N



Figure 15. Error calculation plots for the Mg<sub>b</sub> and H $\beta_G$  line indices.

ratio Å<sup>-1</sup> (the lower limit is to exclude very low S/N ratio spectra that would contaminate the derivation of the error function). These bins were then analysed and a standard deviation computed for each bin. For spectra with a S/N ratio greater than 35 Å<sup>-1</sup> binning is no longer used to prevent contamination by small-number statistics. Instead a standard deviation was computed for the differences for all galaxies with a S/N ratio greater than 35  $Å^{-1}$  and then this lower limit was incremented by the bin width and the standard deviation re-computed. This process was repeated up to a maximum S/N ratio of  $120 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ . This procedure results in a data set of standard deviation versus S/N ratio. A fourth-order polynomial was then fitted to the natural log of the variation of standard deviation with S/N ratio (the function is fitted to the natural logarithm of the data to simply fit a smoother function to the data, without introducing any erroneous high-order fluctuations). Fig. 15 shows the error calculation plots for the Mg<sub>b</sub> and H $\beta_{G}$  line indices.

The computed error function versus S/N ratio was subsequently used to calculate the errors for all of the line index measurements.

To test the correctness of the error determination the central limit theorem was exploited to perform a scale test on the data. If the errors computed are appropriate then the following function will have a standard deviation equal to unity:

scale test parameter

$$= \frac{\text{line index measurement} - \text{true line index value}}{\text{line index error}}.$$
(11)

This scale test was performed on all data with a S/N ratio greater than 10 Å<sup>-1</sup> to prevent any contamination by very low S/N ratio measurements. In our case the true line index value is equal to the mean line index value. It is therefore necessary to include the error on the mean in the line index error. Table 8 includes the results of the scale test for each line index measured. The scale test parameter does indeed have a standard deviation approximately equal to unity (apart from the Fe5406 index), showing that the errors calculated are truly representative. For the Fe5406 index, the scale test implies that the median error should be 0.194 Å. A possible explanation for the difference between our error estimate and the conclusion of the scale test is the proximity of the index to the end of the wavelength range. In a conservative approach we adopt a final error for Fe5406 scaled by a factor 1.647.

In addition to the scale test, we conducted an internal systematic error analysis. A mean difference was calculated for data with a S/N ratio  $\geq 10 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  (the same low S/N ratio cut-off used in the scale test), however, only the central 68.3 per cent of this sample (i.e.  $1\sigma$  clipping) were used so that the effect of any rogue outliers in the sample distribution was minimized. The conclusion of this analysis was that there are no internal systematic errors either during a night or between nights.

		Median	Systematic	Scale test
Index	Ngals	error	error	result
C4668	75	0.638 Å	–0.007 Å	1.052
Fe4930	97	0.160 Å	–0.002 Å	1.047
Fe5015	101	0.729 Å	–0.001 Å	0.892
Fe5270	109	0.136 Å	–0.002 Å	1.062
Fe5335	109	0.180 Å	0.002 Å	1.007
Fe5406	54	0.118 Å	–0.000 Å	1.647
$H\beta$	95	0.106 Å	–0.002 Å	1.104
$H\beta_G$	95	0.103 Å	–0.001 Å	1.042
(Fe)	109	0.114 Å	0.002 Å	1.035
Mg <sub>1</sub>	103	0.0090 mag	-0.0002 mag	0.904
Mg <sub>2</sub>	102	0.0066 mag	-0.0001 mag	0.953
Mgb	103	0.123 Å	0.000 Å	1.033
[MgFe]	109	0.085 Å	–0.006 Å	1.034
[О III] <sub>1</sub>	99	0.203 Å	0.001 Å	0.979
[О III] <sub>2</sub>	101	0.122 Å	0.003 Å	1.026
$[O {\rm III}]_{hk}$	93	0.075 Å	–0.002 Å	1.048

Notes. Median errors for all data with a S/N ratio  $\geq 35 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  are shown. The results of an internal systematic error analysis and of the scale test check are also included (see text). There are no internal systematic errors during a night nor between nights. The only significant scale test result is that for Fe5406; this result implies that the median error should be 0.194 Å.

#### 7.9 Lick/IDS index absorption-line strength data

Table 9 lists the Lick/IDS index absorption-line strength data for 132 galaxies observed in the Coma cluster in this study. The three remaining galaxies (RB 71, RB 199, GMP 4469) had insufficient S/N ratio to permit any line strength measurement. Missing values in the table indicate either that the line strength measured had a low S/N ratio or that it could not be measured. Where a galaxy was observed on multiple nights with the same wavelength range, the line strength measurements from each night were combined using the square of the S/N ratio to weight the measurements. The H $\beta$  and H $\beta_G$  line strengths given in the table have not been corrected for nebula emission. The [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007 emission-line strength measurement used for this correction is given in the column [O III]<sub>sm</sub>.

# 8 COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS DATA

Although the spectral resolution of the Lick/IDS system has been well matched, small systematic offsets in the indices introduced by continuum shape differences are generally present (note that the original Lick/IDS spectra are *not* flux calibrated). These offsets do not depend on the velocity dispersion of the galaxy itself. To establish these offsets we compared our measurements with data from studies that have galaxies in common:

- (i) Seven Samurai (Dressler et al. 1987; Faber et al. 1987);
- (ii) Lick/IDS data base (Trager et al. 1998);
- (iii) Jørgensen (1999);
- (iv) SMAC (Hudson et al. 2001);
- (v) Mehlert et al. (2000);<sup>4</sup>

<sup>4</sup> Mehlert et al. (2000) measured high S/N ratio long-slit spatially resolved spectra, giving line strength measurements as a function of radius from the galaxy centre. After Jørgensen, Franx & Kjaergaard (1995a,b) and Mehlert et al. (2000) we used the equation  $r_{\rm L} = (\frac{r_{\rm A}}{1025})^2 \frac{\pi}{2b}$  to convert the aperture radius used in this study ( $r_{\rm A}$ ) to a 'slit-equivalent'; radius ( $r_{\rm L}$ ). *b* is the width

(vi) Kuntschner et al. (2001).

Whilst some of the above studies partly contain data from the same source, for simplicity they are treated as independent studies.

This comparative analysis investigated the following hypothesis: an offset could be present between the data in this study and the published data sets, but there should be no offset between each of the comparison sets (since these have already been corrected to a common Lick/IDS system). This analysis also allowed a direct comparative measure of the quality of the data in this study.

#### 8.1 Method of analysis

The following statistics were calculated for each sample of data from this study (with S/N ratio  $\ge 35 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ ) that matches with that of a previous study:

(i) mean offset to this study;

(ii) root mean squared value of the sample differences (rms);

(iii) intrinsic root mean squared value of the sample differences, taking into account the measurement errors (rms<sub>intr</sub>).

#### All offsets were calculated as

offset 
$$=$$
 data from this study  $-$  data from a comparison study

(12)

The intrinsic root mean squared value of the sample differences is a test of the quality of the data errors: if the quoted errors on the parameters are correct, then the intrinsic rms should be negligible (i.e. close to zero).

#### 8.2 Results of comparisons

Table 10 shows the results of the comparisons between the data in this study with that in previous studies. Intrinsic scatters are not calculated for the Seven Samurai data (Dressler et al. 1987) because they do not quote individual measurement errors.

Our velocity dispersion measurements show good agreement with published values, with the mean offsets all being less than 3 per cent (see Fig. 16). After allowing for the quoted measurement errors, only a small intrinsic scatter of 0.0216 dex is seen. To remove this intrinsic scatter the quoted measurement errors have to be increased by only  $\sim$ 15 per cent. These velocity dispersions will be used in Paper III with photometric data to investigate various spectrophotometric relations, using the stellar population parameters to probe their fundamental nature.

As in our study of stellar population ages and metallicities (Paper II), we focus our comparative analysis on the indices  $H\beta_G$  (predominantly age dependent) and [MgFe] (predominantly metallicity dependent). We can see that the analysis gives an initial average offset over all studies in  $H\beta$  of  $-0.088 \pm 0.043$  Å and in [MgFe] of  $0.016 \pm 0.030$  Å. This implies that the [MgFe] values in this study require no correction to place them fully on the Lick/IDS system (as the computed correction is not statistically significant), but that the  $H\beta$  do require a correction (though only at a  $2.0\sigma$  level). However, a closer examination shows that there are systematic offsets between the comparison data sets. If the Jørgensen (1999) data set (which has the largest offset from this study for the line indices  $H\beta$  and [MgFe]) is excluded from the comparative analysis, an average

of the slit used by Mehlert et al. (2000). An index value at this slit-equivalent radius was then calculated.

 Table 9. Lick/IDS index absorption-line strength data.

Name	C4668	Fe4930	Fe5015	Fe5270	Fe5335	Fe5406	⟨Fe⟩	$H\beta$	${ m H}eta_{ m G}$	$Mg_1$	$Mg_2$	Mg <sub>b</sub>	[MgFe]	[O III] <sub>sm</sub>
d26	0.18	1.38	1.72	1.39	1.22	1.14	1.31	5.16	4.98	0.000	0.088	1.27	1.29	0.00
	0.83	0.15	0.56	0.15	0.20	0.25	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.009	0.006	0.12	0.09	0.10
	41.0	47.3	50.3	53.5	53.3	51.4	53.4	44.0	43.9	52.0	52.0	52.0	52.7	46.5
d27	5.60	1.74	5.08	3.02	2.67		2.84	2.15	2.28	0.092	0.228	3.58	3.19	-0.18
	0.81	0.23	0.51	0.19	0.24		0.15	0.18	0.16	0.008	0.008	0.17	0.11	0.15
	28.2	35.4	37.0	41.3	41.8		41.5	33.3	33.3	38.2	38.1	38.1	39.8	33.8
d28	4.55	1.79	4.55	2.66	2.51	1.76	2.58	1.86	2.06	0.104	0.235	3.91	3.18	-0.17
	0.84	0.15	0.61	0.14	0.18	0.23	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.009	0.006	0.11	0.08	0.13
	41.1	51.4	53.5	60.5	61.7	60.7	61.1	48.3	48.3	55.6	55.8	55.8	58.4	47.7
d29	11.72	1.60	4.99	2.36	2.40	1.49	2.38	1.60	2.03	0.080	0.198	3.68	2.96	-0.55
	0.84	0.26	0.53	0.22	0.25	0.26	0.17	0.20	0.18	0.008	0.008	0.19	0.13	0.76
	24.8	31.7	33.0	36.4	36.7	43.5	36.5	30.1	30.1	34.4	34.3	34.3	35.4	25.8
d38	1.57	0.57	2.03	1.52	1.51	1.11	1.52	0.20	0.68	0.062	0.142	1.93	1.71	-0.85
	0.81	0.24	0.51	0.20	0.23	0.26	0.15	0.19	0.17	0.008	0.007	0.16	0.11	0.26
	27.7	33.6	35.6	40.4	41.4	48.8	40.9	31.4	31.4	37.5	38.2	38.3	39.6	30.7
d39	6.86	1.85	5.01	2.86	2.60	1.69	2.73	1.74	2.08	0.116	0.281	4.08	3.34	-0.02
	0.80	0.15	0.89	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.10
	50.5	63.7	66.7	76.4	78.8	77.5	77.6	59.8	59.8	69.6	69.5	69.5	73.4	66.8
d40	4.75	1.61	4.10	2.73	2.34	1.50	2.54	2.11	2.31	0.091	0.226	3.78	3.09	-0.19
	0.80	0.18	0.50	0.16	0.21	0.26	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.008	0.007	0.13	0.09	0.24
	32.2	40.5	42.7	48.5	49.8	49.1	49.1	37.9	37.9	44.6	44.7	44.8	46.9	37.9
d42	7.07	1.85	5.75	2.82	2.72	1.81	2.77	1.83	2.08	0.124	0.265	4.43	3.50	-0.28
	0.78	0.15	0.98	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.11
	51.8	68.6	71.3	84.9	87.2	86.2	86.1	63.7	63.6	75.5	76.7	76.8	81.3	66.2
d44	4.01	2.02	2.12	1.85	2.03		1.94	3.23	3.28	0.032	0.122	2.18	2.05	-3.46
	0.83	0.14	0.61	0.14	0.19		0.12	0.12	0.12	0.009	0.006	0.11	0.08	0.31
	42.4	51.6	54.4	57.5	57.6		57.5	48.3	48.3	55.7	55.7	55.7	56.6	46.8
d50	5.13	1.82	3.57	2.76	1.87		2.32	1.63	2.07	0.080	0.224	3.56	2.87	-0.04
	0.82	0.25	0.52	0.20	0.24		0.16	0.20	0.17	0.008	0.008	0.18	0.12	0.17
	26.0	32.1	33.9	38.4	39.1		38.8	30.3	30.3	35.2	35.4	35.4	37.0	31.3
d53	5.83	1.46	4.85	2.54	2.44	1.49	2.49	1.47	1.74	0.095	0.240	4.17	3.22	0.09
	0.74	0.15	0.96	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.20
	54.1	68.4	70.5	80.3	81.8	82.8	81.0	64.4	64.4	74.1	74.2	74.3	77.6	70.0
d57	6.00	1.98	5.25	2.84	2.51	1.38	2.68	1.83	2.11	0.094	0.245	3.69	3.14	-0.14
	0.36	0.11	0.53	0.09	0.12	0.13	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.006	0.006	0.09	0.06	0.08
	69.6	87.2	90.8	100.3	101.9	106.0	101.1	82.0	81.9	93.8	93.1	93.2	97.1	83.2
d59	5.69	1.87	5.22	2.43	2.59	1.33	2.51	1.63	1.76	0.102	0.234	3.89	3.12	-0.07
	0.83	0.15	0.77	0.12	0.17	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.08	0.32
	47.5	59.4	61.2	68.2	69.0	75.1	68.6	56.1	56.1	63.4	63.4	63.4	65.9	54.7
d62	3.63	1.84	4.25	2.22	1.45	0.23	1.83	1.90	2.19	0.060	0.197	3.41	2.50	-0.07
	0.82	0.17	0.55	0.15	0.21	0.25	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.009	0.007	0.13	0.09	0.14
	36.6	45.2	47.6	53.3	53.1	57.0	53.2	42.7	42.7	49.6	49.4	49.5	51.3	42.2
d63	6.10	1.87	3.29	2.78	3.00		2.89	2.19	2.28	0.090	0.221	3.73	3.29	-0.03
	0.87	0.29	0.56	0.23	0.26		0.18	0.22	0.19	0.009	0.008	0.21	0.14	0.17
	23.8	29.8	31.2	34.8	35.4		35.1	28.1	28.1	32.2	32.3	32.3	33.7	28.0
d64	3.04	1.50	3.81	2.22	1.89	1.32	2.06	1.79	2.05	0.065	0.216	3.34	2.62	-0.13
	0.81	0.17	0.52	0.16	0.21	0.26	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.008	0.007	0.13	0.09	0.13
	35.5	43.4	45.6	50.7	51.8	50.7	51.3	40.9	40.9	47.6	47.3	47.4	49.3	42.9
d65	6.67	1.89	4.49	2.91	2.54		2.73	1.92	2.22	0.098	0.265	4.07	3.33	-0.17
	0.84	0.15	0.69	0.13	0.17		0.11	0.11	0.11	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.08	0.11
	44.3	55.2	57.6	65.1	66.5		65.8	52.0	51.9	59.9	59.6	59.6	62.6	55.9
d67	4.59	1.52	5.73	2.87	2.40	1.44	2.64	1.47	1.84	0.113	0.254	4.47	3.43	-0.07
	0.82	0.18	0.54	0.16	0.22	0.28	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.010	0.007	0.13	0.09	0.12
	34.8	44.4	45.7	52.3	53.3	52.6	52.8	41.4	41.4	48.0	48.2	48.2	50.5	44.4
d71	4.94	2.10	4.22	2.69	2.05		2.37	2.25	2.28	0.053	0.178	3.38	2.83	-0.13
	0.79	0.19	0.49	0.18	0.22		0.14	0.16	0.15	0.008	0.007	0.14	0.10	0.25
	31.1	38.9	40.4	44.4	45.0		44.7	36.8	36.7	42.3	42.2	42.2	43.5	33.5
d73	4.70	1.82	4.57	2.45	2.20	1.67	2.32	2.04	2.21	0.059	0.180	2.81	2.56	-0.23
	0.81	0.16	0.52	0.15	0.20	0.25	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.008	0.007	0.12	0.09	0.14
	35.8	44.1	46.2	51.6	52.5	51.7	52.0	41.5	41.5	48.4	48.4	48.4	50.2	39.7
d74	4.46	2.42	3.40	1.67	1.83	1.56	1.75	1.76	2.00	0.052	0.172	3.41	2.44	0.29
	1.07	0.40	0.67	0.30	0.31	0.36	0.22	0.30	0.24	0.009	0.010	0.27	0.17	0.20
	18.9	23.7	25.0	27.9	28.4	28.0	28.2	22.4	22.4	26.2	26.3	26.3	27.2	22.4

Table 9 – continued

Name	C4668	Fe4930	Fe5015	Fe5270	Fe5335	Fe5406	(Fe)	$H\beta$	${ m H}eta_{ m G}$	Mg <sub>1</sub>	Mg <sub>2</sub>	Mg <sub>b</sub>	[MgFe]	[O III] <sub>sm</sub>
d75	7.63	2.38	5.67	2.99	2.31	1.61	2.65	1.57	1.68	0.071	0.201	2.95	2.79	0.08
	0.81	0.16	0.53	0.15	0.20	0.23	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.009	0.007	0.12	0.09	0.17
	36.2	45.0	46.9	52.2	53.3	58.5	52.7	42.9	42.9	49.1	49.1	49.1	50.9	36.5
d81	5.38	1.95	4.98	2.46	2.15		2.30	2.13	2.41	0.120	0.291	4.70	3.29	-0.03
	0.81	0.19	0.52	0.17	0.22		0.14	0.15	0.14	0.009	0.007	0.14	0.10	0.13
	33.1	40.8	42.4	48.7	49.6		49.2	38.2	38.2	44.1	43.8	43.9	46.4	40.4
d83	7.84	2.12	4.02	0.33	3.30		1.82	4.12	3.81			2.83	2.27	-0.28
	0.89	0.31	0.57	0.26	0.29		0.19	0.24	0.20			0.22	0.15	0.18
	22.8	28.2	29.4	31.3	31.1		31.2	26.1	26.1			30.4	30.8	25.4
d84	6.81	2.17	5.33	2.88	2.56	1.77	2.72	1.96	2.13	0.112	0.275	3.97	3.29	-0.06
	0.80	0.20	0.51	0.17	0.22	0.26	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.009	0.007	0.14	0.10	0.13
	31.9	39.7	41.5	46.8	48.0	47.0	47.4	37.4	37.4	43.0	42.8	42.8	45.0	39.3
d85	5.90	1.65	4.18	2.91	2.14		2.53	1.31	1.77	0.063	0.205	3.50	2.98	-0.67
	0.80	0.21	0.50	0.19	0.23		0.15	0.17	0.15	0.008	0.007	0.16	0.11	0.14
	29.6	36.7	38.4	42.4	42.5		42.5	34.5	34.5	39.8	39.5	39.5	41.0	35.1
d87	5.66	1.74	4.74	2.85	2.84		2.85	1.90	2.10	0.057	0.201	3.51	3.16	-0.09
	0.84	0.14	0.68	0.13	0.17		0.11	0.11	0.11	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.08	0.12
	44.3	55.1	57.4	63.3	64.2		63.8	52.1	52.0	60.2	59.8	59.8	61.8	54.4
d90	4.92	2.15	5.63	3.02	2.85	1.58	2.93	1.97	2.19	0.090	0.239	3.74	3.31	0.01
	0.81	0.16	0.52	0.15	0.20	0.25	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.009	0.007	0.13	0.09	0.12
	35.1	44.5	46.2	52.0	53.3	52.5	52.7	41.8	41.8	48.3	48.1	48.1	50.3	44.9
d93	5.68	1.85	4.31	2.69	2.42	1.51	2.56	1.65	1.84	0.091	0.237	4.16	3.26	-0.12
	0.68	0.15	1.00	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.15
	56.9	70.4	73.3	82.5	84.2	99.1	83.3	66.5	66.5	76.3	76.3	76.3	79.8	64.8
d98	8.40	1.99	5.79	2.96	3.11		3.04	2.26	2.55	0.095	0.259	4.10	3.53	-0.06
	0.73	0.15	0.98	0.10	0.13		0.08	0.08	0.09	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.12
14.05	54.7	68.5	71.8	81.7	83.4		82.6	64.2	64.2	75.0	74.5	74.5	78.5	63.4
d107	6.29	1.51	4.94	2.71	2.26		2.49	1.76	2.05	0.064	0.207	3.67	3.02	-0.05
	0.81	0.24	0.51	0.20	0.24		0.16	0.18	0.16	0.008	0.008	0.17	0.12	0.14
14.00	27.3	34.2	35.8	39.3	40.1		39.7	32.5	32.4	37.3	37.0	37.0	38.3	32.4
d108	6.06	1.86	4.78	2.76	2.51	1.55	2.63	1.69	1.96	0.101	0.250	4.30	3.36	-0.19
	0.84	0.14	0.75	0.12	0.16	0.15	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.08	0.15
1110	45.8	58.1	60.5	68.8	70.0	68.6	69.4	54.7	54.6	63.1	63.1	63.1	66.2	55.6
d110	6.67	1./1	5.24	2.69	2.49	1.84	2.59	1.66	1.88	0.08/	0.243	4.21	3.30	-0.09
	0.84	0.15	0.65	0.13	0.18	0.15	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.08	0.12
11.1.0	42.5	53.5	55.6	02.1	03.3	68.5	62.7	50.5	50.4	57.9	57.6	57.6	00.1	49.7
d112	3./1	2.21	4.09	2.38	2.48		2.43	3.34	3.40	0.012	0.133	2.32	2.37	0.26
	0.82	0.15	0.55	0.15	0.20 54.2		0.12	0.15	0.15	52.0	51.6	0.12	0.09 52.9	0.58
4116	38.0 7.40	4/.1	49.0	22.0 272	2 80		22.9	44.5	44.5	52.0	0.251	51.0	52.8 2.29	41.0
u110	1.42	1.65	0.02	2.72	2.80		2.70	1.90	2.20	0.097	0.231	4.14	5.56	-0.22
	52 4	65.5	68.2	76.2	0.14		0.09	61.6	61.6	70.8	70.4	70.4	72.5	64.8
4117	1 09	2 12	5.06	2 20	2 27		2 22	2.08	2.40	0.072	0.4	2.86	2 00	0.21
u117	4.90	0.26	0.52	0.21	0.25		0.16	0.20	0.17	0.072	0.209	0.18	0.12	0.15
	26.2	32.4	34.2	38.2	38.4		38.3	30.4	30.4	35.5	35.5	35.5	36.0	31.0
d123	7 72	2 24	5.04	3.02	50.4		50.5	2 37	2 53	55.5	55.5	3.62	50.7	_0.09
u125	0.80	0.17	0.52	0.16				0.14	0.14			0.13		0.13
	33.0	12.7	14.8	50.0				40.0	40.0			46.5		41.2
d132	7.60	1.86	5.03	2 62	2 92		2 77	1 56	1.87	0.112	0.288	4 85	3 66	0.02
u152	0.80	0.18	0.51	0.17	0.22		0.14	0.15	0.14	0.008	0.200	0.14	0.10	0.02
	33.1	41.0	12.3	46.7	17.4		17 1	38.0	38.0	/3 5	12.007	12 0	14.9	38.8
d134	5 71	0.82	4 39	2.60	2 25	1.81	2 43	1 74	1.92	0.094	-12.9	4 39	3 26	-0.28
ur5 i	0.84	0.15	0.68	0.13	0.18	0.21	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.08	0.12
	43.4	54 3	56.6	63.7	64.9	63.2	64.3	51.5	51.5	58.9	58.5	58.5	61.3	55.3
d135	6.92	2 02	4 72	3 22	2 78	00.2	3.00	1 41	2 19	0.050	0 221	3 78	3 37	-0.39
G100	0.83	0.26	0.53	0.22	0.26		0.17	0.20	0.17	0.008	0.008	0.19	0.13	0.17
	25.8	32.20	33.0	36.8	37 4		37 1	30.4	30.4	35 3	34.6	34.6	35.8	30.3
d136	7.06	3 52	5 33	2 60	2 48	1 78	2 54	1 48	1 65	0.097	0 263	4 51	3 30	_0.08
<b>a</b> 150	0.72	0.16	1.01	0.10	0.14	0.15	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.00
	55 3	69 3	72.2	82.0	83.8	82.0	82.9	65 7	65 7	75 3	75.0	75.1	78.9	71.9
d142	7.77	2.02	4.95	2.80	2.62	1.54	2.71	1.58	1.83	0.129	0.301	4.70	3.57	-0.06
	0.76	0.16	0.97	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.011	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.09
	53.2	66.9	69.5	79.0	80.6	78.3	79.8	63.3	63.2	71.8	71.5	71.5	75.5	68.6

# 400 S. A. W. Moore et al.

Table 9 – continued

Name	C4668	Fe4930	Fe5015	Fe5270	Fe5335	Fe5406	(Fe)	$H\beta$	${ m H}eta_{ m G}$	Mg <sub>1</sub>	Mg <sub>2</sub>	Mg <sub>b</sub>	[MgFe]	[O III] <sub>sm</sub>
d147	7.22	1.91	5.35	2.81	2.62		2.72	1.97	2.06	0.072	0.230	3.56	3.11	0.17
	0.84	0.15	0.60	0.14	0.19		0.12	0.12	0.12	0.009	0.006	0.12	0.08	0.12
	40.5	50.5	52.8	59.0	59.9		59.4	47.6	47.6	55.1	54.6	54.6	57.0	50.2
d153	6.81	1.68	3.06	2.81	2.26		2.54	1.39	1.51	0.126	0.290	4.16	3.25	-0.29
	0.81	0.17	0.53	0.16	0.21		0.13	0.14	0.13	0.009	0.007	0.13	0.09	0.13
	34.8	44.0	45.9	52.7	54.1		53.4	41.7	41.7	47.7	47.7	47.8	50.5	44.2
d154	3.03	1.70	4.09	2.62	2.30		2.46	1.14	1.59	0.076	0.233	3.18	2.80	-0.29
	0.80	0.17	0.51	0.16	0.20		0.13	0.14	0.14	0.008	0.007	0.13	0.09	0.12
	33.5	42.2	44.7	51.1	52.1		51.6	39.7	39.6	46.9	46.9	46.9	49.2	42.6
d156	5.53	1.83	4.18	2.23	2.41		2.32	1.91	2.07	0.084	0.229	3.80	2.97	-0.10
	0.83	0.15	0.55	0.15	0.20		0.12	0.12	0.13	0.009	0.007	0.12	0.09	0.13
	39.1	47.3	49.0	54.1	55.0		54.5	45.2	45.2	50.8	50.6	50.6	52.5	41.2
d157	6.23	1.64	5.27	3.02	2.46	1.64	2.74	1.84	2.03	0.109	0.269	4.26	3.42	-0.30
	0.78	0.15	0.90	0.11	0.15	0.13	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.10
	51.6	65.0	67.0	74.8	77.0	75.5	75.9	61.8	61.7	69.7	69.2	69.2	72.5	66.2
d158	4.93	1.39	4.00	2.27	2.61		2.44	1.06	1.30	0.053	0.179	2.77	2.60	0.06
	0.99	0.37	0.64	0.29	0.31		0.21	0.27	0.22	0.009	0.010	0.26	0.17	0.20
	20.3	25.2	26.4	28.9	29.2		29.0	24.0	24.0	27.4	27.2	27.2	28.1	23.0
d161	8.48	1.82	4.96	2.82	2.59		2.71	1.53	1.83	0.123	0.297	4.83	3.62	-0.16
	0.57	0.16	0.98	0.09	0.13		0.08	0.07	0.08	0.009	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.10
	61.4	78.1	80.7	90.1	91.7		90.9	73.9	73.8	83.2	82.3	82.3	86.5	72.8
d171	7.39	2.19	5.50	2.81	2.62	1.64	2.72	1.91	2.22	0.096	0.270	4.17	3.37	-0.23
	0.75	0.15	0.97	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.09
	53.7	67.8	71.0	81.0	83.2	81.4	82.1	64.0	64.0	74.4	73.9	74.0	77.9	70.7
d181	5.91	2.06	5.05	2.92	2.62	1.66	2.77	1.84	2.06	0.086	0.247	3.81	3.25	-0.13
	0.84	0.15	0.71	0.13	0.17	0.13	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.08	0.16
	45.5	56.5	58.4	64.7	66.4	74.7	65.5	53.7	53.7	60.8	60.2	60.2	62.8	53.0
d182	5.86	1.32	3.84	2.19	2.20		2.20	1.65	1.99	0.111	0.256	4.34	3.09	-0.39
	0.80	0.21	0.51	0.18	0.23		0.15	0.17	0.15	0.009	0.008	0.15	0.11	0.15
	29.4	37.3	39.1	44.0	44.9		44.5	35.2	35.1	40.4	40.4	40.5	42.4	36.4
d191	3.97	2.19	4.27	2.62	2.29		2.45	1.88	2.05	0.096	0.228	3.57	2.96	-0.18
	0.80	0.20	0.50	0.18	0.22		0.14	0.17	0.15	0.008	0.007	0.15	0.10	0.13
	30.4	37.7	39.5	44.5	45.5		45.0	35.5	35.5	41.1	41.2	41.2	43.1	36.6
d192	6.70	2.24	4.43	2.70	2.24	1.67	2.47	2.03	2.18	0.079	0.234	3.55	2.96	0.11
	0.83	0.15	0.59	0.14	0.18	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.009	0.006	0.11	0.08	0.30
	40.7	50.1	52.6	60.2	62.2	73.8	61.2	47.5	47.5	55.4	55.6	55.6	58.3	45.0
d193	6.62	1.75	5 47	3.03	2.73		2.88	1.57	1.80	0.108	0.268	4.09	3 43	-0.06
	0.80	0.15	0.86	0.11	0.15		0.09	0.09	0.10	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.09
	50.2	63.0	65.3	72.5	76.1		74.3	59.8	59.8	69.3	68.7	67.0	70.6	62.2
d200	8.17	1.95	5.38	2.75	2.86		2.81	1.87	2.10	0.116	0.287	4.36	3.50	-0.13
	0.26	0.07	0.25	0.09	0.17		0.10	0.07	0.06	0.005	0.007	0.07	0.06	0.10
	74.6	94.0	96.9	107.2	116.6		111.9	89.2	89.2	106.5	104.9	98.7	105.1	87.6
d201	5.60	1.75	4.78	3.15	2.38	1.74	2.77	2.18	2.30	0.055	0.195	2.89	2.83	-0.05
	0.83	0.26	0.52	0.22	0.25	0.28	0.17	0.20	0.17	0.008	0.008	0.19	0.12	0.17
	25.7	31.9	33.3	36.5	37.2	36.7	36.9	30.3	30.3	34.7	34.4	34.4	35.6	30.1
d204	7.39	1.83	4.05	2.56	2.46		2.51	2.00	2.22	0.109	0.244	4.11	3.21	-0.23
	0.82	0.17	0.54	0.16	0.21		0.13	0.14	0.13	0.009	0.007	0.13	0.09	0.13
	35.9	45.0	47.0	53.0	54.1		53.6	42.1	42.1	48.7	48.9	49.0	51.2	44.3
d207	6.32	2.09	5.85	3.10	3.17		3.13	1.79	1.96	0.100	0.255	4.07	3.57	-0.17
	0.76	0.15	0.96	0.11	0.14		0.09	0.09	0.09	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.09
	53.0	66.9	69.5	78.1	79.9		79.0	63.1	63.1	72.4	72.1	72.1	75.5	67.6
d209	6.00	2.03	4.57	2.68	2.99		2.84	2.14	2.25	0.082	0.229	3.61	3.20	-0.30
	0.80	0.17	0.51	0.16	0.21		0.13	0.14	0.14	0.008	0.007	0.13	0.09	0.13
	34.1	42.4	44.3	48.5	49.4		49.0	40.3	40.3	45.8	45.3	45.3	47.1	41.1
d210	4.37	2.29	5.35	2.78	2.87	1.41	2.83	1.62	1.88	0.119	0.277	4.20	3 44	-0.17
	0.85	0.15	0.77	0.12	0.16	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.011	0.006	0.11	0.08	0.23
	44.2	577	60.7	70.8	73.1	86.1	72.0	54 1	54 1	64.0	64 5	64 5	68.1	52.9
d216	4 60	1 72	4 23	2 49	2 20	00.1	2 35	2 82	2.83	0.047	0 158	2 84	2 58	0.06
3210	0.80	0.20	0.50	0.17	0.22		0.14	0.16	0.15	0.008	0.007	0.14	0.10	0.00
	29.7	38 3	40.8	46.0	46.9		46.5	35.8	35 7	42.9	43.4	43.4	44 9	33.6
d224	6 27	1.62	4 50	2 58	2.60		2 59	1 85	2 16	0.088	0 203	3 02	2 80	-0.18
r	0.79	0.20	0.49	0.19	0.23		0.15	0.16	0.15	0.008	0.007	0.15	0.11	0.14
	30.7	37.4	38.7	42.2	42.9		42.5	35.8	35.8	40.0	39.8	39.8	41.1	35.4
		÷						20.0	20.0		- >	- 2.0		

Table 9 – continued

Name	C4668	Fe4930	Fe5015	Fe5270	Fe5335	Fe5406	(Fe)	$H\beta$	${ m H}eta_{ m G}$	Mg <sub>1</sub>	Mg <sub>2</sub>	Mg <sub>b</sub>	[MgFe]	[O III] <sub>sm</sub>
d225	3.45	2.06	4.04	1.99	1.88	1.39	1.94	1.67	1.85	0.067	0.204	3.41	2.57	0.23
	0.80	0.23	0.51	0.20	0.24	0.26	0.15	0.18	0.16	0.008	0.007	0.17	0.11	0.21
	28.2	34.9	36.1	39.7	40.7	40.3	40.2	33.2	33.2	37.8	37.6	37.6	38.9	30.9
d231	5.82	2.18	5.05	2.94	2.48	1.58	2.71	1.85	2.05	0.084	0.245	4.06	3.32	-0.13
	0.84	0.15	0.70	0.13	0.18	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.08	0.13
	42.6	54.9	57.6	65.0	66.4	76.1	65.7	51.5	51.5	60.3	60.0	60.0	62.8	52.0
ic3943	7.18	1.76	5.97	3.11	2.82	1.81	2.97	1.53	1.79	0.128	0.284	4.07	3.47	-0.08
	0.44	0.13	0.73	0.09	0.13	0.15	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.007	0.006	0.10	0.06	0.07
	66.3	84.6	87.0	97.8	100.5	98.2	99.2	80.1	80.0	90.2	89.8	89.8	94.4	87.2
ic3946	7.76	1.61	4.47	2.89	2.58		2.73	1.89	2.07	0.127	0.282	4.52	3.52	-0.07
	0.82	0.16	0.91	0.12	0.17		0.10	0.09	0.10	0.012	0.007	0.12	0.08	0.10
	49.8	63.4	65.4	73.8	75.7		74.8	59.8	59.7	67.7	67.5	67.5	71.0	64.1
ic3947	5.86	1.94	4.93	2.61	2.47	1.56	2.54	1.58	1.86	0.117	0.272	4.38	3.34	-0.03
	0.54	0.14	0.91	0.09	0.12	0.13	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.008	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.07
	62.5	79.6	82.5	93.6	95.5	93.9	94.6	74.8	74.8	85.5	85.4	85.5	89.9	83.4
ic3959	7.92	1.65	5.66	2.84	2.87	1.80	2.85	1.53	1.79	0.139	0.298	4.94	3.75	-0.15
	0.47	0.14	0.81	0.09	0.14	0.15	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.009	0.007	0.10	0.07	0.09
	65.4	83.9	85.9	97.6	99.5	106.0	98.5	78.9	78.9	89.0	88.9	89.0	93.6	80.8
ic3960	8.84	1.78	4.73	2.98	2.56		2.77	1.59	1.76	0.152	0.311	4.85	3.66	-0.24
	0.50	0.14	0.86	0.09	0.12		0.08	0.07	0.07	0.008	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.09
	63.9	82.0	84.1	95.5	98.0		96.7	77.4	77.3	86.8	86.7	86.8	91.6	83.6
ic3963	4.27	1.80	5.44	2.72	2.65	1.73	2.69	1.54	1.74	0.078	0.227	4.03	3.29	-0.09
	0.77	0.15	0.93	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.13
	52.3	66.3	68.7	77.1	78.5	81.4	77.8	62.7	62.7	72.0	71.8	71.8	74.7	62.1
ic3973	7.32	1.49	6.22	2.99	2.79	1.64	2.89	2.04	2.24	0.116	0.268	4.42	3.57	-0.04
	0.80	0.17	0.99	0.11	0.16	0.15	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.012	0.007	0.12	0.08	0.09
	51.8	65.8	68.0	78.3	80.6	79.6	79.5	61.8	61.7	71.4	71.7	71.7	75.5	67.9
ic3976	7.28	1.51	5.36	2.86	2.84	1.82	2.85	1.44	1.70	0.139	0.298	4.94	3.75	-0.18
	0.37	0.11	0.49	0.10	0.18	0.16	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.008	0.008	0.08	0.07	0.09
. 2000	69.7	89.8	92.2	106.2	108.5	112.2	107.3	84.3	84.2	96.1	96.3	96.4	101.7	93.2
103998	/.34	1.97	5.63	2.96	2.71		2.83	1.83	2.08	0.108	0.273	4.16	3.43	-0.10
	0.74	0.15	0.97	0.11	0.12		0.08	0.08	0.09	0.008	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.11
:- 4011	54.1 8 20	08.4	10.8	/8.1	90.4		84.2	04.5	04.5	83.3	82.4	12.4	/8.1	01.9
104011	0.29	1.04	4.34	2.09	2.57		2.35	2.17	2.42	0.120	0.275	4.50	5.50	-0.17
	25.2	0.17	46.2	52.5	52.6		0.15 52 1	41.5	41.5	17.8	48.0	48.0	50.5	0.15
ia/012	55.5 9 55	1 00	5 22	2 70	2.80		2 70	41.5	2.00	47.0	40.0	40.0	2 56	44.1
104012	0.55	0.15	0.07	2.79	2.60		2.79	0.07	2.09	0.128	0.280	4.55	0.07	-0.14
	61.7	78.2	80.8	0.09	0.13		01.08	737	737	83.2	827	828	87.0	78.0
ic4026	8 32	2 13	5.88	3.04	3 10	1 75	3.07	2.00	2 28	0.111	0 271	4 13	3 56	-0.11
104020	0.63	0.15	1.00	0.10	0.12	0.13	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.009	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.08
	59.0	73.7	76.9	86.3	87.9	85.9	87.1	69.4	69.4	79.7	79.2	79.2	83.1	75.8
ic4041	8.06	1.93	6 38	2 92	2 80	1 95	2.86	1.88	2 15	0 108	0.285	4 34	3 52	-0.10
10 10 11	0.78	0.15	0.90	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.09
	51.5	64.1	67.1	76.6	78.4	76.8	77.5	60.3	60.3	70.1	69.6	69.6	73.5	66.9
ic4042	8.13	1.52	4 4 3	2.59	2.69	1010	2.64	1.38	1.63	0.115	0.278	4 47	3.43	-0.16
101012	0.85	0.16	0.76	0.13	0.18		0.11	0.10	0.11	0.011	0.007	0.12	0.08	0.10
	45.5	57.2	59.6	67.8	69.2		68.5	53.9	53.9	61.8	61.8	61.8	65.0	57.8
ic4045	8.40	2.14	5.39	2.90	2.68	1.73	2.79	1.73	2.00	0.141	0.314	4.77	3.65	-0.24
	0.29	0.09	0.33	0.10	0.18	0.15	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.006	0.007	0.07	0.06	0.09
	73.2	91.5	95.3	109.3	112.4	110.3	110.9	86.6	86.5	98.7	98.4	98.5	104.5	94.5
ic4051	8.07	2.05	4.63	3.22	2.50		2.86	1.45	2.03	0.180	0.371	5.71	4.04	-0.05
	0.83	0.20	0.58	0.16	0.23		0.14	0.14	0.14	0.011	0.008	0.14	0.10	0.14
	33.4	43.8	46.2	56.1	58.0		57.0	40.8	40.8	48.1	48.6	48.7	52.7	45.9
ngc4848	5.08	1.84	2.01	2.08	2.54		2.31	-4.82	-4.39	0.080	0.200	4.05	3.06	-3.26
-	0.80	0.18	0.52	0.17	0.21		0.14	0.14	0.14	0.009	0.007	0.14	0.10	0.43
	33.5	41.7	44.1	47.8	51.4		49.6	40.5	40.5	52.1	52.1	45.1	47.3	38.5
ngc4850	6.70	1.88	4.92	2.77	2.57	1.74	2.67	1.28	1.57	0.107	0.264	4.40	3.43	-0.58
-	0.45	0.12	0.52	0.09	0.17	0.15	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.006	0.007	0.08	0.06	0.12
	65.9	86.7	91.2	106.2	109.4	107.8	107.8	80.9	80.8	95.9	96.6	96.7	102.1	93.3
ngc4851	7.08	1.65	4.63	2.55	2.68		2.62	1.85	2.02	0.081	0.256	4.10	3.27	-0.38
	0.81	0.17	0.53	0.16	0.22		0.14	0.14	0.13	0.009	0.007	0.13	0.09	0.14
	34.8	43.7	45.6	50.0	50.9		50.5	41.5	41.5	47.2	46.5	46.5	48.5	42.3

# 402 S. A. W. Moore et al.

Table 9 – continued

Name	C4668	Fe4930	Fe5015	Fe5270	Fe5335	Fe5406	(Fe)	$H\beta$	${ m H}eta_{ m G}$	$Mg_1$	Mg <sub>2</sub>	Mg <sub>b</sub>	[MgFe]	[O III] <sub>sm</sub>
ngc4853	5.09	1.45	4.01	2.73	2.04		2.38	1.98	2.11	0.058	0.160	2.95	2.65	-0.85
	0.54	0.15	0.94	0.09	0.12		0.08	0.07	0.08	0.008	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.08
	62.3	77.4	81.0	88.5	89.5		89.0	72.8	72.7	84.2	84.6	84.7	86.8	78.7
ngc4860	9.27	1.86	5.46	2.89	3.28		3.08	1.33	1.70	0.154	0.342	5.57	4.14	0.21
	0.78	0.19	1.05	0.12	0.18		0.11	0.09	0.10	0.013	0.008	0.13	0.08	0.09
	53.8	67.3	68.6	76.6	77.8		77.2	64.0	64.0	70.3	69.2	69.3	73.1	65.7
ngc4864	7.55	1.74	5.25	2.59	2.53		2.56	1.61	1.82	0.130	0.286	4.47	3.38	-0.12
	0.34	0.10	0.47	0.09	0.14		0.08	0.07	0.06	0.006	0.007	0.09	0.06	0.08
10/7	70.5	89.4	92.2	103.4	105.3	4 = 0	104.3	84.4	84.3	95.0	94.5	94.7	99.4	91.5
ngc4867	7.43	1.45	5.11	2.74	2.57	1.70	2.65	1.61	1.95	0.129	0.297	4.55	3.47	-0.23
	0.26	0.03	0.14	0.10	0.18	0.15	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.006	0.007	0.07	0.06	0.08
10/0	77.8	99.7	102.6	117.3	121.0	119.5	119.1	93.5	93.5	106.9	106.7	106.8	112.8	106.7
ngc4869	8.29	1.85	4.96	2.99	2.84	1.80	2.92	1.52	1.81	0.144	0.311	4.86	3.//	-0.27
	0.30	0.10	0.48	0.09	0.10	0.15	106.0	0.07	0.00	0.007	0.007	0.09	100.2	0.11
nao1977	09.8 8.76	89.5 2.00	92.1 5.85	2.06	2.05	111.0	2.01	84.4 1.01	84.3 2.12	95.1	94.8	94.8	2.84	80.9 0.27
ngc4672	0.22	2.00	1.01	2.90	0.15		0.00	0.00	2.15	0.133	0.299	4.90	0.09	-0.37
	0.82 50.2	0.17 66.4	60.3	0.11 80.1	0.15 81.0		81.0	62.1	62.1	72.2	72.3	72.3	0.08	67.8
ngc/1873	6.08	1 53	5 65	2 73	2 58	1 73	2.65	1 70	1 01	0.126	0 300	1 52	3 47	_0.11
ngc=075	0.00	0.13	0.72	0.00	0.14	0.15	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.007	0.006	0.10	0.06	0.00
	65.2	83.9	87.3	100.8	104.0	102.2	102.4	78.2	78.1	91.4	91.2	91.2	96.7	90.0
ngc4874	8 78	2 24	5.62	3 10	3 10	102.2	3 10	1.65	1.81	0 133	0.306	4 86	3.88	-0.04
nge+07+	0.89	0.19	0.76	0.15	0.23		0.14	0.11	0.12	0.013	0.009	0.13	0.09	0.12
	42.1	54.4	56.5	64.4	65.7		65.0	51.6	51.5	58.4	58.0	58.1	61.5	54.4
ngc4875	7.16	1.74	2.45	2.83	2.63		2.73	1.62	1.87	0.143	0.289	4.62	3.55	-0.05
ngetore	0.55	0.15	0.96	0.09	0.13		0.08	0.07	0.08	0.009	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.09
	62.2	78.7	81.4	92.1	94.1		93.1	74.0	73.9	83.7	84.2	84.2	88.5	73.4
ngc4876	6.83	1.88	5.15	2.60	2.70	1.62	2.65	1.92	2.18	0.097	0.262	3.95	3.24	-0.16
U	0.65	0.16	1.02	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.12
	58.4	72.3	76.0	86.5	89.0	93.4	87.8	68.2	68.2	79.7	79.2	79.3	83.4	67.8
ngc4881	8.30	1.43	5.02	2.86	2.54		2.70	1.67	1.94	0.132	0.291	4.85	3.62	-0.06
•	0.58	0.15	0.94	0.09	0.13		0.08	0.07	0.08	0.009	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.08
	61.0	79.1	82.3	94.7	96.3		95.5	74.4	74.3	85.4	85.6	85.7	90.5	80.5
ngc4883	6.99	2.03	5.67	3.06	2.77		2.91	1.63	1.97	0.115	0.289	4.39	3.58	-0.07
	0.61	0.15	1.00	0.09	0.12		0.08	0.08	0.08	0.009	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.10
	59.8	76.2	79.1	89.2	91.0		90.1	71.8	71.8	82.1	81.2	81.2	85.5	70.1
ngc4886	8.49	2.01	6.06	2.85	3.36		3.11	2.49	2.80	0.144	0.290	4.76	3.85	-0.04
	0.83	0.25	0.53	0.20	0.25		0.16	0.19	0.17	0.009	0.008	0.17	0.12	0.16
	26.8	35.0	36.3	41.7	42.6		42.1	32.4	32.4	37.4	37.4	37.4	39.7	33.9
ngc4889	9.59	1.49	6.10	3.06	3.03	2.31	3.05	1.86	2.03	0.166	0.361	5.39	4.05	-0.26
	0.29	0.04	0.17	0.12	0.27	0.23	0.15	0.07	0.06	0.010	0.011	0.08	0.08	0.07
100.1	91.6	117.8	122.0	141.6	146.0	143.1	143.8	110.7	110.7	126.3	125.6	125.7	134.4	127.2
ngc4894	4.76	1.33	5.02	3.03	3.18		3.11	1.25	1.97	0.076	0.233	3.51	3.30	0.04
	0.80	0.17	0.53	0.15	0.19		0.12	0.14	0.14	40.5	0.007	0.12	0.09	0.13
ngo/1805	55.4 6.76	45.5	40.5	2 11	2 74		2.02	40.7	40.0	49.5	49.9	49.9	32.0	43.9
ngc4895	0.70	1.85	4.90	0.10	2.74		2.95	1.46	1.78	0.122	0.280	4.34	5.05 0.06	-0.18
	75.2	0.00	0.17	111.2	113 /		112.3	0.07	0.00	114.6	113 7	102.0	107.0	80.6
ngc/1896	638	1.96	5 68	2.87	2.56	1.62	2 71	1 0/	2 24	0 1 2 0	0 303	102.0	3.40	_0.20
nge+070	0.84	0.15	0.77	0.12	0.17	0.13	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.011	0.007	0.11	0.08	0.20
	46.2	58.1	60.3	69.1	71.2	70.5	70.1	547	547	63.0	62.6	62.7	66.3	57.6
ngc4906	7.63	1.96	4.85	2.78	2.60	1.75	2.69	1.55	1.85	0.134	0.306	4.66	3.54	-0.07
	0.56	0.15	0.97	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.009	0.006	0.11	0.07	0.10
	61.7	77.4	80.6	91.9	94.0	97.6	93.0	73.2	73.2	83.4	83.0	83.1	87.9	79.5
ngc4907	8.16	1.86	4.10	3.05	2.90		2.97	1.12	1.55	0.113	0.268	4.21	3.54	-1.03
	0.83	0.17	0.58	0.15	0.20		0.13	0.13	0.13	0.010	0.007	0.12	0.09	0.12
	36.6	47.1	49.7	56.8	58.2		57.5	44.3	44.2	51.4	51.5	51.6	54.4	47.3
ngc4908	5.98	1.25	5.24	2.77	2.76		2.76	1.18	1.44	0.121	0.265	4.46	3.51	-0.56
0	0.83	0.16	0.89	0.12	0.17		0.10	0.10	0.10	0.012	0.007	0.12	0.08	0.10
	48.9	62.2	64.7	72.5	73.7		73.1	58.5	58.5	66.8	66.7	66.8	69.9	61.7
ngc4919	7.96	1.77	5.49	3.04	2.79	2.20	2.92	1.61	1.92	0.123	0.308	4.49	3.62	0.08
-	0.26	0.03	0.14	0.10	0.17	0.15	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.005	0.007	0.07	0.06	0.09
	81.6	101.8	106.3	121.0	124.1	120.4	122.6	95.9	95.7	110.4	109.3	109.3	115.8	108.0

Table 9 – continued

Name	C4668	Fe4930	Fe5015	Fe5270	Fe5335	Fe5406	(Fe)	$H\beta$	${ m H}eta_{ m G}$	$Mg_1$	Mg <sub>2</sub>	Mg <sub>b</sub>	[MgFe]	[O III] <sub>sm</sub>
ngc4923	8.56	1.77	5.13	2.79	2.84	1.85	2.82	1.78	2.11	0.135	0.303	4.62	3.61	-0.04
-	0.31	0.09	0.35	0.10	0.18	0.15	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.006	0.007	0.07	0.06	0.09
	72.2	90.9	94.5	109.5	112.8	117.9	111.2	85.8	85.7	98.5	98.6	98.7	104.7	95.5
rb58	5.53	0.55	6.02	2.03				1.35	1.94			3.85		0.36
	1.36	0.51	0.83	0.40				0.38	0.30			0.35		0.27
	15.7	19.6	20.7	22.6				18.5	18.5			21.4		17.3
rb60	3.00	1.89	4.50	2.79	2.78	1.52	2.79	2.34	2.44	0.072	0.207	3.13	2.95	-0.05
	0.83	0.27	0.53	0.22	0.25	0.26	0.17	0.21	0.18	0.008	0.008	0.19	0.13	0.20
	25.3	31.3	32.9	36.4	36.9	39.8	36.6	29.5	29.5	34.0	33.9	33.9	35.3	26.7
rb66	-0.30	1.73	3.36	2.61	1.63		2.12	0.96	1.42	0.013	0.143	2.54	2.32	0.50
	0.95	0.36	0.61	0.26	0.28		0.19	0.27	0.22	0.008	0.009	0.24	0.15	0.19
	21.1	25.4	27.5	30.8	31.3		31.0	24.0	24.0	28.8	28.9	29.0	30.0	24.6
rb74	5.58	1.45	3.04	2.27	2.11		2.19	1.95	2.23	0.052	0.169	2.86	2.50	-0.31
	0.92	0.34	0.59	0.25	0.27		0.19	0.25	0.21	0.008	0.009	0.22	0.15	0.17
	21.9	26.9	28.6	32.2	32.9		32.6	25.4	25.4	30.1	30.2	30.2	31.4	25.9
rb94	4.42	1.18	3.22	2.11	2.63		2.37	2.73	2.70	0.052	0.188	3.30	2.80	-0.11
	1.04	0.40	0.67	0.29	0.31		0.21	0.30	0.24	0.009	0.010	0.27	0.17	0.20
	19.3	23.7	25.3	28.7	29.1		28.9	22.2	22.2	26.6	26.7	26.7	27.8	22.5
rb122	4.29	1.48	3.31	1.86	2.05	1.17	1.96	1.57	2.05	0.042	0.204	3.06	2.44	-0.16
	0.90	0.32	0.58	0.24	0.27	0.30	0.18	0.24	0.20	0.009	0.009	0.22	0.14	0.17
	22.5	27.8	29.5	33.4	33.6	33.0	33.5	26.3	26.3	30.9	30.6	30.6	32.0	26.8
rb128	4.22	1.58	2.53	2.16	1.77		1.96	1.49	1.81	0.099	0.218	3.80	2.73	-0.23
	0.87	0.31	0.57	0.23	0.27		0.18	0.22	0.19	0.010	0.009	0.20	0.14	0.18
	24.1	29.9	31.7	36.0	36.7		36.4	28.3	28.3	32.8	33.2	33.2	34.8	28.9
rb129	5 27	1 72	4 15	2 41	2.08	1 29	2 24	1.82	2 05	0.097	0 254	3 73	2 89	-0.24
1012)	0.83	0.15	0.58	0.14	0.19	0.20	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.009	0.006	0.12	0.08	0.12
	40.3	49.5	51.7	58.8	60.2	63 5	59.5	46.8	46.8	54.0	53.8	53.8	56.6	49.2
rh131	-0.49	0.67	2 45	1 72	00.2	05.5	57.5	3.00	2 65	54.0	55.0	2 17	50.0	-0.01
10151	1.36	0.55	0.80	0.46				0.42	0.33			0.38		0.01
	15.7	18.3	10.05	20.5				17.1	17.1			20.3		15.0
rh153	6.80	3 47	1 / 12	1 78	1.05		1 42	2 03	2 57	0.017	0.116	263	1.03	_1.05
10155	1.63	0.54	0.85	0.30	0.30		0.28	0.42	0.32	0.017	0.110	0.35	0.22	0.27
	14.0	18.7	20.4	22.9	23.2		23.1	17.3	17.3	21.5	21.8	21.8	22 4	17.2
rh198	4 35	0.55	4 09	2 51	2 11	1.65	2 31	1 77	2 10	0.071	0 174	2 52	2 41	_0.28
10170	0.90	0.33	0.58	0.25	0.27	0.31	0.19	0.24	0.20	0.008	0.009	0.22	0.14	0.20
	22 4	28.0	20.3	32.3	32.8	32.1	32.5	26.5	26.4	30.5	30.7	30.7	31.6	24.2
rb223	6.22	1.66	4 51	2 75	2 55	1.66	2 65	2 0.3	2 14	0.006	0.235	3 00	3 25	_0.28
10225	0.22	0.14	0.68	0.13	0.17	0.20	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.010	0.006	0.11	0.08	0.20
	13 7	55.0	57.3	64.0	65.2	63.0	64.6	51.8	51.8	50.3	59.4	59.5	62.0	56.0
rb245	2 54	0.94	3 36	2 62	2.58	1 17	2 60	1 77	2 12	0.027	0 146	2 28	2 43	0.39
10245	1 38	0.74	0.77	0.34	0.35	0.40	0.24	0.37	0.20	0.027	0.011	0.31	0.20	0.33
	15.6	20.4	22.0	25.1	25.8	25.5	25.5	10.07	10.29	23.6	23.7	23.7	24.6	10.25
amn1086	_5 33	2 73	3.85	1 3/	2 13	23.5	1 74	1 90	1 3/	-0.008	0.045	2 21	1.06	_0.17
gmp1900	3 20	0.83	1.40	0.81	0.78		0.56	0.76	0.57	0.000	0.045	0.60	0.41	0.54
	9.1	10.05	11.49	13.3	13.3		13.3	10.3	10.3	12.6	12.8	12.8	13.1	96
amp2/121	2.11	1 01	1 75	2 11	2 75	1 01	2 /3	1 73	2.26	0.052	0 203	3 16	2 77	0.50
gmp2421	1.00	0.40	0.64	0.28	0.29	0.30	0.20	0.31	0.24	0.032	0.203	0.26	0.16	0.59
	18.5	23.6	25.8	20.20	30.4	32.3	20.0	22.0	22.0	27.1	27.1	27.1	28.5	20.6
amn2688	7.06	1.82	5 10	29.5	2 80	52.5	29.9	22.0	22.0	0.064	0.174	27.1	20.5	0.22
gmp2000	0.06	0.36	0.62	0.27	0.30		0.20	0.27	0.22	0.004	0.174	0.25	0.16	0.22
	20.0	25.5	27.0	20.1	20.2		20.1	22.0	22.0	28.1	28.2	28.2	20.2	22.8
amp2721	20.9	23.5	21.0	2.68	1 20		1 09	23.9	1.09	20.1	20.2	20.5	29.2	23.8
gmp2721	1.05	1.70	0.66	2.00	0.20		0.21	2.32	1.90	0.000	0.165	2.55	2.23	0.00
	10.0	24.0	0.00	0.29	20.20		20.0	0.50	0.24	0.009	26.0	0.20	0.17	0.20
amn 7707	19.0	24.0 0.62	4 21	20.7	29.2		29.0 1 1 2	1 45	22.4	20.3	20.9	20.9	21.9 1 00	22.1
gmp2785	2.79	0.62	4.51	2.20	0.04		1.12	0.41	2.05	0.029	0.125	5.14	1.00	-0.40
	1.48	0.55	0.88	0.40	0.42		0.29	0.41	0.31	20.6	0.012	0.37	0.23	0.27
ame 20.42	14.9 5 4 1	10.0	19.0	22.0	21.9		22.2	1/./	1/./	20.6	20.8	20.8	21.3	17.0
gmp2942	J.41	1.0/	4.27	2.40	2.08		2.24	1.49	1.//	0.101	0.237	4.51	5.18	-0.07
	0.81	0.20	0.52	0.18	0.25		0.15	0.15	0.15	42.4	0.008	0.14	0.10	0.13
amm2012	52.4	40.1	42.1	4/.1	4/.0	0.95	4/.4	37.8	57.8	45.4	45.5	43.3	45.3	59.5
gmp5012	4.32	2.44	4.08	2.20	0.91	0.85	1.59	2.20	2.00	0.038	0.180	2.49	1.99	-0.49
	1.07	0.44	0.72	0.33	0.34	0.41	0.24	0.31	0.25	0.010	0.011	0.30	0.19	0.23
	18.9	22.4	23.1	23.8	20.3	24.9	20.1	21.0	21.J	24.7	24.4	24.4	23.2	20.7

 Table 9 – continued

Name	C4668	Fe4930	Fe5015	Fe5270	Fe5335	Fe5406	(Fe)	$H\beta$	${ m H}eta_{ m G}$	Mg <sub>1</sub>	Mg <sub>2</sub>	$Mg_b$	[MgFe]	[O III] <sub>sm</sub>
gmp3298	4.15	1.58	4.69	2.61	2.38	1.69	2.50	2.13	2.35	0.048	0.180	3.16	2.81	-0.34
•	0.99	0.37	0.64	0.28	0.30	0.30	0.20	0.28	0.23	0.009	0.009	0.25	0.16	0.40
	20.2	25.1	26.3	29.4	30.0	33.2	29.7	23.5	23.5	27.8	27.8	27.8	28.7	21.9
gmp3585	-0.23	0.79	1.17	1.51	1.18	0.54	1.34	2.07	2.31	0.016	0.048	1.37	1.36	-1.63
•	0.91	0.36	0.61	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.21	0.27	0.22	0.009	0.009	0.24	0.16	0.21
	22.0	25.7	27.3	29.5	29.7	29.1	29.6	24.1	24.1	28.4	29.1	29.0	29.3	24.4
gmp3588	2.66	1.12	4.26	2.92	1.89	2.13	2.40	3.28	3.19	0.022	0.138	2.42	2.41	-0.32
•	1.11	0.45	0.75	0.35	0.35	0.33	0.25	0.33	0.26	0.010	0.011	0.30	0.20	0.30
	18.3	22.0	22.8	25.1	25.7	29.7	25.4	20.7	20.7	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.8	18.4
gmp3829	-1.58	1.42	4.35	3.15				3.07	3.05			3.26		-0.61
•	1.69	0.61	1.00	0.52				0.47	0.36			0.45		0.33
	13.7	16.5	17.6	18.6				15.8	15.8			17.6		14.1
gmp4348	3.04	0.76	2.35	2.02	1.82	1.14	1.92	4.92	4.59	-0.014	0.041	1.21	1.52	0.14
•	0.92	0.35	0.61	0.28	0.30	0.33	0.21	0.27	0.22	0.009	0.009	0.24	0.16	0.22
	21.8	25.9	27.4	29.4	29.3	30.4	29.4	23.9	23.9	28.7	29.0	29.0	29.2	23.6
gmp4420	5.26	1.63	4.94	2.00	2.21		2.11	2.31	2.74	0.048	0.179	3.05	2.54	0.21
	0.80	0.19	0.49	0.18	0.20		0.13	0.15	0.14	0.008	0.006	0.14	0.10	0.29
	32.5	39.6	41.4	44.5	53.9		49.2	37.5	37.5	51.5	51.0	42.7	45.8	30.3

Notes. There are three lines for each galaxy in this table. The first line gives the absorption-line strength data, whilst errors are given on the second line and signal-to-noise ratio values for each index are given on the third. Missing values in the table indicate either that the line strength measured had a low S/N ratio or that it could not be measured. The H $\beta$  and H $\beta_G$  line strengths given in the table have not been corrected for nebula emission. The Mg<sub>1</sub> line strengths have been corrected to the Lick/IDS system by subtracting a mean offset of 0.0204 ± 0.0025 mag. The Fe5406 line strength errors have been multiplied by 1.647 to correct for an underestimation of the errors demonstrated in the scale test analysis. There are a total of 132 galaxies in this data table.

offset in H $\beta$  of 0.017  $\pm$  0.059 Å and in [MgFe] of 0.152  $\pm$  0.033 Å is found. This implies the reverse of the previous result, namely that the [MgFe] values in this study do require a correction (at a  $4.6\sigma$ level), whilst the H $\beta$  values do not. This analysis highlights problems with the comparison data sets, indicating that either there are underlying problems with the line index measurements or that the data sets have not been fully corrected to the Lick/IDS system. This leads to the conclusion that any systematic correction to the data set in this study would be uncertain because of the discrepancies between published data sets; therefore no corrections are applied. The size of the correction would in any case only be  $\sim 0.1$  Å, which corresponds to either a correction of ~0.05 in stellar population mean metallicity, [Fe/H] or  $\sim$ 2 Gyr in stellar population mean age, depending on where the data point is on a stellar population grid (e.g. the grids of Worthey 1994). Such corrections would be approximately a systematic shift for the entire data set and would therefore not significantly affect the analysis of distributions or relative trends within the Coma cluster bright early-type galaxy stellar populations.

Table 10 also contains comparative analyses of other parameters. No highly statistically significant evidence for any offsets between the data from this study and the comparison data are found, except for the Mg<sub>1</sub> index. This is found to have a mean offset of  $0.0204 \pm 0.0025$  mag. This offset was therefore removed from the Mg<sub>1</sub> line strengths published in this paper.

# 8.3 Effect of aperture corrections

The above comparative analysis did not take into account the effect of different aperture sizes. Galaxies exhibit a radial dependence for line strength measurements (see e.g. Mehlert et al. 2000) so it is necessary to understand the offsets introduced when comparing data from studies with different aperture dimensions. Following Jørgensen et al. (1995a,b) and Mehlert et al. (2000) we calculate 'slit-equivalent' radii to match the aperture width of 2.7 arcsec used in this study with the standard 3.4-arcsec diameter aperture and the long-slit of dimension  $1.4 \times 4 \operatorname{arcsec}^2$  (Trager et al. 1998) used in the comparison studies. These radii are then used to convert the longslit absorption-line strengths of Mehlert et al. (2000) to equivalent values and a mean aperture correction factor calculated (Table 11). Since Mehlert et al. (2000) only measured H $\beta$ , Mg<sub>b</sub> and (Fe) (and therefore [MgFe] as it is a composite index), this data can only be used to corrected these indices. The calculated aperture corrections are small (<±0.05 Å) in comparison with the data errors (~0.1 Å) and therefore do not affect the previous conclusion concerning the presence of a mean offset between the Lick/IDS calibrated data and the data in this study (the data in Fig. 17 and in Table 12 give a very similar average offset to Table 10 for H $\beta$  and [MgFe]).

#### 8.4 Implications for the errors within our study

The intrinsic rms of the differences between this study and the comparative studies for the indices  $H\beta$  and [MgFe] was non-zero in Table 10. An intrinsic rms of  $0.120 \pm 0.014$  and  $0.078 \pm 0.009$  Å was found, respectively, for the indices H $\beta$  and [MgFe]. If there are no systematic differences between the comparison data sets and the data set in this study then the presence of an intrinsic rms implies that the random errors of the data have been underestimated. In Section 7.8 the median error of the H $\beta$  measurements in this study was found to be 0.106 Å, whilst [MgFe] had a median error of 0.085 Å. Since the error calculation method used in this study is completely independent and truly statistical, we believe that the published errors of the comparison data sets are underestimated. These published data sets rely greatly upon comparisons between each other to normalize their error estimations. We believe this approach has led to the underestimation of the line strength index errors. In the worst case scenario, if the errors in the comparison data sets are, however, perfect and it is the errors in this study that are underestimated, this analysis implies that the median errors for the indices  $H\beta$ and [MgFe] should in fact be 0.177 and 0.115 Å, respectively. The true situation is likely to be somewhere in between, with both errors requiring some scalefactor to be applied. A scalefactor is not applied

Data	S	Ν	Offset	rms	rms <sub>intr</sub>
$\log \sigma$	7	23	$0.0093 \pm 0.0081$	0.0379	
$\log \sigma$	S	33	$0.0115 \pm 0.0078$	0.0443	$0.0222 \pm 0.0039$
$\log \sigma$	J	18	$-0.0037 \pm 0.0075$	0.0308	$0.0158 \pm 0.0037$
$\log \sigma$	Н	14	$0.0059 \pm 0.0121$	0.0437	$0.0231 \pm 0.0062$
$\log \sigma$	А	88	$0.0070 \pm 0.0043$	0.0405	$0.0216 \pm 0.0027^{\dagger}$
C4668	L	9	$0.783 \pm 0.272$	0.770	$0.383 \pm 0.128$
Fe5015	L	11	$0.006 \pm 0.192$	0.609	$0.532\pm0.160$
Fe5270	L	11	$-0.026 \pm 0.147$	0.464	$0.065\pm0.020$
Fe5335	L	10	$0.329 \pm 0.135$	0.405	$0.129 \pm 0.041$
Fe5406	L	5	$0.134 \pm 0.245$	0.491	$0.097 \pm 0.044$
(Fe)	J	36	$-0.121 \pm 0.050$	0.294	$0.046 \pm 0.008$
(Fe)	Н	14	$0.121 \pm 0.084$	0.304	$0.090 \pm 0.024$
(Fe)	L	10	$0.204 \pm 0.050$	0.150	$0.183 \pm 0.058$
(Fe)	М	18	$0.157 \pm 0.039$	0.159	$0.050 \pm 0.012$
(Fe)	А	78	$0.028 \pm 0.033$	0.291	$0.048 \pm 0.005$
Mg <sub>1</sub>	J	36	$0.0203 \pm 0.0026$	0.0152	$0.0040 \pm 0.0007$
Mg <sub>1</sub>	L	11	$0.0208 \pm 0.0073$	0.0219	$0.0075 \pm 0.0024$
Mg1	А	47	$0.0204 \pm 0.0025$	0.0169	$0.0050 \pm 0.0007$
Mg <sub>2</sub>	7	23	$-0.0063 \pm 0.0036$	0.0169	
Mg <sub>2</sub>	S	33	$0.0051 \pm 0.0029$	0.0164	$0.0094 \pm 0.0017$
Mg <sub>2</sub>	J	36	$0.0024 \pm 0.0033$	0.0198	$0.0099 \pm 0.0017$
Mg2	Н	14	$0.0157 \pm 0.0059$	0.0204	$0.0111 \pm 0.0031$
Mg <sub>2</sub>	L	11	$0.0221 \pm 0.0073$	0.0232	$0.0087 \pm 0.0026$
Mg <sub>2</sub>	А	117	$0.0048 \pm 0.0019$	0.0205	$0.0111 \pm 0.0012^{\dagger}$
Mgh	J	36	$0.015 \pm 0.053$	0.312	$0.036 \pm 0.006$
Mgh	Н	14	$0.180 \pm 0.062$	0.222	$0.012 \pm 0.003$
Mgh	L	11	$0.007 \pm 0.135$	0.426	$0.002 \pm 0.001$
Mgh	М	18	$0.132 \pm 0.068$	0.279	$0.034 \pm 0.008$
Mgh	А	79	$0.070 \pm 0.036$	0.318	$0.035 \pm 0.004$
[MgFe]	J	36	$-0.143 \pm 0.039$	0.229	$0.044 \pm 0.007$
[MgFe]	Н	14	$0.148 \pm 0.067$	0.240	$0.081 \pm 0.022$
[MgFe]	L	10	$0.158 \pm 0.080$	0.238	$0.031 \pm 0.010$
[MgFe]	М	18	$0.152 \pm 0.040$	0.165	$0.004 \pm 0.001$
[MgFe]	A	78	$0.016 \pm 0.030$	0.264	$0.078 \pm 0.009$
Hβ	J	35	$-0.210 \pm 0.059$	0.345	$0.085 \pm 0.014$
Hβ	Н	13	$0.194 \pm 0.102$	0.354	$0.139 \pm 0.038$
Hβ	L	10	$-0.005 \pm 0.148$	0.443	$0.091 \pm 0.029$
Hβ	M	18	$-0.099 \pm 0.067$	0.276	$0.051 \pm 0.012$
Hβ	A	76	$-0.088 \pm 0.043$	0.376	$0.120 \pm 0.014$
$H_{\beta_G}$	J	35	$-0.106 \pm 0.055$	0.321	$0.129\pm0.022$

 Table 10. Comparison between this study and other studies of the Coma cluster.

Notes. The different sources, *S* used for comparison are given by the following key: 7 = 7S = Seven Samurai studies (Dressler et al. 1987); *S* = SMAC = Streaming Motions of Abell Clusters (Hudson et al. 2001); J = Jørg = Jørgensen (1999); H = HK = Kuntschner et al. (2001); L = Lick = Lick/IDS data base (Trager et al. 1998); M = Mehlert = Mehlert et al. (2000); A = All = Total matching data set. *N* is the number of matching data between the studies.<sup>†</sup>This does not include the 7S data.

to the errors in this study because of the large uncertainties of this scaling and the question of the validity of such a scaling to our independent error estimates. However, it does highlight the importance of rigorous error treatments and of obtaining high-quality repeat observations to fully characterize both the random and systematic errors in a data set. Both of these approaches have been taken in this study.

# 9 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have presented data from a new spectroscopic study on the central  $1^{\circ}$  ( $\equiv 1.26 \ h^{-1}$  Mpc) of the rich Coma cluster (dis-



**Figure 16.** Comparison between the velocity dispersions in this study and those from other studies of the Coma cluster. The difference is calculated as the data from this study minus the data from a comparison study. The plots at the top of the figure break down the comparison, showing the matching data between this study and each of the matching studies. The plot at the bottom of the figure shows the total data set used for the comparative analysis. The horizontal dashed lines indicate the mean offset and a median error bar is shown.

**Table 11.** Aperture corrections for the line indices  $H\beta$ ,  $Mg_b$ ,  $\langle Fe \rangle$  and [MgFe].

Index	Mean aperture correction 2.7–3.4 arcsec	Mean aperture correction 2.7 arcsec $-1.4 \times 4$ arcsec <sup>2</sup>
Hβ	$0.019\pm0.010~\text{\AA}$	$-0.009 \pm 0.007 ~\rm{\AA}$
(Fe)	$0.025 \pm 0.010$ Å	$-0.012 \pm 0.009$ Å
Mg <sub>b</sub>	$0.042 \pm 0.011$ Å	$-0.026 \pm 0.008 ~\rm{\AA}$
[MgFe]	$0.033\pm0.009~\text{\AA}$	$-0.018 \pm 0.008 ~\rm{\AA}$

Notes. All data are calculated from the long-slit data of Mehlert et al. (2000). Subtract the mean correction factor from the 2.7-arcsec line indices presented in this study to convert them to line indices equivalent to 3.4-arcsec diameter fibre measurements or long-slit data from a  $1.4 \times 4 \operatorname{arcsec}^2$  slit.

tance,  $d = 68 h^{-1}$  Mpc). Using the GMP galaxy catalogue together with morphologies from Dressler (1980) and redshifts from M. Colless (Edwards et al. 2002), we observed 73 per cent (100 out of 137) of the bright early-type galaxy population ( $b_j \leq 18.0$ , equivalent to  $B \leq -17.7$  i.e.  $\sim 2.1$  mag fainter than  $M_B^*$ ) with the WHT 4.2 m plus the AUTOFIB2/WYFFOS multi-object spectroscopy instrument. High signal-to-noise ratio spectra (mean S/N



**Figure 17.** Comparison between this study and other studies of the Coma cluster after aperture corrections for the line indices H $\beta$  and [MgFe]. The difference is calculated as the data from this study minus the data from a comparison study. The plots at the top of the figure break down the comparison, showing the matching data between this study and each of the matching studies. The plots at the bottom of the figure show the total data set used for the comparative analysis. The horizontal dashed lines indicate the mean offset and a median error bar is shown.

ratio of ~60 Å<sup>-1</sup> for data with a S/N ratio  $\geq$ 35 Å<sup>-1</sup>) were obtained with a wavelength range of 4600-5600 Å and a resolution of ~2.2- Å FWHM using 2.7-arcsec diameter fibres ( $\equiv 0.94 h^{-1}$  kpc). We have demonstrated how the WHT and AUTOFIB2/WYFFOS system introduces significant spectral resolution variations (~2.2-4.0 Å) in observed spectra. These variations have been mapped and corrected for. From these corrected spectra, we have measured central velocity dispersions for 132 galaxies (accurate to 0.015 dex). We have also measured a homogeneous set of Lick/IDS stellar population absorption-line indices, corrected for nebula emission using  $[O III] \lambda 5007$ . These line indices have well-characterized random errors ( $\sim 0.1$  Å for atomic line indices,  $\sim 0.008$  mag for molecular line indices), calculated for the first time in a rigorous and statistical way through the exploitation of the central limit theorem. The line indices have been compared with previous studies and found to be of high quality. No significant systematic offsets are found between the study data and any previously published data, except for the Mg<sub>1</sub> index. A correction of  $0.0204 \pm 0.0025$  mag is applied to the Mg<sub>1</sub> index. The significance of any other offsets is shown to be at the level of  $\sim$ 0–5 per cent. The line strength data presented herein is therefore fully on the Lick/IDS system. Aperture corrections were investigated using the Mehlert et al. (2000) long-slit line strength data and are found to be small ( $<\pm 0.05$  Å) in comparison with the data errors ( $\sim 0.1$  Å). The aperture corrections are therefore not applied to the data. The absorption-line strength data presented in this

**Table 12.** Comparison between this study and other studies of the Coma cluster after aperture corrections for the line indices  $H\beta$  and [MgFe].

Parameter	Source	Offset to this study
Ηβ	НК	$0.175 \pm 0.102$ Å
Hβ	Jørgensen	$-0.229 \pm 0.059$ Å
Hβ	Lick	$0.004 \pm 0.148$ Å
Hβ	Mehlert	$-0.099 \pm 0.067$ Å
$H\beta$	ALL	$-0.099 \pm 0.044$ Å
[MgFe]	НК	$0.115 \pm 0.067 ~{ m \AA}$
[MgFe]	Jørgensen	$-0.176 \pm 0.039$ Å
[MgFe]	Lick	$0.176\pm0.080~\text{\AA}$
[MgFe]	Mehlert	$0.152\pm0.040~\text{\AA}$
[MgFe]	ALL	$-0.003 \pm 0.031$ Å

paper are summarized in Fig. 18 which plots the line strength data versus magnitude,  $b_j$ . This data provides an important baseline at  $z \sim 0$  for studies of distant, high-redshift clusters. It also expands the existing knowledge base of galaxy formation and evolution in rich clusters.

Paper II in this study will use this Lick/IDS line index data to measure luminosity-weighted stellar population mean ages and metallicities using the Worthey (1994) models. Since this data set is homogeneous, with well-characterized random errors and no inherent



**Figure 18.** Lick/IDS index absorption-line strength data versus magnitude,  $b_j$ . The key at the top of each plot gives the number of ellipticals, lenticulars, spiral bulges and unknown morphological type galaxies. The Mg<sub>1</sub> line strengths have been corrected to the Lick/IDS system by subtracting a mean offset of  $0.0204 \pm 0.0025$  mag. The Fe5406 line strength errors have been multiplied by 1.647 to correct for an underestimation of the errors demonstrated in the scale test analysis.

internal systematic errors clouding any analyses (such errors are often introduced when multiple data sets are combined), it provides a powerful tool to probe the evolutionary history of the stellar populations of bright early-type galaxies within the core of the Coma cluster. These stellar populations act as fossil records of galaxy formation and evolution, placing new constraints on theoretical models.

Paper III will combine the spectroscopic data with photometry to investigate in detail various spectrophotometric relations. It will probe the impact of the measured ages, metallicities and line indices on these spectrophotometric relations to place further constraints on the models of galaxy formation and evolution.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is based on observations made with the 4.2-m William Herschel Telescope operated on the island of La Palma by the Isaac Newton Group in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias. This research has made use of the USNOFS Image and Catalogue Archive operated by the United States Naval Observatory, Flagstaff Station (www.nofs.navy.mil/data/fchpix/). It has also used the UK Schmidt Telescope situated at Siding Spring Observatory, New South Wales, Australia, which is operated as part of the Anglo-Australian Observatory. Schmidt plates were scanned in using the advanced photographic plate digitizing machine SuperCOSMOS operated by the Royal Observatory Edinburgh.

# REFERENCES

- Beijersbergen M., Hoekstra H., van Dokkum P.G., van der Hulst T., 2002, MNRAS, 329, 385
- Bender R., Burstein D., Faber S.M., 1992, ApJ, 399, 462
- Bender R., Burstein D., Faber S.M., 1993, ApJ, 411, 153
- Bernardi M., Renzini A., da Costa L.N., Wegner G., Alonso M.V., Pellegrini P.S., Rité C., Willmer C.N.A., 1998, ApJ, 508, 143
- Binggeli B., Sandage A., Tammann G.A., 1988, ARA&A, 26, 509
- Bower R.G., Lucey J.R., Ellis R.S., 1992, MNRAS, 254, 601
- Burstein D., Faber S.M., Gaskell C.M., Krumm N., 1984, ApJ, 287, 586
- Burstein D., Davies R.L., Dressler A., Faber S.M., Lynden-Bell D., 1988, in Proc. 5th Workshop of the Advanced School of Astronomy, Towards Understanding Galaxies at Large Redshift. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 17
- Caldwell N., 1984, PASP, 96, 287
- Caldwell N., Rose J.A., 1997, AJ, 113, 492
- Caldwell N., Rose J.A., Sharples R.M., Ellis R.S., Bower R.G., 1993, AJ, 106, 473
- Castander F.J. et al., 2001, AJ, 121, 2331
- Ciotti L., Lanzoni B., Renzini A., 1996, MNRAS, 282, 1
- Colless M., Burstein D., Davies R.L., McMahan R.K., Saglia R.P., Wegner G., 1999, MNRAS, 303, 813
- Djorgovski S., Davis M., 1987, ApJ, 313, 59
- Dressler A., 1980, ApJS, 42, 565
- Dressler A., 1987, ApJ, 317, 1
- Dressler A., Lynden-Bell D., Burstein D., Davies R.L., Faber S.M., Terlevich R., Wegner G., 1987, ApJ, 313, 42
- Dreyer J.L.E., 1888, A New General Catalogue of Nebulae and Clusters of Stars, being the Catalogue of the late Sir John F.W. Herschel, Bart., revised, corrected and enlarged, Mem. R. Astron. Soc., 49, 1
- Dreyer J.L.E., 1908, Index Catalogue of Nebulae and Clusters of Stars (IC 1530–5386), containing objects found in the years 1895 to 1907, with Notes and Corrections to the New General Catalogue and to the Index Catalogue for 1888–94, Mem. R. Astron. Soc., 59, 105
- Edwards S.A., Colless M., Bridges T.J., Carter D., Mobasher B., Poggianti B.M., 2002, ApJ, 567, 178

Faber S.M., 1973, ApJ, 179, 731

- Faber S.M., Friel E.D., Burstein D., Gaskell C.M., 1985, ApJS, 57, 711
- Faber S.M., Dressler A., Davies R.L., Burstein D., Lynden-Bell D., 1987, in Proc. Eighth Santa Cruz Summer Workshop in Astronomy and Astrophysics, Nearly normal galaxies: from the Planck time to the present. Springer-Verlag. New York, p. 175
- Godwin J.G., Metcalfe N., Peach J.V., 1983, MNRAS, 202, 113
- González J.J., 1993, PhD thesis, Univ. of California, Santa Cruz
- Goudfrooij P., Emsellem E., 1996, A&A, 306, 45
- Goudfrooij P., Hansen L., Jorgensen H.E., Norgaard-Nielsen H.U., de Jong T., van den Hoek L.B., 1994, A&AS, 104, 179
- Gunn J.E., Dressler A., 1988, in Kron R.G., Renzini A., eds, Towards an Understanding of Galaxies at High Redshift. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 227
- Guzmán R., Lucey J.R., Bower R.G., 1993, MNRAS, 265, 731
- Hambly N.C., Davenhall A.C., Irwin M.J., MacGillivray H.T., 2001, MN-RAS, 326, 1315
- Ho L.C., Filippenko A.V., Sargent W.L.W., 1997, ApJS, 112, 315
- Hudson M.J., Lucey J.R., Smith R.J., Schlegel D.J., Davies R.L., 2001, MNRAS, 327, 265
- Jørgensen I., 1997, MNRAS, 288, 161
- Jørgensen I., 1999, MNRAS, 306, 607
- Jørgensen I., Franx M., Kjaergaard P., 1995a, MNRAS, 273, 1097
- Jørgensen I., Franx M., Kjaergaard P., 1995b, MNRAS, 276, 1341
- Kuntschner H., 2000, MNRAS, 315, 184
- Kuntschner H., Davies R.L., 1998, MNRAS, 295, 29
- Kuntschner H., Lucey J.R., Smith R.J., Hudson M.J., Davies R.L., 2001, MNRAS, 323, 615
- MacLaren I., Ellis R.S., Couch W.J., 1988, MNRAS, 230, 249
- Massey P., Strobel K., Barnes J.V., Anderson E., 1988, ApJ, 328, 315
- Mehlert D., Saglia R.P., Bender R., Wegner G., 2000, A&AS, 141, 449
- Monet D. et al., 1997, USNOA2, A Catalog of Astrometric Standards, www.nofs.navy.mil/data/fchpix/
- Moore S.A.W., 2001, PhD thesis, Univ. of Durham
- Moore S.A.W., Lucey J.R., Kuntschner H., Davies R.L., Colless M., 2001, Ap&SS, 277, 429
- Moore S.A.W., Lucey J.R., Kuntschner H., Colless M., 2002a, MNRAS, submitted (Paper II)
- Moore S.A.W., Lucey J.R., Kuntschner H., Colless M., 2002b, MNRAS, submitted (Paper III)
- Phillips M.M., Jenkins C.R., Dopita M.A., Sadler E.M., Binette L., 1986, AJ, 91, 1062
- Poggianti B.M. et al., 2001, ApJ, 563, 118
- Pollacco D., Bridges T.J., Rees P.C.T., Lewis J.R., Boyle B.J., Lewis I., King D.L., 1999, AUTOFIB2/WYFFOS User Manual, www.ing.iac.es/Astronomy/instruments/af2/af2manual.ps.gz
- Rood H.J., Baum W.A., 1967, AJ, 72, 398
- Sargent W.L.W., Schechter P.L., Boksenberg A., Shortridge K., 1977, ApJ, 212, 326
- Terlevich A.I., Kuntschner H., Bower R.G., Caldwell N., Sharples R.M., 1999, MNRAS, 310, 445
- Terlevich R., Davies R.L., Faber S.M., Burstein D., 1981, MNRAS, 196, 381
- Trager S.C., Worthey G., Faber S.M., Burstein D., González J.J., 1998, ApJS, 116, 1
- Trager S.C., Faber S.M., Worthey G., González J.J., 2000a, AJ, 119, 1645
- Trager S.C., Faber S.M., Worthey G., González J.J., 2000b, AJ, 120, 165
- Tripicco M.J., Bell R.A., 1995, AJ, 110, 3035
- van Dokkum P.G., Franx M., 1995, AJ, 110, 2027
- Vazdekis A., Kuntschner H., Davies R.L., Arimoto N., Nakamura O., Peletier R., 2001, ApJ, 551, 127
- Visvanathan N., Sandage A., 1977, ApJ, 216, 214
- Worthey G., 1994, ApJS, 95, 107
- Worthey G., Ottaviani D.L., 1997, ApJS, 111, 377

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.