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#### Abstract

We have carried out a survey for CO $J=1-0$ and $J=2-1$ emission in the 260 earlytype galaxies of the volume-limited ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample, with the goal of connecting their star formation and assembly histories to their cold gas content. This is the largest volume-limited CO survey of its kind and is the first to include many Virgo cluster members. Sample members are dynamically hot galaxies with a median stellar mass $\sim 3 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$; they are selected by their morphology rather than colour, and the bulk of them lie on the red sequence. The overall CO detection rate is $56 / 259=0.22 \pm 0.03$, with no dependence on the $K$ luminosity and only a modest dependence on the dynamical mass. There are a dozen CO detections among the Virgo cluster members; statistical analysis of their $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mass distributions and their dynamical status within the cluster shows that the cluster's influence on their molecular masses is subtle at best, even though (unlike spirals) they seem to be virialized within the cluster. We suggest that the cluster members have retained their molecular gas through several Gyr residences in the cluster. There are also a few extremely CO-rich early-type galaxies with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ masses $\gtrsim 10^{9} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and these are in low-density environments. We do find a significant trend between the molecular content and stellar specific angular momentum. The galaxies of low angular momentum also have low CO detection rates, suggesting that their formation processes were


[^0]more effective at destroying the molecular gas or preventing its re-accretion. We speculate on the implications of these data for the formation of various subclasses of early-type galaxies.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

Understanding the galaxy formation and evolution is at the heart of much of current astrophysics. The task is, however, greatly hindered by the lack of quantitative, physically driven (as opposed to empirical) models of the regulation of the molecular gas and star formation on galactic scales. All galaxies or protogalaxies must have begun as gas-rich entities and during their first epochs of the star formation would have belonged to the 'blue cloud' in a galaxy colour-magnitude diagram. In contrast, today, there is a clear separation between the blue cloud (composed mainly of star-forming disc and dwarf galaxies) and the red sequence (old and dynamically hot stellar systems). Thus, an outstanding question related to the galaxy evolution is how the present-day early-type galaxies moved quickly from the blue cloud to the red sequence.

This rapid movement to the red sequence requires, at minimum, an abrupt cessation of star formation at high redshift so that the global colours change from blue to red (Thomas et al. 2005, 2010). Since today's early-type galaxies are generally poor in the atomic and molecular gas (Lees et al. 1991), it is often assumed that the cessation of the star formation was achieved by removing, destroying or consuming the cold gas. The most massive early-type galaxies are often rich in hot gas (O'Sullivan, Forbes \& Ponman 2001), so it is possible that the molecular gas is destroyed by heating. An intriguing alternative suggestion is that the cold gas might be retained but rendered unsuitable for the star formation activity (Martig et al. 2009). Thus, the story of the development of the red sequence is, to a large extent, a story of what happens to the cold gas in galaxies.

Several mechanisms have been proposed to deplete the cold gas from galaxies and move them on to the red sequence. For example, a major merger between two gas-rich galaxies could (depending on the geometry) result in a large-scale loss of the angular momentum, dropping gas to the centre of the merger remnant (Barnes 2002). The gas could then be funnelled into a black hole and/or consumed in a luminous infrared galaxy type burst of the star formation activity. Alternatively, the energy input into the interstellar medium from an active nucleus or a starburst could hypothetically destroy and/or unbind the cold gas, as well as prevent the hot gas from cooling. Environmental effects such as the ram pressure stripping, gravitational interactions and galaxy harassment could also be important in at least some early-type galaxies. In fact, it is likely that all of these processes are important at some level, but we do not yet have strong constraints on their relative impacts. The behaviour of the cold gas in all of these transformational processes needs to be better understood.

It has also been known for some years that today's early-type galaxies, while relatively poor in cold gas compared to spirals, are not completely devoid of cold gas. The gas is detected in dusty silhouette discs (e.g. Goudfrooij et al. 1994), Hı emission (e.g. Wardle \& Knapp 1986; Huchtmeier, Sage \& Henkel 1995; Morganti et al. 2006; Oosterloo et al. 2010) and CO emission (Lees et al. 1991; Wiklind, Combes \& Henkel 1995; Combes, Young \& Bureau 2007; Welch, Sage \& Young 2010). The origin of this gas is not well understood; it could have come from the internal stellar mass-loss (e.g. Mathews \& Brighenti 2003; Ciotti, Ostriker \& Proga 2010) or
it could have been acquired from an external source such as another galaxy or cold-mode accretion. In some early-type galaxies, the case for an external origin is very clear because the specific angular momentum of the gas is dramatically different from that of the stars. Cen A (Quillen et al. 1992) and NGC 3032 (Young, Bureau \& Cappellari 2008) exhibit this property, but in many other cases, the gas kinematics are consistent with an internal origin. Again, probably both external and internal processes contribute at some level. A quantitative understanding of their contributions would make it possible for the gas to serve as an indicator of the galaxies' evolutionary histories and the processes that moved these galaxies on to the red sequence.

These considerations motivate a study of correlations between the cold gas content of early-type galaxies and their other properties. For example, the kinematic structures in early-type galaxies (dynamically cold stellar discs, counter-rotating cores or even the absence of a measurable net rotation) preserve clues to their assembly histories. If the cold gas in these galaxies is left over from the time of their assembly, we might expect the gas content to be correlated with the kinematic structure. In addition, it would be useful to test the influence of the active galactic nucleus (AGN) activity and/or local environment on the gas content. Looking to the future, observations of the cold gas in early-type galaxies can also be used for testing theoretical models of the interstellar medium structure and of star formation processes.

We address these goals through a CO survey of the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample, a large and complete volume-limited sample of early-type galaxies spanning a variety of environments and AGN activity levels. This is the largest survey to date of CO in early-type galaxies, being factors of 5-10 larger than previous studies with similar sensitivity. It is also the first volume-limited sample to have spatially resolved stellar kinematics, stellar populations and ionized gas data for all target members. While the smaller CO survey of the SAURON early-type galaxies (Combes et al. 2007) included both Virgo cluster members and field galaxies, it was not a volumelimited sample. This paper is the first to allow reliable statistical analyses of gas contents inside and outside the Virgo cluster.

Section 2 summarizes the selection criteria for the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample. Section 3 describes the 204 new CO observations obtained for this survey and the literature data collected for the remainder. Section 4 describes global results of the survey and Section 5 analyses the molecular gas content compared to a variety of galaxy properties including the stellar kinematics and environment. Correlations with stellar populations, the star formation activity and AGN activity will be discussed in a future paper. The discussion in Section 6 covers implications for the origin of the molecular gas in early-type galaxies, the removal of gas in clusters and the possible transformations of spirals into early-type galaxies.

## 2 SAMPLE

The ATLAS ${ }^{3 \mathrm{D}}$ sample is a complete volume-limited sample of earlytype galaxies brighter than $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}=-21.5$, covering distances out to 42 Mpc , with some restrictions on the Declination and Galactic latitude (see Cappellari et al. 2011a, hereinafter Paper I). The
early-type sample is actually drawn from a parent sample which has no colour or morphological selection and optical images of the entire parent sample have been inspected by eye for the large-scale spiral structure. The presence or absence of the spiral structure is, of course, a physically motivated selection criterion because it tests whether the stellar population is dynamically cold (and selfgravitating) or not. The 260 galaxies lacking the spiral structure form the basis of the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ project. Integral-field optical spectroscopy was obtained with the SAURON instrument on the William Herschel Telescope; it covers a field of at least $33 \times 41 \mathrm{arcsec}^{2}$, typically extending to one effective (half-light) radius $R_{\mathrm{e}}$.
Paper I gives additional information on the details of the morphological selection of the sample, assessment of the Virgo cluster membership, the distances of the galaxies and measured optical velocities. Paper I shows that the morphologically selected ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ galaxies clearly trace out the red sequence, with a small population of bluer galaxies in the 'transition region' between the blue cloud and the red sequence. Krajnović et al. (2011) (hereinafter Paper II) present a morphological classification of the internal stellar kinematic structure of the galaxies and Emsellem et al. (2011) (hereinafter Paper III) analyse the stellar specific angular momentum proxy $\lambda_{R}$ for the galaxies. Cappellari et al. (2011b) (hereinafter Paper VII) present measurements of the local galaxy density, which we use for the study of environmental effects on the cold gas content.

## 3 DATA

### 3.1 IRAM 30-m observations and data reduction

The IRAM 30-m telescope at Pico Veleta, Spain, was used for simultaneous observations of the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and (2-1) emission during 2007 July, 2008 March and 2008 November. The beam full width at half-maximum (FWHM) is, respectively, 21.6 and 10.8 arcsec at the two frequencies. The SIS receivers were used for observations in the wobbler switching mode, with reference positions offset by $\pm 100$ arcsec in azimuth. The $1-\mathrm{MHz}$ filterbank back-end gave an effective total bandwidth of $512 \mathrm{MHz}(1330 \mathrm{~km}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) and a raw spectral resolution of $2.6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$. The $4-\mathrm{MHz}$ filterbank gave an effective total bandwidth of 1024 MHz (also $1330 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) and a raw spectral resolution of $5.2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for CO(2-1).
If the CO emission is quite extended, the relatively small wobbler throw could potentially result in some emission being located in the 'off' positions. The signature of this problem would be a negative feature, most likely at a velocity different from the optical systemic velocity. There is no strong evidence of this problem in any spectra except for those of NGC 4649, where the known CO emission from NGC 4647 (Young et al. 2006) appears as a negative feature offset by $270 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The effect may possibly be seen in the spectra of NGC 2685, which, however, is already known to have extended CO emission (see below)

The system temperatures ranged between 190 and 420 K at 2.6 mm and between 240 and 600 K at 1.3 mm . A small number of targets had significantly poorer quality data, with system temperatures up to 1400 K for $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$, and for NGC 2698 the system temperatures were so large at $2-1$ as to make those data useless. The pointing was checked every $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$ on a nearby planet or bright quasar, and the focus was checked at the beginning of each night as well as after sunrise or more often if a suitable planet was available. The time on source typically ranged from 12 to 36 min and occasionally longer, being weather-dependent, interactively adjusted so
that the final co-added ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ spectrum for each galaxy had a rms noise level near $3.0 \mathrm{mK}\left(T_{\mathrm{A}}^{*}\right) \approx 18 \mathrm{mJy}$ after binning to $31 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ channels.

The individual $6-\mathrm{min}$ scans were all inspected and those with unusually poor quality baselines or other problems were discarded to reduce the possibility that a faint emission-line feature could be created by baseline instabilities. The good scans were averaged together, weighted by the square of the system temperature. In six cases, the $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ spectrum required a linear (first-order) baseline, while in all other cases a simple constant (zero-order) baseline was used. If no emission was apparent in the spectrum, all of the channels were used to determine the baseline level. If the emission was obvious, then the baseline was determined using interactively selected line-free regions of the spectrum.
Integrated intensities for each galaxy were computed by summing the spectrum over the velocity. In the cases with weak or absent line emission, the velocity range is $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ centred on the systemic velocity of stellar absorption lines as measured from our SAURON data (Paper I). In most cases, our new velocities agree with values listed in the HyperLEDA data base. For NGC 4486A, our new velocity disagrees by $700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ due to the presence of a bright foreground star corrupting the previous long-slit spectroscopy and our original use of the HyperLEDA velocity means that we have effectively no CO data for that galaxy. For obvious detections, the integrated velocity range covers the real emission. Table 1 lists the rms noise levels in each spectrum, the velocity ranges summed and the integrated intensities $I_{1-0}$ and $I_{2-1}$. As in Sage, Welch \& Young (2007), the statistical uncertainty $\sigma_{I}$ in a sum over $N_{1}$ channels, each of width $\Delta v$ and rms noise level $\sigma$, is
$\sigma_{I}^{2}=(\Delta v)^{2} \sigma^{2} N_{\mathrm{l}}\left(1+\frac{N_{\mathrm{l}}}{N_{\mathrm{b}}}\right)$.
A contribution from the uncertainty in estimating the baseline level is included $\left(N_{\mathrm{l}} / N_{\mathrm{b}}\right.$ is the ratio of the number of channels in the line to the number of channels used for measuring the baseline level). When the integrated intensity is greater than three times its own uncertainty, the galaxy is counted as a detection. Detected lines were also fitted with Gaussian or double-horned template profiles. The fitted line areas are consistent with the summed areas; specifically, for 30 galaxies with $1-0$ integrated intensities $>3 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the mean ratio (sum area)/(fit area) is 0.96 with a dispersion of 0.04 The properties of the line fits are discussed in greater detail in Section 4.2 below.
Fig. 1 shows the integrated intensities of all sample galaxies, in units of their own statistical uncertainty (equation 1). The long positive tail represents real detections; the peak symmetric about 0.0 is caused by the thermal noise and low-level baseline wiggles in the spectra. In general, one would expect the statistical uncertainty of equation (1) to underestimate the true uncertainty in a sum because it does not account for correlated channels (baseline ripples), but Fig. 1 suggests this effect is small since the dispersion in the integrated areas is not substantially larger than the theoretical estimate. In our sample, there are a total of 56 galaxies with line intensities above the $3 \sigma$ level; four of them have $3.0 \leq I_{1-0} / \sigma_{I} \leq 4.0$ and might be treated with caution. Of these four, NGC 4684 is also detected independently in the $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ line at a higher level of significance. In 203 empty spectra, we would expect to find only 0.26 false positives with $I / \sigma_{I}>3.0$ and also 0.26 false negative 'detections' (noise that looks like an absorption line) with $I / \sigma_{I}<-3.0$. As usual, the real outlier detection rates are probably larger than that, but the fact that we find so few $3 \sigma$ negative 'detections' (Fig. 1) suggests that there are also few false positives.

Table 1. CO intensities and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ masses.

| Galaxy | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rms}(1-0) \\ (\mathrm{mK}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rms}(2-1) \\ (\mathrm{mK}) \end{gathered}$ | Velocity range ( $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) |  | $\begin{gathered} I_{(1-0)} \\ \left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} I_{(2-1)} \\ \left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ (2-1) /(1-0) \end{gathered}$ | Source | $\begin{gathered} \log M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \\ \left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC 0560 | 3.86 | 9.40 | 1703.0 | 2003.0 | 0.106 (0.347) | -0.830 (0.758) | - | 1 | $<7.67$ |
| IC 0598 | 4.19 | 5.72 | 2106.0 | 2406.0 | -0.007 (0.457) | -0.340 (0.525) | - | 1 | $<8.02$ |
| IC 0676 | 4.84 | 4.92 | 1310.0 | 1516.0 | 11.641 (0.429) | 16.654 (0.343) | 1.43 (0.06) | 1 | 8.63 (0.02) |
| IC 0719 | 2.80 | 2.74 | 1619.0 | 2022.0 | 3.472 (0.361) | 5.798 (0.311) | 1.67 (0.20) | 1 | 8.26 (0.04) |
| IC 0782 | 3.05 | 3.86 | 2274.0 | 2574.0 | 0.337 (0.343) | 0.072 (0.333) | - | 1 | $<7.92$ |
| IC 1024 | 4.75 | 6.35 | 1359.0 | 1619.0 | 11.419 (0.428) | 15.631 (0.401) | 1.37 (0.06) | 1 | 8.61 (0.02) |
| IC 3631 | 3.23 | 3.17 | 2672.0 | 2972.0 | 0.213 (0.268) | -0.006 (0.235) | - | 1 | $<7.94$ |
| NGC 0448 | 3.72 | 4.57 | 1758.0 | 2058.0 | -0.399 (0.345) | -0.767 (0.395) | - | 1 | $<7.74$ |
| NGC 0474 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.68$ |
| NGC 0502 | 3.08 | 3.57 | 2374.0 | 2674.0 | -0.344 (0.320) | 0.110 (0.291) | - | 1 | $<7.88$ |
| NGC 0509 | 3.50 | 4.27 | 2232.0 | 2323.0 | 0.477 (0.155) | 0.348 (0.165) | <1.04 | 1 | 7.48 (0.12) |
| NGC 0516 | 3.31 | 5.71 | 2287.0 | 2587.0 | -0.150 (0.303) | 0.544 (0.442) | - | 1 | $<7.82$ |
| NGC 0524 | 3.07 | 3.65 | 2192.0 | 2618.0 | 2.843 (0.348) | 4.829 (0.414) | 1.70 (0.25) | 1 | 7.97 (0.05) |
| NGC 0525 | 3.69 | 4.13 | 1989.0 | 2289.0 | 0.017 (0.330) | 0.658 (0.367) | - | 1 | $<7.75$ |
| NGC 0661 | 3.54 | 4.84 | 3665.0 | 3965.0 | 0.469 (0.329) | -0.126 (0.428) | - | 1 | $<7.75$ |
| NGC 0680 | 3.14 | 4.00 | 2778.0 | 3078.0 | -0.306 (0.291) | 0.030 (0.364) | - | 1 | $<7.87$ |
| NGC 0770 | 2.89 | 3.91 | 2393.0 | 2693.0 | 0.048 (0.319) | 0.028 (0.306) | - | 1 | $<7.89$ |
| NGC 0821 | 2.94 | 2.86 | 1568.0 | 1868.0 | -0.040 (0.331) | -0.056 (0.246) | - | 1 | $<7.52$ |
| NGC 0936 | 3.36 | 2.94 | 1279.0 | 1579.0 | -0.654 (0.324) | 0.108 (0.276) | - | 1 | $<7.47$ |
| NGC 1023 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<6.79$ |
| NGC 1121 | 3.36 | 4.30 | 2408.0 | 2708.0 | 0.367 (0.283) | 0.823 (0.346) | - | 1 | $<7.81$ |
| NGC 1222 | 4.41 | 6.13 | 2253.0 | 2603.0 | 17.354 (0.524) | 28.760 (0.560) | 1.66 (0.06) | 1 | 9.07 (0.01) |
| NGC 1248 | 3.11 | 3.55 | 2067.0 | 2367.0 | 0.307 (0.287) | 0.055 (0.281) | - | 1 | <7.68 |
| NGC 1266 | 5.82 | 4.33 | 1750.0 | 2500.0 | 34.959 (1.068) | 104.397 (0.926) | 2.99 (0.09) | 1 | 9.28 (0.01) |
| NGC 1289 | 3.41 | 4.49 | 2642.0 | 2942.0 | 0.263 (0.285) | -0.003 (0.343) | - | 1 | <7.89 |
| NGC 1665 | 3.62 | 5.53 | 2595.0 | 2895.0 | 0.506 (0.349) | 0.112 (0.394) | - | 1 | $<7.95$ |
| NGC 2481 | 3.36 | 5.79 | 2007.0 | 2307.0 | 0.030 (0.326) | 0.136 (0.492) | - | 1 | $<7.79$ |
| NGC 2549 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.06$ |
| NGC 2577 | 3.51 | 5.45 | 1912.0 | 2212.0 | -0.113 (0.294) | -0.350 (0.377) | - | 1 | $<7.71$ |
| NGC 2592 | 3.55 | 4.10 | 1829.0 | 2129.0 | 0.319 (0.305) | 0.905 (0.348) | - | 1 | $<7.54$ |
| NGC 2594 | 3.83 | 3.18 | 2212.0 | 2512.0 | 0.294 (0.302) | 0.046 (0.258) | - | 1 | $<7.83$ |
| NGC 2679 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.87$ |
| NGC 2685 | 2.78 | 7.20 | 746.0 | 931.0 | 1.158 (0.225) | 0.679 (0.425) | $<1.10$ | 1 | 7.29 (0.08) |
| NGC 2695 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | <8.01 |
| NGC 2698 | 2.94 | 0.00 | 1750.0 | 2050.0 | -0.003 (0.237) | 0.000 (0.000) | - | 1 | $<7.50$ |
| NGC 2699 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.54$ |
| NGC 2764 | 5.21 | 7.18 | 2514.0 | 2944.0 | 16.198 (0.580) | 24.398 (0.847) | 1.51 (0.08) | 1 | 9.19 (0.02) |
| NGC 2768 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 7.64 (0.07) |
| NGC 2778 | 3.66 | 5.21 | 1875.0 | 2175.0 | 0.183 (0.330) | -0.719 (0.412) | - | 1 | $<7.48$ |
| NGC 2824 | 1.95 | 5.23 | 2552.0 | 2996.0 | 4.460 (0.334) | 6.175 (0.528) | 1.38 (0.16) | 1 | 8.65 (0.03) |
| NGC 2852 | 4.15 | 4.37 | 1631.0 | 1931.0 | 0.176 (0.325) | 0.615 (0.359) | - | 1 | <7.68 |
| NGC 2859 | 3.71 | 4.73 | 1540.0 | 1840.0 | 0.319 (0.309) | 0.220 (0.364) | - | 1 | $<7.61$ |
| NGC 2880 | 3.43 | 7.69 | 1404.0 | 1704.0 | -0.088 (0.336) | -0.271 (0.511) | - | 1 | $<7.44$ |
| NGC 2950 | 3.54 | 4.89 | 1172.0 | 1472.0 | -0.115 (0.347) | -0.020 (0.465) | - | 1 | $<7.12$ |
| NGC 2962 | 3.73 | 8.97 | 1817.0 | 2117.0 | 0.074 (0.336) | -0.309 (0.712) | - | 1 | $<7.85$ |
| NGC 2974 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.65$ |
| NGC 3032 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 8.41 (0.01) |
| NGC 3073 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 7.52 (0.07) |
| NGC 3098 | 3.59 | 4.03 | 1247.0 | 1547.0 | 0.854 (0.305) | 0.222 (0.341) | - | 1 | <7.47 |
| NGC 3156 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 7.67 (0.09) |
| NGC 3182 | 3.52 | 2.81 | 1942.0 | 2239.0 | 3.007 (0.346) | 4.166 (0.265) | 1.39 (0.18) | 1 | 8.33 (0.05) |
| NGC 3193 | 4.04 | 4.19 | 1231.0 | 1531.0 | -0.081 (0.405) | -0.383 (0.315) | - | 1 | $<7.91$ |
| NGC 3226 | 3.52 | 3.72 | 1165.0 | 1465.0 | 0.306 (0.271) | 0.635 (0.294) | - | 1 | $<7.41$ |
| NGC 3230 | 3.52 | 7.08 | 2645.0 | 2945.0 | -0.374 (0.328) | -1.105 (0.571) | - | 1 | $<8.00$ |
| NGC 3245 | 3.67 | 4.76 | 1320.0 | 1495.0 | 0.736 (0.228) | 0.125 (0.256) | <1.04 | 1 | 7.27 (0.12) |
| NGC 3248 | 3.47 | 5.34 | 1331.0 | 1631.0 | 0.095 (0.321) | -0.672 (0.483) | - | 1 | $<7.55$ |
| NGC 3301 | 3.57 | 3.13 | 1189.0 | 1489.0 | 0.526 (0.301) | 0.163 (0.271) | - | 1 | $<7.46$ |
| NGC 3377 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<6.96$ |
| NGC 3379 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<6.72$ |
| NGC 3384 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.11$ |
| NGC 3400 | 3.94 | 3.90 | 1291.0 | 1591.0 | -0.363 (0.384) | -0.090 (0.309) | - | 1 | $<7.63$ |
| NGC 3412 | 4.41 | 3.36 | 710.0 | 1010.0 | -0.238 (0.413) | -0.283 (0.269) | - | 1 | $<6.96$ |

Table 1 - continued

| Galaxy | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rms}(1-0) \\ (\mathrm{mK}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rms}(2-1) \\ (\mathrm{mK}) \end{gathered}$ | Velocity range ( $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) |  | $\begin{gathered} I_{(1-0)} \\ \left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} I_{(2-1)} \\ \left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ (2-1) /(1-0) \end{gathered}$ | Source | $\begin{gathered} \log M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \\ \left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGC 3414 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.19$ |
| NGC 3457 | 3.41 | 4.58 | 998.0 | 1298.0 | 0.489 (0.303) | -0.070 (0.306) | - | 1 | $<7.35$ |
| NGC 3458 | 4.06 | 3.04 | 1727.0 | 2027.0 | -0.674 (0.307) | 0.215 (0.223) | - | 1 | $<7.73$ |
| NGC 3489 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 7.20 (0.06) |
| NGC 3499 | 3.57 | 3.21 | 1385.0 | 1685.0 | 0.861 (0.325) | 0.220 (0.249) | - | 1 | $<7.62$ |
| NGC 3522 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | $<7.28$ |
| NGC 3530 | 4.14 | 3.99 | 1744.0 | 2044.0 | 0.724 (0.341) | -0.398 (0.305) | - | 1 | $<7.78$ |
| NGC 3595 | 3.24 | 2.67 | 2027.0 | 2327.0 | 0.873 (0.311) | -0.043 (0.235) | - | 1 | $<7.84$ |
| NGC 3599 | 2.70 | 3.07 | 687.0 | 893.0 | 0.638 (0.221) | 0.959 (0.183) | >1.44 | 1 | 7.36 (0.08) |
| NGC 3605 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | $<7.48$ |
| NGC 3607 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 8.42 (0.05) |
| NGC 3608 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.58$ |
| NGC 3610 | 3.46 | 5.04 | 1557.0 | 1857.0 | -0.103 (0.320) | 0.026 (0.470) | - | 1 | $<7.40$ |
| NGC 3613 | 3.37 | 3.98 | 1901.0 | 2201.0 | -0.356 (0.309) | 0.312 (0.293) | - | 1 | $<7.66$ |
| NGC 3619 | 3.84 | 4.12 | 1303.0 | 1885.0 | 4.318 (0.510) | 3.806 (0.677) | 0.88 (0.19) | 1 | 8.28 (0.05) |
| NGC 3626 | 5.25 | 4.25 | 1202.0 | 1731.0 | 6.998 (0.658) | 11.285 (0.569) | 1.61 (0.17) | 1 | 8.21 (0.04) |
| NGC 3630 | 3.67 | 4.06 | 1349.0 | 1649.0 | -0.476 (0.350) | 0.482 (0.345) | - | 1 | <7.60 |
| NGC 3640 | 2.85 | 3.24 | 1148.0 | 1448.0 | 0.291 (0.306) | 0.382 (0.265) | - | 1 | $<7.59$ |
| NGC 3641 | 3.72 | 4.77 | 1630.0 | 1930.0 | 0.729 (0.373) | 0.036 (0.378) | - | 1 | $<7.66$ |
| NGC 3648 | 3.51 | 5.38 | 1820.0 | 2120.0 | -0.135 (0.319) | 0.008 (0.372) | - | 1 | $<7.77$ |
| NGC 3658 | 3.93 | 4.14 | 1889.0 | 2189.0 | 0.233 (0.339) | 0.582 (0.360) | - | 1 | $<7.82$ |
| NGC 3665 | 3.48 | 4.41 | 1737.0 | 2432.0 | 12.159 (0.656) | 14.247 (0.836) | 1.17 (0.09) | 1 | 8.91 (0.02) |
| NGC 3674 | 3.70 | 3.73 | 1905.0 | 2205.0 | 0.125 (0.298) | 0.272 (0.258) | - | 1 | $<7.78$ |
| NGC 3694 | 3.63 | 5.17 | 2093.0 | 2393.0 | 0.340 (0.360) | 0.488 (0.435) | - | 1 | $<7.91$ |
| NGC 3757 | 3.88 | 3.02 | 1095.0 | 1395.0 | -0.177 (0.326) | -0.686 (0.248) | - | 1 | $<7.48$ |
| NGC 3796 | 2.49 | 3.16 | 1110.0 | 1410.0 | -0.150 (0.340) | -0.001 (0.270) | - | 1 | $<7.51$ |
| NGC 3838 | 4.32 | 3.45 | 1158.0 | 1458.0 | -0.547 (0.338) | -0.016 (0.272) | - | 1 | $<7.53$ |
| NGC 3941 | 3.17 | 3.55 | 780.0 | 1080.0 | 0.090 (0.300) | -0.010 (0.272) | - | 1 | $<6.89$ |
| NGC 3945 | 4.07 | 2.98 | 1131.0 | 1431.0 | -0.122 (0.320) | 0.046 (0.241) | - | 1 | $<7.50$ |
| NGC 3998 | 3.62 | 3.57 | 898.0 | 1198.0 | 0.053 (0.338) | -0.033 (0.270) | - | 1 | $<7.06$ |
| NGC 4026 | 3.03 | 2.82 | 835.0 | 1135.0 | -0.169 (0.310) | 0.015 (0.208) | - | 1 | $<6.99$ |
| NGC 4036 | 2.79 | 2.29 | 1169.0 | 1670.0 | 0.372 (0.492) | 3.676 (0.323) | >2.49 | 1 | 8.13 (0.04) |
| NGC 4078 | 4.51 | 7.41 | 2396.0 | 2696.0 | -0.088 (0.360) | -1.343 (0.573) | - | 1 | $<7.98$ |
| NGC4111 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 7.22 (0.09) |
| NGC4119 | 4.22 | 7.34 | 1543.0 | 1767.0 | 4.537 (0.320) | 5.787 (0.435) | 1.28 (0.13) | 1 | 7.88 (0.03) |
| NGC4143 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | $<7.20$ |
| NGC4150 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 7.82 (0.03) |
| NGC4168 | 3.61 | 6.51 | 2136.0 | 2436.0 | 0.217 (0.314) | -0.219 (0.514) | - | 1 | $<7.74$ |
| NGC4179 | 3.95 | 5.57 | 1150.0 | 1450.0 | 0.519 (0.383) | 0.534 (0.388) | - | 1 | $<7.28$ |
| NGC4191 | 3.40 | 4.11 | 2496.0 | 2796.0 | 0.493 (0.310) | -0.005 (0.263) | - | 1 | $<7.94$ |
| NGC 4203 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 7.39 (0.05) |
| NGC 4215 | 3.85 | 4.36 | 1861.0 | 2161.0 | -0.007 (0.370) | -0.451 (0.385) | - | 1 | <7.83 |
| NGC 4233 | 4.16 | 9.28 | 2156.0 | 2456.0 | 0.109 (0.367) | 0.417 (0.734) | - | 1 | $<7.89$ |
| NGC 4249 | 3.84 | 3.47 | 2468.0 | 2768.0 | 0.264 (0.340) | 0.168 (0.281) | - | 1 | $<7.97$ |
| NGC 4251 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | $<7.11$ |
| NGC 4255 | 3.63 | 3.57 | 1845.0 | 2145.0 | 0.220 (0.340) | 0.250 (0.252) | - | 1 | $<7.78$ |
| NGC 4259 | 4.11 | 7.04 | 2347.0 | 2647.0 | 0.523 (0.368) | 0.996 (0.589) | - | 1 | $<7.97$ |
| NGC 4261 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.68$ |
| NGC 4262 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.07$ |
| NGC 4264 | 3.54 | 3.42 | 2368.0 | 2668.0 | 0.194 (0.342) | -0.024 (0.272) | - | 1 | $<7.94$ |
| NGC 4267 | 4.18 | 4.80 | 871.0 | 1171.0 | 0.198 (0.314) | -0.450 (0.432) | - | 1 | $<7.16$ |
| NGC 4268 | 3.72 | 4.31 | 1884.0 | 2184.0 | 0.140 (0.372) | -0.223 (0.347) | - | 1 | $<7.83$ |
| NGC 4270 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.79$ |
| NGC 4278 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | $<7.45$ |
| NGC 4281 | 3.12 | 3.78 | 2302.0 | 3073.0 | 1.286 (0.693) | 1.572 (0.602) | - | 1 | $<7.88$ |
| NGC 4283 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 7.10 (0.09) |
| NGC 4324 | 3.57 | 3.71 | 1536.0 | 1852.0 | 2.955 (0.334) | 1.977 (0.290) | 0.67 (0.12) | 1 | 7.69 (0.05) |
| NGC 4339 | 3.70 | 3.82 | 1116.0 | 1416.0 | 0.238 (0.305) | -0.632 (0.286) | - | 1 | $<7.15$ |
| NGC4340 | 4.17 | 4.74 | 783.0 | 1083.0 | -0.004 (0.349) | -0.466 (0.371) | - | 1 | $<7.33$ |
| NGC 4342 | 3.93 | 3.67 | 611.0 | 911.0 | 0.121 (0.346) | 0.326 (0.297) | - | 1 | $<7.24$ |
| NGC 4346 | 3.79 | 4.11 | 682.0 | 982.0 | 0.037 (0.374) | -0.534 (0.308) | - | 1 | $<7.12$ |
| NGC 4350 | 3.77 | 3.85 | 1060.0 | 1360.0 | 0.350 (0.352) | 0.501 (0.349) | - | 1 | $<7.18$ |

Table 1 - continued

| Galaxy | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rms}(1-0) \\ (\mathrm{mK}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rms}(2-1) \\ (\mathrm{mK}) \end{gathered}$ | Velocity range ( $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) |  | $\begin{gathered} I_{(1-0)} \\ \left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} I_{(2-1)} \\ \left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ (2-1) /(1-0) \end{gathered}$ | Source | $\begin{gathered} \log M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \\ \left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGC 4365 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.62$ |
| NGC4371 | 3.58 | 6.85 | 783.0 | 1083.0 | -0.396 (0.370) | -0.711 (0.634) | - | 1 | $<7.29$ |
| NGC 4374 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.23$ |
| NGC 4377 | 3.95 | 3.78 | 1193.0 | 1493.0 | 0.424 (0.315) | -0.458 (0.276) | - | 1 | $<7.26$ |
| NGC 4379 | 3.64 | 6.74 | 924.0 | 1224.0 | -0.455 (0.338) | 0.071 (0.503) | - | 1 | $<7.19$ |
| NGC 4382 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.39$ |
| NGC 4387 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.39$ |
| NGC 4406 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | $<7.40$ |
| NGC 4417 | 3.14 | 3.45 | 678.0 | 978.0 | -0.357 (0.359) | -0.681 (0.306) | - | 1 | $<7.22$ |
| NGC 4425 | 2.87 | 3.16 | 1758.0 | 2058.0 | 0.027 (0.318) | 0.562 (0.294) | - | 1 | $<7.20$ |
| NGC 4429 | 3.03 | 5.14 | 808.0 | 1405.0 | 6.769 (0.497) | 7.334 (0.747) | 1.08 (0.14) | 1 | 8.05 (0.03) |
| NGC 4434 | 3.77 | 5.20 | 920.0 | 1220.0 | -0.119 (0.431) | -0.045 (0.526) | - | 1 | $<7.60$ |
| NGC 4435 | 2.47 | 5.36 | 569.0 | 1022.0 | 4.361 (0.389) | 5.667 (0.686) | 1.30 (0.20) | 1 | 7.87 (0.04) |
| NGC 4442 | 3.84 | 7.72 | 397.0 | 697.0 | 0.297 (0.311) | 0.678 (0.574) | - | 1 | $<7.12$ |
| NGC 4452 | 3.94 | 3.45 | 38.0 | 338.0 | 0.020 (0.346) | 0.364 (0.262) | - | 1 | $<7.19$ |
| NGC 4458 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.31$ |
| NGC 4459 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 8.24 (0.02) |
| NGC 4461 | 3.72 | 4.74 | 1774.0 | 2074.0 | -0.256 (0.319) | 0.333 (0.399) | - | 1 | <7.20 |
| NGC 4472 | 4.12 | 5.03 | 831.0 | 1131.0 | -0.472 (0.330) | 0.050 (0.417) | - | 1 | $<7.25$ |
| NGC 4473 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.07$ |
| NGC 4474 | 3.47 | 7.41 | 1461.0 | 1761.0 | 0.359 (0.329) | 0.365 (0.482) | - | 1 | $<7.16$ |
| NGC 4476 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 8.05 (0.04) |
| NGC 4477 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 7.54 (0.06) |
| NGC 4478 | 2.91 | 4.31 | 1225.0 | 1525.0 | -0.379 (0.363) | -0.109 (0.377) | - | 1 | $<7.28$ |
| NGC 4483 | 3.82 | 3.17 | 756.0 | 1056.0 | 0.644 (0.314) | -0.153 (0.226) | - | 1 | $<7.20$ |
| NGC 4486 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.17$ |
| NGC 4486A | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | $<0.00$ |
| NGC 4489 | 4.03 | 5.25 | 811.0 | 1111.0 | 0.215 (0.324) | 0.708 (0.431) | - | 1 | $<7.15$ |
| NGC 4494 | 3.51 | 4.81 | 1192.0 | 1492.0 | -0.133 (0.349) | -0.937 (0.368) | - | 1 | $<7.25$ |
| NGC4503 | 4.00 | 3.40 | 1184.0 | 1484.0 | -0.083 (0.333) | 0.092 (0.257) | - | 1 | $<7.22$ |
| NGC4521 | 3.79 | 4.44 | 2361.0 | 2661.0 | -0.030 (0.321) | -0.081 (0.342) | - | 1 | $<7.97$ |
| NGC4526 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 8.59 (0.01) |
| NGC 4528 | 3.27 | 4.04 | 1228.0 | 1528.0 | -0.236 (0.311) | 0.420 (0.292) | - | 1 | <7.15 |
| NGC 4546 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<6.97$ |
| NGC 4550 | 4.22 | 5.16 | 309.0 | 609.0 | 0.234 (0.396) | 0.667 (0.571) | - | 1 | $<7.24$ |
| NGC4551 | 3.66 | 6.17 | 1026.0 | 1326.0 | 0.100 (0.371) | -0.289 (0.495) | - | 1 | $<7.24$ |
| NGC4552 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.28$ |
| NGC 4564 | 4.00 | 6.58 | 1005.0 | 1305.0 | -0.020 (0.387) | 0.468 (0.497) | - | 1 | $<7.25$ |
| NGC 4570 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.47$ |
| NGC4578 | 3.27 | 6.63 | 2142.0 | 2442.0 | 0.384 (0.323) | -0.202 (0.558) | - | 1 | $<7.20$ |
| NGC 4596 | 3.36 | 4.78 | 1715.0 | 2057.0 | 1.246 (0.294) | 1.667 (0.390) | 1.34 (0.44) | 1 | 7.31 (0.09) |
| NGC 4608 | 3.38 | 4.37 | 1700.0 | 2000.0 | 0.039 (0.401) | -0.078 (0.359) | - | 1 | <7.30 |
| NGC 4612 | 3.13 | 4.19 | 1625.0 | 1925.0 | -0.441 (0.313) | -0.098 (0.331) | - | 1 | $<7.20$ |
| NGC 4621 | 3.94 | 2.38 | 317.0 | 617.0 | 0.289 (0.336) | -0.141 (0.200) | - | 1 | $<7.13$ |
| NGC 4623 | 2.95 | 4.16 | 1657.0 | 1957.0 | -0.394 (0.294) | -0.230 (0.289) | - | 1 | $<7.21$ |
| NGC 4624 | 3.46 | 7.32 | 762.0 | 1062.0 | 0.242 (0.400) | 0.092 (0.653) | - | 1 | $<7.30$ |
| NGC 4636 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | $<6.87$ |
| NGC 4638 | 3.73 | 5.29 | 1002.0 | 1302.0 | -0.352 (0.357) | 0.384 (0.334) | - | 1 | $<7.30$ |
| NGC 4643 | 3.76 | 3.29 | 1220.0 | 1673.0 | 0.317 (0.481) | 1.111 (0.356) | $>0.77$ | 1 | 7.27 (0.12) |
| NGC 4649 | 8.94 | 8.87 | 960.0 | 1260.0 | 0.249 (0.508) | 0.214 (0.711) | - | 1 | $<7.44$ |
| NGC 4660 | 3.73 | 6.11 | 937.0 | 1237.0 | 0.131 (0.381) | -0.050 (0.522) | - | 1 | $<7.19$ |
| NGC 4684 | 3.86 | 5.34 | 1420.0 | 1844.0 | 1.544 (0.439) | 6.188 (0.638) | 4.01 (1.21) | 1 | 7.21 (0.11) |
| NGC 4690 | 3.45 | 4.06 | 2615.0 | 2915.0 | -0.724 (0.350) | -0.186 (0.327) | - | 1 | $<8.01$ |
| NGC 4694 | 6.02 | 4.80 | 1083.0 | 1264.0 | 6.182 (0.372) | 6.566 (0.301) | 1.06 (0.08) | 1 | 8.01 (0.03) |
| NGC 4697 | 3.86 | 3.84 | 1102.0 | 1402.0 | 0.466 (0.305) | -0.414 (0.269) | - | 1 | $<6.86$ |
| NGC 4710 | 8.40 | 6.89 | 896.0 | 1368.0 | 31.696 (0.923) | 40.130 (0.766) | 1.27 (0.04) | 1 | 8.72 (0.01) |
| NGC 4733 | 4.66 | 3.96 | 775.0 | 1075.0 | -0.001 (0.499) | 0.580 (0.308) | - | 1 | $<7.28$ |
| NGC 4753 | 4.51 | 3.75 | 863.0 | 1513.0 | 11.169 (0.679) | 14.203 (0.576) | 1.27 (0.09) | 1 | 8.55 (0.03) |
| NGC 4754 | 3.39 | 3.64 | 1201.0 | 1501.0 | -0.595 (0.319) | -0.177 (0.273) | - | 1 | <7.18 |
| NGC 4762 | 4.14 | 7.64 | 836.0 | 1136.0 | 0.087 (0.321) | 0.171 (0.517) | - | 1 | $<7.48$ |
| NGC4803 | 3.80 | 3.71 | 2495.0 | 2795.0 | -0.467 (0.337) | 0.018 (0.294) | - | 1 | $<7.98$ |

Table 1 - continued

| Galaxy | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rms}(1-0) \\ (\mathrm{mK}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rms}(2-1) \\ (\mathrm{mK}) \end{gathered}$ | Velocity range ( $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) |  | $\begin{gathered} I_{(1-0)} \\ \left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} I_{(2-1)} \\ \left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ (2-1) /(1-0) \end{gathered}$ | Source | $\begin{gathered} \log M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \\ \left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGC 5103 | 4.13 | 3.44 | 1123.0 | 1423.0 | -0.043 (0.383) | -0.222 (0.285) | - | 1 | $<7.58$ |
| NGC 5173 | 3.32 | 2.51 | 2276.0 | 2568.0 | 2.134 (0.288) | 3.109 (0.228) | 1.46 (0.22) | 1 | 8.28 (0.06) |
| NGC 5198 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.89$ |
| NGC 5273 | 2.90 | 2.87 | 961.0 | 1214.0 | 1.303 (0.226) | 3.309 (0.203) | 2.54 (0.47) | 1 | 7.31 (0.07) |
| NGC 5308 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.88$ |
| NGC 5322 | 3.26 | 4.92 | 1630.0 | 1930.0 | 0.054 (0.341) | -0.083 (0.379) | - | 1 | $<7.76$ |
| NGC 5342 | 2.57 | 3.48 | 2039.0 | 2339.0 | -0.131 (0.265) | -0.567 (0.262) | - | 1 | $<7.79$ |
| NGC 5353 | 3.37 | 5.23 | 1910.0 | 2633.0 | 1.358 (0.581) | 2.409 (0.891) | - | 1 | $<8.12$ |
| NGC 5355 | 3.95 | 7.37 | 2194.0 | 2494.0 | 0.854 (0.343) | 1.017 (0.587) | - | 1 | $<7.94$ |
| NGC 5358 | 3.47 | 4.84 | 2262.0 | 2562.0 | -0.308 (0.317) | -0.052 (0.365) | - | 1 | $<7.92$ |
| NGC 5379 | 4.82 | 7.80 | 1683.0 | 1911.0 | 3.914 (0.345) | 3.075 (0.610) | 0.79 (0.17) | 1 | 8.33 (0.04) |
| NGC 5422 | 4.10 | 7.52 | 1688.0 | 1988.0 | -0.326 (0.349) | -0.162 (0.628) | - | 1 | $<7.78$ |
| NGC 5473 | 3.27 | 5.99 | 1872.0 | 2172.0 | 0.062 (0.349) | 0.591 (0.477) | - | 1 | $<7.85$ |
| NGC 5475 | 3.80 | 4.35 | 1521.0 | 1821.0 | 0.437 (0.353) | 0.237 (0.384) | - | 1 | $<7.72$ |
| NGC 5481 | 3.02 | 5.44 | 1839.0 | 2139.0 | 0.133 (0.325) | 0.485 (0.451) | - | 1 | $<7.60$ |
| NGC 5485 | 3.07 | 3.99 | 1777.0 | 2077.0 | 0.121 (0.342) | 0.300 (0.318) | - | 1 | $<7.60$ |
| NGC 5493 | 3.62 | 4.33 | 2515.0 | 2815.0 | -0.436 (0.347) | 0.669 (0.369) | - | 1 | $<7.98$ |
| NGC 5500 | 3.67 | 4.82 | 1764.0 | 2064.0 | -0.696 (0.363) | -0.019 (0.428) | - | 1 | $<7.82$ |
| NGC 5507 | 4.23 | 2.50 | 1701.0 | 2001.0 | -0.129 (0.334) | 0.335 (0.247) | - | 1 | $<7.70$ |
| NGC 5557 | 3.42 | 2.54 | 3069.0 | 3369.0 | -0.566 (0.300) | -0.078 (0.238) | - | 1 | $<7.92$ |
| NGC 5574 | 3.79 | 4.06 | 1439.0 | 1739.0 | 0.016 (0.327) | -0.392 (0.288) | - | 1 | $<7.51$ |
| NGC 5576 | 3.06 | 3.57 | 1356.0 | 1656.0 | 0.081 (0.354) | -0.168 (0.272) | - | 1 | $<7.60$ |
| NGC 5582 | 3.99 | 3.75 | 1280.0 | 1580.0 | 0.499 (0.336) | -0.052 (0.274) | - | 1 | $<7.67$ |
| NGC 5611 | 3.78 | 4.72 | 1818.0 | 2118.0 | 0.675 (0.338) | -0.141 (0.353) | - | 1 | $<7.57$ |
| NGC 5631 | 3.13 | 4.51 | 1794.0 | 2094.0 | 0.166 (0.357) | 0.744 (0.341) | - | 1 | $<7.68$ |
| NGC 5638 | 3.08 | 3.27 | 1502.0 | 1802.0 | -0.019 (0.331) | 0.026 (0.251) | - | 1 | $<7.60$ |
| NGC 5687 | 3.71 | 2.96 | 1993.0 | 2293.0 | 0.454 (0.323) | 0.419 (0.261) | - | 1 | $<7.64$ |
| NGC 5770 | 3.73 | 3.80 | 1321.0 | 1621.0 | -0.250 (0.347) | -0.250 (0.305) | - | 1 | $<7.34$ |
| NGC 5813 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.69$ |
| NGC 5831 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.85$ |
| NGC 5838 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.56$ |
| NGC 5839 | 3.94 | 2.92 | 1070.0 | 1370.0 | 0.382 (0.269) | 0.246 (0.217) | - | 1 | $<7.38$ |
| NGC 5845 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.50$ |
| NGC 5846 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.78$ |
| NGC 5854 | 3.53 | 2.67 | 1513.0 | 1813.0 | 0.020 (0.314) | 0.114 (0.225) | - | 1 | $<7.60$ |
| NGC 5864 | 3.71 | 5.07 | 1724.0 | 2024.0 | 0.070 (0.354) | -0.468 (0.359) | - | 1 | $<7.74$ |
| NGC 5866 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 8.47 (0.01) |
| NGC 5869 | 3.67 | 4.25 | 1915.0 | 2215.0 | -0.357 (0.376) | 0.066 (0.317) | - | 1 | $<7.63$ |
| NGC 6010 | 3.69 | 3.76 | 1872.0 | 2172.0 | -0.082 (0.352) | -0.061 (0.272) | - | 1 | $<7.78$ |
| NGC 6014 | 5.28 | 5.07 | 2266.0 | 2570.0 | 7.482 (0.430) | 10.732 (0.413) | 1.43 (0.10) | 1 | 8.77 (0.02) |
| NGC 6017 | 4.00 | 3.66 | 1638.0 | 1938.0 | 0.885 (0.347) | 0.613 (0.283) | - | 1 | $<7.73$ |
| NGC 6149 | 3.80 | 4.22 | 2277.0 | 2577.0 | 0.614 (0.312) | -0.082 (0.354) | - | 1 | $<7.90$ |
| NGC 6278 | 3.34 | 3.26 | 2682.0 | 2982.0 | -0.233 (0.287) | 0.325 (0.335) | - | 1 | <7.98 |
| NGC 6547 | 3.45 | 5.21 | 2527.0 | 2827.0 | -0.400 (0.332) | 0.796 (0.418) | - | 1 | $<8.00$ |
| NGC 6548 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $<7.58$ |
| NGC 6703 | 3.70 | 7.12 | 2223.0 | 2523.0 | -0.384 (0.344) | -0.158 (0.563) | - | 1 | $<7.62$ |
| NGC 6798 | 2.61 | 2.79 | 2210.0 | 2510.0 | 0.795 (0.205) | 0.601 (0.204) | $<0.77$ | 1 | 7.83 (0.10) |
| NGC 7280 | 2.91 | 3.55 | 1695.0 | 1995.0 | 0.401 (0.298) | 0.427 (0.273) | - | 1 | $<7.49$ |
| NGC 7332 | 2.93 | 3.44 | 1047.0 | 1347.0 | 0.350 (0.283) | 0.445 (0.259) | - | 1 | $<7.41$ |
| NGC 7454 | 3.31 | 3.46 | 1870.0 | 2170.0 | -0.037 (0.250) | 0.247 (0.271) | - | 1 | $<7.39$ |
| NGC 7457 | 3.00 | 3.71 | 694.0 | 994.0 | -0.195 (0.299) | 0.199 (0.271) | - | 1 | $<6.96$ |
| NGC 7465 | 5.45 | 5.23 | 1827.0 | 2117.0 | 11.887 (0.446) | 21.320 (0.478) | 1.79 (0.08) | 1 | 8.79 (0.02) |
| NGC 7693 | 3.48 | 4.04 | 2352.0 | 2652.0 | 0.515 (0.320) | 0.491 (0.311) | - | 1 | $<7.86$ |
| NGC 7710 | 3.59 | 4.73 | 2257.0 | 2557.0 | 0.070 (0.296) | -0.206 (0.351) | - | 1 | $<7.80$ |
| PGC 016060 | 3.28 | 4.14 | 2556.0 | 2947.0 | 2.105 (0.317) | 1.661 (0.363) | 0.79 (0.21) | 1 | 8.26 (0.06) |
| PGC 028887 | 3.53 | 7.42 | 2683.0 | 2983.0 | 0.609 (0.346) | 1.463 (0.642) | - | 1 | $<8.03$ |
| PGC 029321 | 4.08 | 5.76 | 2747.0 | 2902.0 | 3.335 (0.284) | 4.762 (0.296) | 1.43 (0.15) | 1 | 8.53 (0.04) |
| PGC035754 | 3.55 | 5.17 | 2384.0 | 2684.0 | -0.285 (0.285) | -0.353 (0.358) | - | 1 | <7.90 |
| PGC042549 | 3.76 | 3.89 | 2672.0 | 2972.0 | 0.203 (0.388) | 0.341 (0.295) | - | 1 | $<8.07$ |
| PGC044433 | 3.53 | 4.52 | 2525.0 | 2825.0 | 0.230 (0.328) | 0.165 (0.329) | - | 1 | $<7.98$ |
| PGC050395 | 3.21 | 3.44 | 2172.0 | 2472.0 | 0.188 (0.294) | 0.042 (0.274) | - | 1 | $<7.87$ |
| PGC051753 | 2.79 | 4.12 | 2268.0 | 2568.0 | 0.253 (0.308) | 0.369 (0.314) | - | 1 | $<7.92$ |
| PGC054452 | 3.60 | 4.66 | 1768.0 | 2068.0 | -0.410 (0.335) | 0.088 (0.368) | - | 1 | $<7.73$ |

Table 1 - continued

| Galaxy | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rms}(1-0) \\ (\mathrm{mK}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rms}(2-1) \\ (\mathrm{mK}) \end{gathered}$ | Velocity range$\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} I_{(1-0)} \\ \left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} I_{(2-1)} \\ \left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ (2-1) /(1-0) \end{gathered}$ | Source | $\begin{gathered} \log M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \\ \left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PGC056772 | 2.13 | 2.69 | 2508.0 | 2821.0 | 1.620 (0.201) | 2.049 (0.203) | 1.26 (0.20) | 1 | 8.19 (0.05) |
| PGC058114 | 4.52 | 3.60 | 1383.0 | 1768.0 | 11.425 (0.450) | 21.487 (0.315) | 1.88 (0.08) | 1 | 8.60 (0.02) |
| PGC 061468 | 2.83 | 3.31 | 2282.0 | 2496.0 | 1.260 (0.211) | 0.949 (0.214) | 0.75 (0.21) | 1 | 8.00 (0.07) |
| PGC 071531 | 2.39 | 2.63 | 1880.0 | 2180.0 | 0.333 (0.262) | -0.116 (0.206) | - | 1 | $<7.65$ |
| PGC 170172 | 3.97 | 4.53 | 2412.0 | 2712.0 | -0.066 (0.373) | 0.240 (0.376) | - | 1 | $<7.97$ |
| UGC 03960 | 2.69 | 6.08 | 2105.0 | 2405.0 | -0.310 (0.318) | 0.031 (0.481) | - | 1 | $<7.81$ |
| UGC 04551 | 3.26 | 5.28 | 1578.0 | 1878.0 | -0.060 (0.292) | -0.317 (0.501) | - | 1 | $<7.62$ |
| UGC 05408 | 2.96 | 3.39 | 2868.0 | 3123.0 | 1.633 (0.259) | 3.409 (0.241) | 2.09 (0.36) | 1 | 8.32 (0.06) |
| UGC 06062 | 4.02 | 4.72 | 2484.0 | 2784.0 | -0.038 (0.314) | -0.486 (0.366) | - | 1 | $<7.93$ |
| UGC 06176 | 3.71 | 4.79 | 2540.0 | 2870.0 | 3.918 (0.380) | 8.503 (0.455) | 2.17 (0.24) | 1 | 8.58 (0.04) |
| UGC 08876 | 3.02 | 4.12 | 1935.0 | 2235.0 | 0.450 (0.299) | 0.059 (0.306) | - | 1 | $<7.80$ |
| UGC 09519 | 4.73 | 4.01 | 1501.0 | 1818.0 | 12.662 (0.436) | 13.857 (0.327) | 1.09 (0.05) | 1 | 8.77 (0.01) |
| Observed, but not members of the ATLAS ${ }^{3 \mathrm{D}}$ sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IC 2099 | 2.92 | 4.71 | 2649.0 | 2944.0 | 0.813 (0.266) | 1.861 (0.354) | 2.29 (0.87) | 1 | 7.82 (0.12) |
| IC 3102 | 3.67 | 8.13 | 2085.0 | 2385.0 | 0.256 (0.338) | 1.736 (0.613) | - | 1 | $<7.23$ |
| NGC 2697 | 4.20 | 10.85 | 1670.0 | 1979.0 | 4.365 (0.461) | 5.144 (0.965) | 1.18 (0.25) | 1 | 8.25 (0.04) |
| NGC 4292 | 3.50 | 7.21 | 2151.0 | 2397.0 | 2.923 (0.315) | 2.607 (0.512) | 0.89 (0.20) | 1 | 7.66 (0.04) |
| NGC 4309 | 4.79 | 8.25 | 951.0 | 1173.0 | 6.828 (0.390) | 7.342 (0.564) | 1.08 (0.10) | 1 | 8.05 (0.02) |
| NGC4352 | 2.93 | 4.83 | 1932.0 | 2232.0 | 0.048 (0.330) | -0.416 (0.397) | - | 1 | $<7.03$ |
| NGC 4479 | 3.92 | 5.35 | 726.0 | 1026.0 | -0.453 (0.438) | -0.656 (0.391) | - | 1 | $<7.33$ |
| NGC 4620 | 4.45 | 7.92 | 994.0 | 1294.0 | -0.277 (0.420) | -0.680 (0.706) | - | 1 | $<7.52$ |
| NGC 7743 | 5.72 | 4.80 | 1561.0 | 1805.0 | 5.673 (0.381) | 10.746 (0.339) | 1.89 (0.14) | 1 | 8.14 (0.03) |
| PGC 2800919 | 3.02 | 4.03 | 2773.0 | 3086.0 | 1.230 (0.316) | 2.495 (0.282) | 2.03 (0.57) | 1 | 8.10 (0.10) |
| UGC 01756 | 4.09 | 6.02 | 2862.0 | 3162.0 | 0.860 (0.331) | -0.536 (0.507) | - | 1 | $<8.00$ |
| UGC 05467 | 4.13 | 6.40 | 2815.0 | 2986.0 | 4.055 (0.290) | 3.399 (0.385) | 0.84 (0.11) | 1 | 8.61 (0.03) |

Notes. Intensities are quoted as main beam brightness temperatures $T_{\mathrm{mb}}$. The rms noise values are for channels binned to $31 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{-1}$. The velocity range is either $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (for non-detections) or the actual range used in the sums (for detections). All quoted uncertainties are $1 \sigma ; \mathrm{H}_{2}$ mass upper limits are $3 \sigma$. Where the line ratio is a limit, it is computed using the $3 \sigma$ upper limit on the undetected line. $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ masses assume the distances listed in Paper I. For galaxies taken from the literature, we give only the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mass corrected to our assumed distance and other quantities may be found in the original sources. Data sources: $1=$ this paper, $2=$ Combes et al. (2007), $3=$ Welch \& Sage (2003), $4=$ Sage et al. (2007), $5=$ Wiklind et al. $(1995), 6=$ Young (2002), and $7=$ Welch et al. (2010). The table is also available in its entirety at the project website: http://purl.org/atlas3d


Figure 1. Integrated intensities. For each target, the integral of the $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ line over the relevant spectral window ( $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ or the detected emission) is plotted in units of its own statistical uncertainty. Detected galaxies have a long tail up to $I_{1-0} / \sigma_{1-0}=42$, outside the range of this figure. A Gaussian with a dispersion of $I_{1-0} / \sigma_{1-0}=1.09$ is overlaid.

Conversion to main beam brightness temperatures is achieved by dividing the antenna temperatures $T_{\mathrm{A}}^{*}$ by the ratio of the beam and forward efficiencies, $B_{\text {eff }} / F_{\text {eff }}=0.78$ at 2.6 mm and 0.63 at 1.3 mm . Temperatures quoted in the tables are main beam brightness temperatures ( $T_{\mathrm{mb}}$ ). The conversion from the main beam temperature to flux density in Jy is $4.73 \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{K}^{-1}$ for the $30-\mathrm{m}$ beam at
both frequencies. The total mass of the molecular hydrogen is estimated using a ratio $N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / I_{1-0}=3 \times 10^{20} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$ (Dickman, Snell \& Schloerb 1986; Strong et al. 1988, 2004). This ratio converts an integrated intensity to an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ column density averaged over the beam and the multiplication by the beam area gives the total $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mass as
$\frac{M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{M}_{\odot}}=6.0 \times 10^{4}\left(\frac{D}{\mathrm{Mpc}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\int T_{\mathrm{mb}} \mathrm{d} v}{\mathrm{Kkm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}}\right)$.
A beam size of 21.8 arcsec is assumed and the effective beam area is $1.13 \theta_{\mathrm{FWHM}}^{2}$. The molecular mass thus only refers to the mass within the central 22 arcsec of the galaxy, which corresponds to a diameter of 2.2 kpc at a typical distance of 20 Mpc . The masses will be underestimated if the molecular gas is more extended than the beam of the $30-\mathrm{m}$ telescope. Based on the previous experience with the interferometric imaging of CO in early-type galaxies, this effect can occasionally be as large as a factor of 2 or more (e.g. Young 2002, 2005; Young et al. 2008), but it cannot be predicted a priori. The beam of the $30-\mathrm{m}$ telescope for $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ does cover roughly one-third of the SAURON field-of-view ( $33 \times 41 \operatorname{arcsec}^{2}$ in low-resolution mode), so in most cases the mean stellar parameters are determined over a region of similar size to the $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ beam. Interferometric observations of the CO detections are being made with the CARMA and Plateau de Bure arrays, and these will find the molecular gas which is more extended than the $30-\mathrm{m}$ beam.

### 3.2 Duplicate observations

NGC 3412, 3941, 4026 and 4346 were observed for this project and were also observed with the $30-\mathrm{m}$ telescope by Welch \& Sage (2003). The two sets of observations have similar noise levels and the results in all four cases are consistent between the two papers. NGC 3941, 4026 and 4346 are non-detections in both papers. In the case of NGC 3412, Welch \& Sage (2003) claim a detection in $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ at about the $3.8 \sigma$ significance level. We find no detection but have somewhat worse noise so that the flux upper limit we claim is $\approx 50$ per cent higher than the flux they quote. Similarly, NGC 7332 and 7457 were also observed by Welch \& Sage (2003), although by using the NRAO $12-\mathrm{m}$ telescope, and the non-detections reported here are consistent with those data (our sensitivity is worse for NGC 7457).
NGC 3193, 4494 and 4697 have CO spectra from the $30-\mathrm{m}$ telescope both in this paper and in Sage et al. (2007), with similar noise levels. NGC 821, 3226, 3377, 3379, 3640, 4697 and 5845 have CO spectra published both in this paper and in Welch et al. (2010). Seven of these 10 (all except NGC 3640, 4494 and 3226) are nondetections in both data sets. For NGC 4494, Sage et al. (2007) claim a detection at about the $3 \sigma$ level in $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$; the feature is weak and broad, and is not duplicated in their $2-1$ spectrum. We do not find any suggestive features in our spectrum and so we claim a nondetection at a flux level about 30 per cent smaller than the detection claimed by Sage et al. (2007). For NGC 3640, Welch et al. (2010) find a $3 \sigma$ detection in $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ but not in $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$; we find no emission in somewhat more sensitive data. For NGC 3226, Welch et al. (2010) again claim a $3 \sigma$ detection in $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ at a velocity of $1100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; however, our new optical spectroscopy indicates the stellar systemic velocity to be $1321 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Paper I) and our formal sum over $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ centred on the optical velocity finds no detection. Given the nature of $3 \sigma$ detections, there is no glaring inconsistency between these observations.

As mentioned in Combes et al. (2007), there are a small number of detections which are observed by two teams. NGC 4150 is detected both by Combes et al. (2007) and by Welch \& Sage (2003) using the 30-m telescope; the $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ fluxes are entirely consistent, but the $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ fluxes differ by a factor of 2 . The difference could easily arise from the telescope pointing, as Young et al. (2008) have shown that the CO emission in NGC 4150 is compact and a small pointing offset could mean that much of it is observed at a lower gain. NGC 3489 shows a factor of 2 difference in both CO lines between those papers, which could again be a pointing problem or inaccurate spectral baseline levels. Finally, it is worth remembering that the absolute calibration of these millimetre observations is not normally better than $10-20$ per cent. These comparisons suggest that in the fainter detections the flux levels (hence $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ masses) might really be uncertain by up to 50 per cent. This is especially true for the $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ line where the telescope pointing becomes both more critical and more difficult because of the smaller beam.

11 of the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ members have also been observed with the BIMA, CARMA and Plateau de Bure interferometers. Comparisons of the single-dish and interferometer total flux measurements for NGC 3032, 4150, 4459 and 4526 are presented by Young et al. (2008), for NGC 2768 by Crocker et al. (2008) and for NGC 4550 by Crocker et al. (2009). Recent observations of NGC 524, 3489, 4477 and 7457 at the Plateau de Bure are reported by Crocker et al. (2011). In general, there is reasonable agreement, given the cautionary note mentioned above. Our 30-m non-detection of NGC 7457 is confirmed at the Plateau de Bure. NGC4550, which is a nondetection here, does have a small amount of molecular gas that
is quite compact and more easily detected by an interferometer with a smaller synthesized beam. The interferometric flux of NGC 3489 is larger than what was found with the $30-\mathrm{m}$ telescope, because the molecular gas is extended over an area somewhat larger than the $30-\mathrm{m}$ beam. Other detections are consistent in the total flux and linewidth between the single-dish and the interferometer measurements.

### 3.3 Literature data

NGC 3607, 4111, 4143, 4203, 4251 and 5866 were observed with the $30-\mathrm{m}$ telescope by Welch \& Sage (2003). We use molecular masses derived from their reported $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ line intensities, scaled to the conversion factor and the distance that we assume. The method used by Welch \& Sage (2003) for estimating uncertainties and upper limits is similar to the method used here, with the slight difference that the velocity range used for summing varies between 200 and $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ instead of our constant $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Similarly, NGC 3073, 3605, 4283 and 4636 were observed by Sage et al. (2007) and we take results from that paper. The CO emission in NGC 5866 and 3607 seems to be extended, as the line is detected in pointings offset from the galaxy nucleus. However, for consistency with the rest of our observations, only the data from the central pointings are used here. Data for NGC 3522 are taken from Welch et al. (2010).
The most recent single-dish CO spectrum of NGC 4476 is published by Wiklind et al. (1995), but the signal-to-noise ratio in that spectrum is quite low. We consider the $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ flux measured by Young (2002) in a map made with the BIMA array to be more reliable and have used that value.
NGC 4278 was observed at the IRAM 30-m telescope by both Combes et al. (2007) and Welch et al. (2010). The former reports a tentative detection of $2.1 \pm 0.41 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in the $1-0$ line, whereas the latter reports a $3 \sigma$ upper limit of $1.9 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. An observation with the IRAM Plateau de Bure interferometer reports a more sensitive upper limit (Crocker et al. 2011). The non-detection of Welch et al. (2010) is used here.

## 4 RESULTS

### 4.1 CO detection rate

The derived $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ masses and limits for all ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample members are listed in the last column of Table 1. For non-detected galaxies, the quoted mass limits correspond to three times the statistical uncertainty in an integral over the assumed linewidth. There are 56 detections out of 259 sample members with CO data, giving an overall detection rate of $0.22 \pm 0.03$. The quoted error is a $1 \sigma$ formal uncertainty assuming a binomial distribution. Thus, the current detection rate is consistent with the value $0.28 \pm 0.08$ reported by Combes et al. (2007) for the much smaller and somewhat closer SAURON sample. Of the 56 total detections, 46 are detected at greater than $3 \sigma$ in both the $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ lines. Six additional galaxies (NGC 0509, 2685, 3245, 4283, 4476 and 6798) are detected in only the $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ line, though NGC 4476 does not have high-quality data in the 2-1 line. Three galaxies (NGC 3599, 4036 and 4643) are formally detected only in the $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ line.

The detection rate reported here is a strict lower limit to the true incidence of the molecular gas in early-type galaxies. The case of NGC 4550 was already mentioned above. In addition, NGC 2685 (detected here in the $1-0$ line but not in 2-1) is known to have a significant amount of the molecular gas that is located outside our central pointing position (Schinnerer \& Scoville 2002). For the sake
of consistency and homogeneity, we use our own data for these two galaxies in the current analysis and simply bear in mind that we have not yet found all of the molecular gas in early-type galaxies. Due to the finite sensitivity of the observations, there is, of course, also a detection bias against broad and weak lines.

Our current detection rate is strikingly inconsistent with the $0.78 \pm 0.17$ detection rate quoted by Welch \& Sage (2003) for a volume-limited sample of lenticular galaxies. Even if we exclude from our ATLAS ${ }^{3 \mathrm{D}}$ sample the 25 per cent with types $T<-3.5$ (ellipticals, as classified in the HyperLEDA), our detection rate is still only $0.28 \pm 0.03$. The sample of Welch \& Sage (2003) has a factor of 10 fewer galaxies than are presented here, but the reasons for the difference in the detection rate are still not obvious. Welch \& Sage (2003) exclude known Virgo cluster members, though as we will show later, our detection rate is not much lower in the Virgo cluster. We will also show that our detection rate is not a strong function of the stellar luminosity over the range covered here ( $-26<M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}<-21.5$ ). Thus, other factors such as selection criteria and sensitivities may contribute to the difference. The luminosity selection of Welch \& Sage (2003) is done on a $B$ luminosity, which means that at the faint end of the sample the members will be preferentially bluer than other galaxies of similar stellar mass.

They could thus have larger molecular content per unit stellar mass and as we have mentioned above, it is clear that greater sensitivity would produce a greater detection rate. Welch \& Sage (2003) have integrated down to a mass limit which is a fixed fraction of the optical luminosity of the galaxy and in some cases this limit is several times deeper than we have been able to achieve. On the other hand, our limits are deeper for other objects especially at high luminosities. Since the integrated CO properties are not trivially related to the optical properties (Combes et al. 2007), it is not clear which of the two strategies (fixed thermal noise level or fixed $\mathrm{CO} / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ ratio) would be expected to produce a greater detection rate.

### 4.2 Distribution and temperature of the molecular gas

Fig. 2 shows the $30-\mathrm{m}$ spectra obtained in the $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ lines towards the detected galaxies, along with their optical velocities. The detected lines were fitted with a Gaussian to provide estimates of the peak intensity, central velocity and FWHM (Table 2). In a few cases, the detected line is obviously better described by a double-horned spectrum than by a Gaussian and these were fitted with a template which uses a parabolic shape between two sharp line edges. Comparisons of Gaussian and double-horned fits


Figure 2. $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ IRAM $30-\mathrm{m}$ spectra of the galaxies detected. The spectra have been binned to $30.6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and the scale is $T_{\mathrm{mb}}$ in mK . The $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ spectra are in the top half of each panel and the $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ spectra are in the bottom half. The arrow indicates the systemic velocity of stellar absorption lines, taken from our new SAURON data (Paper I) or from HyperLEDA (for the seven galaxies observed in CO but dropped from the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample).


Figure 2 - continued
revealed that the two shapes gave almost identical estimates for the CO systemic velocity and linewidth, so those parameters are robust to the details of the line shape in these cases of moderate signal-tonoise ratios. In many other cases, the line is too asymmetric to be well fitted by either of these parametrizations and in these cases the peak intensity, central velocity and FWHM are measured directly from the spectra.

Galaxies that were fitted with a double-horned profile shape include NGC 2764, 3182, 3665, 4429, 4435 and 4526 and UGC 06176. Other galaxies that show signs of a double-horned shape such as a flat top and shoulders above the Gaussian, or steeper sides than the Gaussian, include IC 1024, NGC 524, 3626, 4119, 4281, 4596, 4643, 6014 and 7465, PGC 058114 and UGC 05408. The classic mechanism for producing a double-horned shape is that in a disc with a flat rotation curve, the material along the major-axis (near the line of nodes) all has much the same projected velocity and it is the extreme velocity (Wiklind et al. 1997). Thus, we find that almost a third of the detections show signs that the gas is in a regular disc with a flat rotation curve. Profiles that do not show a double-horned shape could indicate that the gas does not extend as far as the flat part of the rotation curve, that the disc is face-on, that the gas is not in a disc or that the signal-to-noise ratio of the line is simply too low. Thus, the true incidence of regular discs is likely to be larger than 30 per cent.

At least 13 of the detected lines are visibly asymmetric and again that must be a lower limit since the detectability of asymmetries requires relatively high signal-to-noise ratios. These asymmetries could arise from a gas distribution which is intrinsically lopsided (as if the gas were recently acquired and has not yet settled into the dynamic equilibrium). They could also arise if the gas' spatial extent is comparable to or larger than the size of the beam. and pointing errors cause some of the gas, even in a regular disc, to fall outside the beam. Notable examples of asymmetric profiles include IC 1024, NGC 1222, 3626, 3665, 4335, 4573, 4694, 4710, 5173 and 7465, PGC 056772, PGC 058114, UGC 05408 and UGC 09519. NGC 3607 and 5866 (Welch \& Sage 2003) also fall into this category, and their gas is known to be spatially extended from detections in multiple pointings.

Systemic velocities for the CO lines (Table 2) are in good agreement with our newly measured optical velocities; the difference between those two velocities has a dispersion of only $16 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Outliers, for which the velocity difference is greater than $50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, include only cases in which our central pointing is not expected to have recovered all of the CO emission (NGC 2685) or in cases in which the CO line is faint, so that it might be intrinsically asymmetric or double horned (NGC 6798 and 3245). If there were members of the sample that had recently acquired their gas from an external source, they might have revealed themselves via the molecular gas
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which had not yet settled into the centre of the galaxy's potential. However, we find no convincing evidence for any of these cases. More detailed probes of the dynamical status of the molecular gas will require interferometric CO maps.

The integrated $\mathrm{CO}(2-1) / \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ emission ratio is listed in Table 1 and Fig. 3 plots the integrated $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ intensity against the $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ intensity when at least one line is detected. Since only one central beam has been observed, the spatial region covered is approximately four times smaller in $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ than in $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and the line ratio is affected by both the excitation temperature and the spatial distribution of the gas. If the CO emission uniformly fills both the $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and the $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ beams and both lines are optically thick, with the same excitation temperature, one expects the integrated intensity in $\mathrm{K} \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ to be the same in both lines. This result occurs because, by definition, the measured brightness temperature is a specific intensity averaged over the beam area. If, however, the CO emission is compact compared to the beams (point spread functions), then the measured intensity in the $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ line should be larger by up to a factor of 4 . The subthermal excitation (e.g. Braine \& Combes 1992) would decrease the intensity of the $J=2-1$ line relative to $J=1-0$, if densities are not large enough to populate the upper rotational levels.

Most data points in Fig. 3 have $I_{2-1} / I_{1-0}$ between 1 and 4, suggesting that in most cases the emission does not fill both beams
uniformly nor is it extremely compact. Intermediate-size scales, in which the extent of the emission is comparable to or smaller than the beams, are probably the most common. Two interesting outliers are NGC 1266 and 4684, which have quite high $\mathrm{CO}(2-1) / \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ line ratios $(\geq 3)$, suggesting compact gas distributions. A few cases show the intensity of the $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ line to be anomalously low, that is, below the one-to-one relation. These cases could be either due to the subthermal excitation or due to pointing errors which cause the intensity of the 2-1 line to be underestimated.

The molecular gas in nearby spirals is observed to have surface densities ranging from $>10^{3} \mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{pc}^{-2}$ in some galaxy nuclei to a few tens of $\mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{pc}^{-2}$ in the outer discs (Regan et al. 2006). Indeed, sensitive observations of both the $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ and the CO emission in spirals suggest that a typical cold gas disc in a spiral becomes dominated by the molecular gas when the total gas surface density is greater than about $14 \mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{pc}^{-2}$ (Leroy et al. 2008). For comparison, Fig. 4 shows the distribution of the average molecular surface densities among the CO detections of our sample. Since our data consist of a single pointing only, those surface densities are averages over the $30-\mathrm{m}$ beam ( $2-4 \mathrm{kpc}$ in diameter), based on the intensity of the $1-0$ line. Mass densities are multiplied by a factor of 1.36 to account for helium. The molecular surface densities in the CO-rich ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ galaxies are well within the range occupied by nearby spirals and 25 per cent of our detections have average surface densities greater
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Table 2. Fitted properties of detected lines.

| Galaxy | $I_{(1-0)}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $I_{(2-1)}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $V_{(1-0)}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $V_{(2-1)}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta V_{(1-0)}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta V_{(2-1)}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ <br> $(7)$ | $T_{\mathrm{mb}(1-0)}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mK})$ | $T_{\mathrm{mb}(2-1)}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mK})$ | Comments <br> $(8)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(10)$ |  |  |  |

Table 2 - continued

| Galaxy (1) | $\begin{gathered} I_{(1-0)} \\ \left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} I_{(2-1)} \\ \left(\mathrm{Kkm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V_{(1-0)} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} V_{(2-1)} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta V_{(1-0)} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta V_{(2-1)} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ <br> (7) | $\begin{gathered} T_{\mathrm{mb}(1-0)} \\ (\mathrm{mK}) \\ (8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{\mathrm{mb}(2-1)} \\ (\mathrm{mK}) \end{gathered}$ (9) | Comments (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGC 4036 | - | 3.77 (0.32) | - | 1432.0 (11.7) | - | 300.7 (24.9) | - | 11.7 |  |
| NGC4119 | 4.70 (0.30) | 5.70 (0.48) | 1663.0 (4.0) | 1667.0 (4.6) | 119.7 (7.6) | 115.0 (9.2) | 36.9 | 46.5 | 2h? |
| NGC 4281 | - | 0.56 (0.17) | - | 2360.0 (5.2) | - | 36.7 (13.9) | - | 14.4 | 2 h ? |
|  | - | 1.28 (0.26) | - | 2994.0 (7.8) | - | 94.9 (24.4) | - | 12.7 |  |
| NGC4324 | 3.14 (0.33) | 2.33 (0.35) | 1667.0 (9.5) | 1712.0 (17.4) | 182.8 (22.6) | 241.3 (34.0) | 16.1 | 9.1 |  |
| NGC 4429 | 7.18 (0.36) | 7.08 (0.54) | 1110.0 (1.1) | 1110.0 (1.7) | 549.9 (2.2) | 501.7 (3.5) | 16. | 24. | 2h |
| NGC 4435 | 4.74 (0.31) | 5.79 (1.05) | 775.8 (1.1) | 688.3 (28.3) | 440.4 (2.2) | 319.8 (84.2) | 15. | 25. | $\mathrm{a}^{*} ; 2 \mathrm{~h}$ |
| NGC 4596 | 0.37 (0.10) | 1.91 (0.33) | 1774.0 (4.8) | 1954.0 (11.3) | 31.1 (7.3) | 137.1 (22.6) | 11.1 | 13.0 | 2h? |
| NGC 4596 | 0.60 (0.16) | - | 2005.0 (8.1) | - | 50.2 (18.2) | - | 11.3 | - |  |
| NGC 4643 | - | 0.53 (0.12) | - | 1263.0 (3.1) | - | 28.8 (5.3) | - | 17.2 | 2h? |
|  | - | 0.34 (0.10) | - | 1629.0 (4.4) | - | 26.6 (5.8) | - | 12.0 |  |
| NGC 4684 | 1.73 (0.28) | 5.91 (0.54) | 1575.0 (12.5) | 1579.0 (7.2) | 142.7 (20.4) | 163.0 (16.2) | 11.4 | 34.0 |  |
| NGC 4694 | 6.20 (0.35) | 6.73 (0.29) | 1188.0 (2.2) | 1172.0 (1.4) | 80.2 (5.3) | 70.9 (3.1) | 83. | 96.3 | $\mathrm{a}^{*}$ |
| NGC4710 | 32.74 (0.76) | 40.44 (0.68) | 1133.0 (2.7) | 1123.0 (1.6) | 231.2 (5.8) | 209.5 (3.8) | 133.5 | 181.2 |  |
| NGC4753 | 11.67 (0.59) | 14.61 (0.53) | 1217.0 (10.5) | 1238.0 (6.5) | 413.2 (24.0) | 387.0 (14.5) | 26.5 | 35.5 | a |
| NGC 5173 | 2.12 (0.31) | 3.32 (0.26) | 2399.0 (11.8) | 2412.0 (6.5) | 147.2 (30.9) | 174.4 (14.1) | 13.6 | 17.9 | a |
| NGC 5273 | 1.40 (0.24) | 3.44 (0.20) | 1088.0 (11.7) | 1085.0 (3.2) | 137.2 (31.3) | 117.9 (6.8) | 9.6 | 27.4 |  |
| NGC 5379 | 3.90 (0.31) | 3.10 (0.43) | 1797.0 (4.1) | 1795.0 (3.2) | 103.2 (9.1) | 49.9 (7.1) | 35.5 | 58.4 |  |
| NGC 5638 | - | 0.39 (0.10) | - | 1653.0 (3.0) | - | 24.9 (7.1) | - | 15.0 |  |
| NGC 6014 | 8.11 (0.40) | 11.55 (0.39) | 2410.0 (4.2) | 2413.0 (2.6) | 165.2 (8.0) | 155.7 (4.7) | 51. | 77. | a*; ring? |
| NGC 6798 | 2.25 (0.36) | - | 2498.0 (35.0) | - | 437.5 (76.4) | - | 4.8 | - |  |
| NGC 7454 | 0.17 (0.07) | 0.41 (0.14) | 2017.0 (2.4) | 2046.0 (4.4) | 9.5 (6.2) | 30.8 (12.6) | 16.7 | 12.7 |  |
| NGC 7465 | 12.53 (0.39) | 22.38 (0.47) | 1974.0 (2.1) | 1974.0 (1.4) | 129.8 (4.1) | 143.9 (2.8) | 94. | 176. | $\mathrm{a}^{*} ; 2 \mathrm{~h}$ |
| PGC 016060 | 2.09 (0.31) | 1.66 (0.35) | 2751.0 (19.0) | 2768.0 (19.7) | 251.3 (40.8) | 195.3 (43.7) | 7.8 | 8.0 |  |
| PGC 029321 | 3.58 (0.32) | 4.82 (0.34) | 2826.0 (4.4) | 2823.0 (2.9) | 98.7 (9.4) | 89.9 (6.5) | 34.1 | 50.4 |  |
| PGC 056772 | 1.70 (0.22) | 2.02 (0.22) | 2656.0 (14.8) | 2698.0 (9.6) | 228.6 (34.3) | 155.2 (20.2) | 7.0 | 12.2 | a |
| PGC 058114 | 11.63 (0.34) | 22.27 (0.27) | 1507.0 (2.2) | 1522.0 (0.9) | 147.2 (4.9) | 160.5 (1.9) | 74.2 | 130.3 | a; 2h |
| PGC 061468 | 1.32 (0.22) | 1.06 (0.20) | 2390.0 (11.8) | 2385.0 (9.4) | 136.0 (21.7) | 100.5 (13.1) | 9.1 | 9.9 |  |
| UGC 05408 | 1.48 (0.25) | 3.34 (0.24) | 2963.0 (9.6) | 2972.0 (3.7) | 107.2 (22.8) | 103.8 (8.4) | 13.0 | 30.3 | a; 2h? |
| UGC 06176 | 4.04 (0.37) | 9.13 (0.52) | 2713.0 (10.1) | 2692.0 (6.4) | 207.7 (18.6) | 236.2 (12.4) | 18.3 | 36.3 | 2h |
| UGC 09519 | 13.17 (0.42) | 13.75 (0.33) | 1665.0 (2.9) | 1686.0 (1.7) | 179.5 (6.3) | 155.0 (4.1) | 73. | 83.3 | a* |


| IC 2099 | $0.97(0.18)$ | $1.90(0.29)$ | $2758.0(9.4)$ | $2783.0(7.2)$ | $94.6(16.5)$ | $100.8(15.1)$ | 9.6 | 17.7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGC 2697 | $4.74(0.53)$ | - | $1784.0(14.6)$ | - | $254.8(29.3)$ | - | 17.5 | - |
| NGC 4292 | $3.10(0.33)$ | - | $2280.0(9.3)$ | - | $166.8(18.4)$ | - | 17.4 | - |
| NGC 4309 | $7.07(0.37)$ | $7.92(0.57)$ | $1055.0(2.9)$ | $1056.0(3.8)$ | $110.5(6.3)$ | $112.1(7.9)$ | 60.1 | 66.4 |
| NGC 7743 | $5.83(0.33)$ | $11.06(0.34)$ | $1661.0(3.0)$ | $1661.0(1.6)$ | $104.7(6.7)$ | $115.6(3.8)$ | 52.3 | 89.9 |
| PGC 2800919 | $1.30(0.25)$ | $2.62(0.27)$ | $2920.0(16.7)$ | $2937.0(8.5)$ | $153.1(22.5)$ | $161.7(15.4)$ | 8.0 | 15.2 |
| UGC 05467 | $4.29(0.31)$ | $3.72(0.39)$ | $2888.0(4.1)$ | $2878.0(4.4)$ | $108.0(8.0)$ | $84.8(8.2)$ | 37.3 | 41.3 |

Notes. Column (1): source name; Columns (2) and (3): line area in $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$; Columns (4) and (5): central velocity in $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and $\mathrm{CO}(2-$ 1 ); Columns (6) and (7): FWHM in $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$; and Columns (8) and (9): peak brightness temperature in $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$. $\mathrm{Formal} 1 \sigma$ uncertainties in each quantity are quoted in parentheses. Comment ' $a$ ' in Column (10) indicates that the line profile is notably asymmetric and comment ' $\mathrm{a}^{*}$ ' indicates that the line is sufficiently asymmetric that the peak brightness temperature (and area, systemic velocity and width for NGC 3665 in $2-1$ ) has been measured directly off the profile rather than with a fit. Comments ' $2 h$ ' and ' $2 h$ ?' indicate a (possibly) double-horned line profile. Notes on specific galaxies: NGC 1266 - the $1-0$ line is, formally speaking, adequately fitted with one Gaussian component but the $2-1$ line has broad, low-level wings which require two Gaussian components of the same centre and different widths; NGC 6014 - the line profile is neither a double horn nor a Gaussian, but the central dip suggests a ringlike CO distribution; NGC 4281, 5638 and 7454 - the spectrum shows hints of two peaks. The peaks were fitted independently and both velocities are listed. Formally, the Gaussian fit detects each of these with an integrated area greater than $3 \sigma$, but as there is no emission within $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ of the optical velocity it is a non-detection in Table 1 and it is NOT counted as a detection in the rest of the analysis. NGC 4643 is formally detected via the integrated intensity in the central $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ of the 2-1 spectrum and its line is also suggestive of two peaks. As for NGC 4281, the two velocities listed are those of the two peaks (rather than the systemic velocity that one would infer from assuming that those are double horned).
than $50 \mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{pc}^{-2}$. Galaxies showing signs of double-horned or asymmetric profiles (Table 2) have a surface density distribution which resembles that of the whole sample, with the caveat that such signs cannot be identified if the signal-to-noise ratio is low. The apparent dearth of double-horned or asymmetric profiles at small surface densities may only be an effect of the noise level. These considerations suggest that the CO discs in early-type galax-
ies probably have gross characteristics which are not too different from the molecular discs in spirals.

The galaxies with the highest average surface densities are NGC $1266\left(230 \mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{pc}^{-2}\right)$ and NGC $4710\left(210 \mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{pc}^{-2}\right)$. Since the line ratios for NGC 1266 already indicate that the gas distribution is more compact than the $1-0$ beam, this galaxy's true molecular surface density is even higher than $230 \mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{pc}^{-2}$. In fact,


Figure 3. Integrated intensities of $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ for the detected galaxies. For those detected in one transition but not the other, an arrow is plotted with the tail at the $3 \sigma$ formal limit in the non-detected transition. Two indicative lines are also plotted, the bottom one showing $I_{1-0}=I_{2-1}$ and the top showing $I_{2-1}=4 I_{1-0}$.


Figure 4. Average molecular surface densities for the detected galaxies. The open histogram shows all detected galaxies. The horizontal and vertical lines show galaxies with double-horned and asymmetric profiles, respectively.
high-resolution interferometric observations show that the molecular gas in the galaxy is so compact that the surface densities are of the order of $10^{4} \mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{pc}^{-2}$ (Alatalo et al. 2011).

### 4.3 CO linewidths

As mentioned above, the CO line shapes and widths carry information about the gas kinematics and radial extent, and an appropriate analysis of the linewidth distribution can help extract this information at least in a statistical sense. The $1300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ bandwidth should be large enough to detect all reasonable CO lines, if the signal-tonoise ratio were not a limitation. In practice, of course, for a fixed $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mass, spreading the emission over a larger velocity range will decrease the amplitude of the peak and make the line more difficult to detect, and since a broad CO line implies a massive host galaxy with a deep potential well, a bias against the detection of broad lines could potentially affect the statistical analysis of molecular mass distributions.

In this context, it is useful to consider the effects of our analysis procedures on the detectability of faint emission lines. A line of low amplitude may erroneously be included in the estimate of the


Figure 5. Observed and modelled CO linewidths. The models are described in the text.
spectral baseline level. Then, in searching for detections, we sum the baseline-subtracted spectrum over a $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ velocity range, which (of course) will not be the entire line if the linewidth is larger than $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Both of these procedures make the recovered line area systematically smaller than the 'true' line area for weak lines. However, for lines of $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ or narrower, the recovered area is a constant fraction of the 'true' area regardless of the linewidth. Monte Carlo simulations of our analysis procedure confirm this expected result. The simulations also confirm the strong bias against broader lines (at a fixed line area) for linewidths greater than $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. For example, typical non-detections in our sample have thermal noise levels such that an integral over $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ has an uncertainty of $0.33 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. A line of the $3.3 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ area and $600 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ width (amplitude 5.5 mK ), and a typical thermal noise level, actually has only a 40 per cent chance of being recovered as a detection by our analysis procedures if it is mistakenly included in the baseline estimate, though it has a 97 per cent chance if it is not included in the baseline. Thus, for lines of $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ or narrower there should be no bias in the detectability as a function of the linewidth (for a fixed line area), though for lines broader than $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ the effect can be severe at small amplitudes.

Fig. 5 shows the observed distribution of CO linewidths in the ATLAS $^{3 D}$ sample. As described in Section 4.2, these are the FWHMs of either a fitted Gaussian or a double-horned profile (in fact both methods give the same width for profiles which are obviously double horned). Three galaxies each of which has two features detected at greater than $3 \sigma$ formal significance in a Gaussian fit are here interpreted as faint double-horned profiles; they are NGC 4281 and 4643 in $J=2-1$ ( 634 and $366 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ wide, respectively) and NGC 4596 in $J=1-0\left(231 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$ wide). Six detections from Welch \& Sage (2003) and Sage et al. (2007) do not have fitted linewidths quoted in their discovery papers, and in these cases the linewidths are estimated from plots of the spectra; four of the six are asymmetric enough that fits would be useless in any case.

The observed CO linewidths can be compared to simple model distributions generated as follows. In 'TF model 1 ', an estimated $V_{\mathrm{c}}$ is generated for each ATLAS ${ }^{3 \mathrm{D}}$ galaxy from its $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ via the lenticular Tully-Fisher relation of Williams, Bureau \& Cappellari (2010). For each member of the sample, we then generate 20 model CO linewidths as $2 V_{\mathrm{c}} \sin (i)$, where the inclinations are random and
the probability of an inclination $i$ is $p(i)=\sin (i)$. The model CO linewidth distribution is scaled down in amplitude by a factor of 20 and by the global detection rate 0.22 to appear as the histogram in Fig. 5. In 'TF model 2', a similar procedure is followed except that $V_{\mathrm{c}}$ is generated from the galaxy's dynamical mass, again using the relations of Williams et al. (2010), and in this case only the CO detections are used. Additional details on the dynamical masses are given in Section 5.2.

These models show the expected CO linewidth distributions under some non-trivial assumptions that (1) the Tully-Fisher relations quoted above are appropriate; (2) the CO is always in relaxed discs which reach to the flat, asymptotic value of the circular velocity curve; and (3) in the case of model 1 , the CO-rich galaxies have the same $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ and circular velocity distribution as the entire sample. The third assumption is addressed in Section 5 below, where we show that the CO detection rate is not dependent on $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$. There is a modest bias in the CO detection rate with the dynamical mass, but model 2 already corrects for that bias by using only the CO detections. Some justification for the second assumption is suggested by the preponderance of double-horned profiles, but interferometric maps and independently derived circular velocity profiles will be required in order to check for which galaxies the assumption is not satisfied. Four cases are discussed in detail by Young et al. (2008).

It is apparent that we have detected many more relatively narrow lines, of FWHM $100-200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, than would be expected in either of the simple models. Based on the above analysis of our detection procedures, we believe that the observed peak at around 150 km $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and the associated drop in the histogram between 150 and $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ are not imposed by our methodology. They could be related to a breakdown of the assumptions, which would mean that we do not have an accurate estimate of $V_{\mathrm{c}}$ or the CO does not extend far enough in radius to trace $V_{\mathrm{c}}$ in some cases. Using the profile full width at 20 per cent of the peak, rather than at 50 per cent, would eliminate some of the discrepancy but not all of it. For Gaussian profiles, that change would increase the measured width by a factor of 1.5 , but for double-horned profiles, it should not affect the measured width. There are also several observational
effects which would tend to produce an overabundance of narrow lines. Narrow lines will arise if CO is extended and pointing errors prevent the detection of one horn of a double-horned profile [as in an extreme version of our $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ spectrum of NGC 3665]. If the CO is in a ring whose radius is larger than the $30-\mathrm{m}$ beam, a narrow line may also result. Based on optical images, this effect may be a problem for a galaxy such as NGC 5379. Both of these discrepancies would also be rectified with interferometric maps. One impact of these effects is that care is required when using the CO linewidths for a Tully-Fisher study (Davis et al. 2011).

## 5 MOLECULAR MASS CORRELATIONS

One of the major unsolved questions concerning the molecular gas in early-type galaxies is its origin - whether it has been present in one form or another since the galaxies were assembled or whether it was more recently acquired from some external source. The ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ data give a wealth of information on the structural, dynamical and stellar population properties of the galaxies, or in other words, their assembly and star formation histories. An examination of which types of galaxies are gas-rich and which are gas-poor may help to address the origins of the gas and, by implication, its role in the evolution of different kinds of early-type galaxies. Figs 6-14 compare the molecular gas contents to various other properties of the host galaxies. We discuss the implications of these results below.

### 5.1 Stellar luminosity

Fig. 6 shows the distribution of the molecular mass with the $K$-band luminosity and a histogram of $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ for the CO-detected galaxies. CO emission is detected over nearly the entire range of luminosities in the sample and there is no clear trend in $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ versus $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$. There is a small hint of a decrease in the detection rate at the highest luminosities. Only one out of the 13 galaxies with $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}<-25$ is detected, whereas three would have been expected at the global average detection rate. However, the statistical significance of this


Figure 6. Molecular masses and $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$. The small crosses are ATLAS ${ }^{3 \mathrm{D}}$ sample galaxies that are not detected in the CO emission ( $3 \sigma$ upper limits) and large circles are detections. The histograms in the right-hand panel show both the properties of the entire ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample (dotted line; left-hand side scale) and those of the galaxies detected in CO (solid line; right-hand side scale). The relative scaling for the two histograms is the global detection rate. Binomial uncertainties are indicated for the histogram of detections.


Figure 7. The Kaplan-Meier estimators for the cumulative $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ distribution functions ( $S_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ ) for high-, medium- and low-luminosity ATLAS ${ }^{3 \mathrm{D}}$ galaxies. These estimators include the effects of censored data (upper limits) in constructing the cumulative distribution function. The shaded regions indicate $1 \sigma$ uncertainties on the estimated cumulative distribution functions. The distribution functions are similar for all three luminosity ranges, suggesting no dependence of the molecular mass on the host luminosity.
difference is low. A stellar mass of $10^{11} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ corresponds to $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}=$ -24.4, at a typical stellar mass-to-light ratio of $M_{*} / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}=0.82$ (Bell et al. 2003); the CO detection rate among galaxies brighter than this is $6 / 25=0.24 \pm 0.09$, consistent with the global average.

A Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test on the $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ distributions of CO detections and non-detections indicates that they are consistent with each other (the probability that those two $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ distributions could have been drawn from the same parent population is 0.52 ). Thus, we infer a constant CO detection rate at all luminosities from $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}=-21.5$ to -26 . Refuting that model will require observations of many more galaxies brighter than $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}=-25$, but such galaxies are rare in the local universe.

The dependence of the molecular mass on the galaxy luminosity can also be investigated by comparing the cumulative $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ distribution functions for high-, medium-, and low-luminosity galaxies (Fig. 7). Since the bulk of the CO observations produced nondetections ('censored' data), the appropriate statistical tool is the Kaplan-Meier estimator for the cumulative distribution function of a randomly censored sample. We calculate the Kaplan-Meier estimator using the software packages asurv version 1.3 (Feigelson \& Nelson 1985; Isobe \& Feigelson 1990) and R (R Development Core Team 2009), with consistent answers from both packages. Here we divide the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample approximately into three bins by luminosity, that is, $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}} \leq-23.4,-23.4<M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}} \leq-22.5$ and $-22.5<M_{K_{s}}$. The CO detection rate in these bins is 19/92, $17 / 88$ and $20 / 79$, respectively. Fig. 7 shows that the $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ distributions for these three luminosity bins are all consistent with each other, an impression that is confirmed by the Gehan, logrank and Peto two-sample tests. Specifically, in the assumption that the


Figure 8. Molecular mass, dynamical mass and velocity width $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$. Symbols and lines are as in Fig. 6. Dynamical masses are obtained from Jeans anisotropic models (Cappellari et al., in preparation) as described in Cappellari (2008).


Figure 9. $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and specific angular momentum. The dashed line in both panels separates fast and slow rotators (Paper III). Other symbols and lines are as in Fig. 6.
$\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mass distributions for the bright and faint galaxies are drawn from the same underlying population, the probability of measuring a difference as large as the one observed is estimated at $0.57-0.60$. For comparison, the 'dichotomy' stellar mass of $3 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ (Kauffmann et al. 2003) occurs at $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}} \sim-23.1$ for $M_{*} / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}=0.82$ (Bell et al. 2003); this stellar mass is in the middle luminosity bin. Our analysis indicates no measurable difference in the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ content of galaxies as a function of their stellar luminosity.

The use of the Kaplan-Meier methodology merits some discussion, as it assumes the censoring pattern is random (e.g. Wall \& Jenkins 2003). In this case, the censoring in the brightness temperature is certainly not random, as observations were made to a fixed brightness temperature noise level. However, the censoring in the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mass and $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ should be approximately random, for the reasons discussed by Isobe \& Feigelson (1992), O'Sullivan et al. (2001) and Wall \& Jenkins (2003). Multiplication by the square of the distance and division by the optical luminosity effectively serve to randomize the censoring pattern in those derived quantities, since our sample spans a range of distances and there is no hint of a dependence of the CO emission on the optical luminosity in our sample (Fig. 6). Thus, we believe that the assumption of random censoring is justified. In addition, our objectives are to assess the similarity of the cumulative distribution functions of different subsets of our data and there is no reason to believe that the censoring should differ from one subset to another.

As mentioned above, the practical difficulty in detecting a broad and weak spectral line is that a given mass of the molecular gas will be easier to detect in a face-on galaxy or in an edge-on galaxy with a small circular velocity than in an edge-on galaxy with a circular velocity of $200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ or higher. This effect could cause the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mass distribution to be underestimated in the highest luminosity bin. Careful simulations would be required to gauge the magnitude of the effect, but the sense of the correction would be to increase the incidence of molecular gas in the highest luminosity galaxies.

The lack of a correlation between the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mass and stellar luminosity has been interpreted in the past to mean that the molecular gas is unrelated to the internal stellar mass-loss (e.g. Wiklind et al. 1995; Knapp \& Rupen 1996). The assumption is that the internal mass-loss would produce a linear relationship between the stellar luminosity and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mass. However, if the mass-loss material is shock-heated
to $10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ or higher by the relative stellar velocities or is otherwise heated by an ambient hot gas, it would be difficult to predict how much of it might be able to cool and re-form molecules. Environmental and feedback effects would also complicate the relationship between the stellar luminosity and the amount of the molecular gas present in a galaxy. Thus, we argue that one cannot infer either the internal or the external origin for the gas based on the $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)-M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ relationship alone (or the lack of one). The value of the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ project is, of course, that other structural and kinematic parameters and stellar population information are available for the sample as well.

### 5.2 Dynamical mass

In spite of the lack of a relationship between the CO content and $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$, there is some evidence for a modest dependence of the CO content on the dynamical mass and a closely related quantity, the global stellar velocity width $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$. Fig. 8 shows that the CO detection rate for galaxies with $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}} \leq 100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is relatively high, $0.38 \pm$ 0.05 , whereas for $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}>100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ it is $0.14 \pm 0.03$. The median $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ for CO detections is $99 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, whereas for non-detections it is $137 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The effect on the dynamical mass is more subtle, so that the CO detection rate above a dynamical mass of $3 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ is $0.19 \pm 0.03$ and below is $0.25 \pm 0.04$. A KS test on the dynamical masses of CO detections and non-detections gives a probability of 6.5 per cent that they could have been drawn from the same parent population. However, the Kaplan-Meier estimators show no significant differences in $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ masses of high- and low-mass galaxies.

For both $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ and the dynamical mass, the sense of the difference is that lower mass galaxies are more likely to be detected. It is curious that the magnitude of the effect is much stronger in $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ than in the dynamical mass. The details of the scaling relations between those two quantities are beyond the scope of this paper, but it is helpful to be precise about their definitions. Cappellari et al. (2006) explain that $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ is the second moment of the galaxy's luminosity-weighted line-of-sight velocity distribution. Because it is constructed by fitting a Gaussian to a stack of all spectra within the effective radius, it includes contributions from both the mean stellar rotation and the velocity dispersion approximately as $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}} \sim\left(V_{\text {rot }}^{2}+\sigma^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, where $V_{\text {rot }}$ is the projected mean stellar rotation velocity and $\sigma$ is the local


Figure 10. Molecular gas properties inside and outside the Virgo cluster. The quantity $\rho_{10}$ is a local galaxy density presented in Paper VII and the dashed line at $\log \rho_{10}=-0.4$ separates Virgo cluster members from non-members (Paper I). Other symbols and histograms are as in Fig. 6. The middle row is restricted to galaxies with distances less than 24 Mpc (mitigating the effects of the distance on the CO detection). The third row presents molecular masses against the three-dimensional (deprojected) distance to M87.
stellar velocity dispersion. Cappellari et al. (2006) discuss the use of $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ in the virial mass as $M_{\text {vir }} \sim 5 \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} R_{\mathrm{e}} / G$. The dynamical mass used here is, however, derived from stellar dynamical models of the SAURON stellar kinematics (Cappellari et al. 2010), using the

Jeans anisotropic modelling technique of Cappellari (2008). It can be understood as $M_{\mathrm{JAM}} \approx 2 \times M_{1 / 2}$, where $M_{1 / 2}$ is the total dynamical mass within a sphere containing half of the galaxy light. In addition, as $M_{1 / 2}$ is generally dominated by the stellar mass, $M_{\text {JAM }}$


Figure 11. The Kaplan-Meier estimators for the cumulative $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ distribution functions $\left(S_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}\right)$ for ATLAS $^{3 \mathrm{D}}$ Virgo cluster members, galaxies outside the Virgo cluster (but at distances $D \leq 24 \mathrm{Mpc}$ from the Earth) and for all ATLAS ${ }^{3 \mathrm{D}}$ members. The shaded regions indicate $1 \sigma$ uncertainties on the estimated distribution functions.
approximates the stellar mass $M_{\text {star }}$ but should not be conflated with the total halo mass out to the virial radius. The quantities $M_{\text {JAM }}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ for the $\mathrm{ATLAS}^{3 \mathrm{D}}$ sample will be published in Cappellari et al. (in preparation).



Figure 13. Velocity distributions for ATLAS $^{3 D}$ Virgo cluster members, VCC early-type galaxies and VCC late-type galaxies. The ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ CO detections are indicated in the hashed histogram. ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ galaxies are binned to $200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; VCC galaxies have smaller bins whose sizes are adjusted so that their histograms occupy the same area as that of the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ Virgo cluster members.

Several different effects could be responsible for the dependence of the CO detection rate on $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ and the dynamical mass. We first note that the molecular gas likely does induce star formation, and the younger stellar populations should be both brighter and dynamically colder than the rest of the stars. Star formation should not affect the dynamical mass or $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$, though, as $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ already includes both the rotation and the dispersion components of the velocity width. Instead, the dependence of the CO detection rate on the dynamical mass and $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ could be driven by either or both of (1) an observational bias against the detection of broad CO lines, as described above, and (2) a downsizing or rejuvenation effect in which the more massive galaxies are less likely to contain molecular gas. The observational bias would manifest itself as a deficit of CO detections in


Figure 12. Systemic velocities of CO-detected and non-detected galaxies near the Virgo cluster. The symbols in the right-hand plot are as in Fig. 6. The right-hand panel shows systemic velocities of all ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ Virgo cluster members in the open histogram (heavy line) and systemic velocities of the CO detections in the hashed histogram. Unlike the previous histograms, in this case, the vertical axes for detections and non-detections are not scaled relative to each other.


Figure 14. CO detections and non-detections towards the centre of the Virgo cluster. The grey-scale and contours are the ROSAT X-ray image of Böhringer et al. (1994). The red crosses are the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ non-detections and blue filled circles are the CO detections. The CO detection nearest to the X-ray peak is NGC 4476, whose regular and apparently undisturbed CO disc was mapped by Young (2002).
edge-on high-mass galaxies compared to face-on high-mass galaxies. A downsizing effect would be consistent with other more recent work on star formation histories as a function of the galaxy mass (e.g. Rogers et al. 2010; Thomas et al. 2010; Zhu, Blanton \& Moustakas 2010).

Of course, regardless of whether the dependence on the dynamical mass is an observational bias or a real downsizing effect (or both), something of a 'conspiracy' between the mass and the mass-to-light ratio is required in order to reproduce the result that the CO detection rate is completely independent of $M_{K_{5}}$. This issue can also be investigated in greater detail with estimates of the stellar population mass-to-light ratios of the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ galaxies.

### 5.3 Morphological type

There is a significant difference in the CO detection rate between the galaxies traditionally classified as ellipticals and lenticulars. The ATLAS ${ }^{3 \mathrm{D}}$ sample contains 68 galaxies whose morphological type in HyperLEDA is $T<-3.5$ (ellipticals). Only three out of the 56 total CO detections are in this group, for a detection rate of $0.05 \pm 0.03$. These detections are NGC 2768, 5173 and 4283, and the latter is of marginal quality (Sage et al. 2007). However, we caution that these morphological types are generally based only on the photographic imaging and have the limitations (or perhaps 'features') that they carry little kinematic information and that the presence of a dust lane in the optical photographs could cause a galaxy to be classified as lenticular rather than elliptical.
Interferometric imaging shows that the molecular gas in earlytype galaxies is invariably associated with dust lanes (Young 2002, 2005; Young et al. 2008), so that if the morphological classification is indeed biased by the presence of a dust lane, then it is nearly a foregone conclusion to find a higher CO detection rate in lenticu-
lars than in ellipticals. Indeed, Paper II tabulates the evidence for dust discs, dusty filaments and blue regions in optical images of the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ galaxies. Of the galaxies with one or more of such features, 3/49 ( 6 per cent) are classified as ellipticals and 46/49 as lenticulars; but of the galaxies with no dust or blue features, 64/209 (31 per cent) are ellipticals and 145/209 are lenticulars. Of course, these statistics do not prove a dust bias or star formation bias in the morphological classification, but they are consistent with such an interpretation.
From a different point of view, the SAURON observations of kinematics in early-type galaxies have shown that there is often little if any structural difference between lenticulars and ellipticals (Emsellem et al. 2004), so a purely isophotal classification contributes little to our understanding of their formation histories.

### 5.4 Specific angular momentum

Emsellem et al. (2007) advocate that a more fundamental way to classify early-type galaxies is with the $\lambda_{R}$ parameter, a simplified and dimensionless version of the luminosity-weighted specific angular momentum. It encapsulates some of the information on the degree of ordered versus random motions (i.e. rotational versus pressure support) that one gets from the classic $V_{\text {rot }} / \sigma$ ratio. In addition, as some systems show the rotation only within a few hundred pc of the nucleus, the $\lambda_{\mathrm{R}}$ parameter also reveals something about the spatial extent of the rotation through the radial contribution to the specific angular momentum. Slow rotators (including galaxies with the rotation only at small radii) have small values of $\lambda_{R}$. In an update of this earlier work, Paper III demarcates fast and slow rotators at $\lambda_{\mathrm{R}}=0.31 \sqrt{\epsilon}$, where $\epsilon$ is the photometric ellipticity and both quantities are measured as luminosity-weighted averages over the effective radius. Paper III also discusses the relationship between the traditional E/S0 and the slow/fast rotator classifications. There is some degree of alignment between the systems, as the slow rotators are mostly classified as ellipticals. However, the converse is not true, as 66 per cent of the ellipticals in the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample are fast rotators. The consistency between the systems is only strong at the extremes of $\lambda_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\epsilon$.
Fig. 9 shows normalized $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ masses and CO detection rates as a function of the ratio $\lambda_{\mathrm{R}} /(0.31 \sqrt{\epsilon})$, and Table 3 shows that the CO detection rate among slow rotators $(0.06 \pm 0.04)$ is significantly lower than that among fast rotators $(0.24 \pm 0.03)$. The CO detections as slow rotators are NGC 1222 and 4476. Of these two, NGC 1222 is kinematically disturbed due to a recent interaction (Paper II). NGC 4476 also presents a complex structure as its stellar velocities drop towards the outer regions of the SAURON field and shows signs of reversing at larger radii (A. Crocker, private communication). In short, there are few detections among the slow rotator class.

Both a galaxy's value of $\lambda_{\mathrm{R}}$ and its projected circular velocity $V_{\mathrm{c}} \sin i$ are affected by the inclination, of course. However, if a galaxy has small $\lambda_{\mathrm{R}}$ due to being face-on, and if it has molecular gas, it will have a relatively narrow CO line and will be easy to detect. Thus, the deficit of CO detections among galaxies of small $\lambda_{\mathrm{R}}$ is not due to inclination effects because they have the wrong sense to reproduce such a deficit.

The deficit of CO detections among galaxies of small $\lambda_{\mathrm{R}}$ is also not driven by the trend with $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ or the dynamical mass. Paper III shows that the slow rotators do tend to be more massive than fast rotators, and they have higher $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ values, but the overlap between fast and slow rotators is sufficient that this effect can be controlled. Of the 36 slow rotators in the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample, we begin with the

Table 3. CO detection rates for kinematic types.

| Type | CO | No CO | Total | CO detection rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fast | 54 | 169 | 223 | $0.24 \pm 0.03$ |
| Slow | 2 | 34 | 36 | $0.06 \pm 0.04$ |
| RRs | 51 | 162 | 213 | $0.24 \pm 0.03$ |
| NRRs | 4 | 40 | 44 | $0.09 \pm 0.04$ |
| Ring/bar/shell/tail | 23 | 79 | 102 | $0.23 \pm 0.04$ |
| No features | 31 | 122 | 153 | $0.20 \pm 0.03$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-rotators | Kinematic subtypes |  |  |  |
| Kinematically distinct | 1 | 6 | 7 | $0.14 \pm 0.13$ |
| core/counter-rotating core | 1 | 18 | 19 | $0.05 \pm 0.05$ |
| 2 $\sigma$ peaks | 2 | 9 | 11 | $0.18 \pm 0.11$ |
| NRR/no feature | 1 | 11 | 12 | $0.08 \pm 0.08$ |
|  | RR subtypes |  |  |  |
| RR/2max | 11 | 25 | 36 | $0.30 \pm 0.08$ |
| RR/other | 40 | 137 | 177 | $0.23 \pm 0.03$ |

Notes. Kinematic classifications are taken from Papers II and III. This table and the next exclude NGC 4486A, for which we have no CO data.
subset of 31 which have $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}<260 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ or 34 which have $9.5<$ $\log M_{\mathrm{JAM}} / \mathrm{M}_{\odot}<11.65$. These subsets have the CO detection rates of $0.06 \pm 0.04$, consistent with Table 3. We construct a matched sample of fast rotators by selecting (at random) one fast rotator which has $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ within $\pm 12 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ or $M_{\text {JAM }}$ within 0.1 dex of each slow rotator. By design, then, the matched sample of fast rotators has a distribution of $M_{\mathrm{JAM}}$ or $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ which is statistically indistinguishable from that of the slow rotators. Repeating the process $10^{4}$ times, we find that the CO detection rate in our matched samples of fast rotators is $0.24 \pm$ 0.07 when the matching is done by $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ or $0.36 \pm 0.07$ when matched by $M_{\mathrm{JAM}}$. Here quoted uncertainties are dispersions in the set of $10^{4}$ trials. In short, the CO detection rate is still significantly higher among fast rotators than among slow rotators, even after controlling for the $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $M_{\mathrm{JAM}}$ distributions.

### 5.5 Internal kinematic structure

Paper II documents the photometric evidence for internal substructures such as bars, rings, shells, tidal tails and anemic spiral structures in the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample. We find no evidence for a difference in the CO detection rate between galaxies showing these types of features and galaxies without them (Table 3). Similarly, we measure the misalignment angle between the stellar photometric and kinematic axes, as these misalignments can be indications of nonaxisymmetric components like bars. A KS test reveals no significant difference in the misalignment angles of CO detections and nondetections.

Paper II also uses the features in the stellar velocity maps to classify the internal kinematic structure of galaxies. Galaxies are primarily classified as 'regular rotators', or RRs, when the velocity field resembles that of a thin, inclined disc such as a spiral galaxy. Others are 'non-regular rotators' or NRRs. The RR class has a nearly one-to-one overlap with the fast rotators as defined by the ellipticity and $\lambda_{R}$, so these are assumed to be the same family of galaxies. Table 3 shows that the CO detection rate is significantly higher in the RR class than in the NRR class, and the 'matched sample' technique described in the previous section again confirms
this result after controlling for different $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ and dynamical mass distributions of the RR and NRR classes.

In terms of the kinematic structure, early-type galaxies are quite heterogeneous (Paper II); they include galaxies with no observable rotation at all (non-rotators like M87), galaxies with kinematically distinct or even counter-rotating cores, galaxies comprising two counter-rotating stellar discs, such as IC 0719, and (infrequently) face-on disc-like RRs. Table 3 shows no particularly strong evidence that the CO detection rate differs among these subtypes. The CO detections in NRR galaxies are NGC 1222 and 3073 and 7465, and IC 0719 , of which NGC 1222 is also a slow rotator and is discussed in the previous section. Curiously, the ' $2 \sigma$ peak' galaxies made of two counter-rotating discs are exceptions to the general rule that the CO detection rate is higher at low $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$. This type has the lowest dispersions $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ of any of the kinematic classes. Their relatively low CO detection rate (compared to the low- $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ galaxies in general) should not be an effect of the observational bias against broad lines because, given their small masses, they should not have broad CO lines.

Papers II and III clearly show that early-type galaxies have a variety of different assembly histories. Some general patterns of the assembly are conducive to retaining a high specific angular momentum within an effective radius, whereas other patterns are not. The patterns which develop low specific angular momentum can include misaligned major mergers, or mergers in which the orbital angular momentum cancels the spin angular momenta of the progenitors (Bois et al. 2011), or a large number of randomly oriented minor mergers. Our analysis suggests that galaxies with these types of assembly histories are least likely to be detected in CO. These may be the cases in which the misaligned angular momenta of gaseous discs coming into the merger caused the gas to drop to the centre of the galaxy where it was consumed or destroyed. Alternatively, they may have lost their cold gas prior to their assembly or they may be less likely to acquire cold gas after the assembly. Future work on gas kinematics and star formation histories can help to distinguish between these scenarios.

### 5.6 Environment: Virgo cluster

The ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample contains 58 galaxies that are within 3.5 Mpc of M87 and are considered members of the Virgo cluster. Many of these galaxies (including M87) have distance measurements from surface brightness fluctuations (Tonry et al. 2001; Mei et al. 2007; Paper I). When a surface brightness distance is available, it is used in the computation of a galaxy's distance to M87. Where independent distance measurements are not available for known Virgo cluster members, a distance of 16.5 Mpc is used as that is the adopted mean distance of subclusters A and B (Mei et al. 2007). For comparison, those authors also quote that the surface brightness fluctuation distance to M87 is 17.2 Mpc , the typical distance uncertainties for their data are 0.7 Mpc and the line-of-sight depth of the cluster is $0.6 \pm$ $0.1 \mathrm{Mpc}(1 \sigma)$. We also note that there is no statistically significant difference in the $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$, dynamical mass or $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ distributions of cluster and non-cluster galaxies in the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample, so there is no detectable mass segregation in this sample.

12 out of the 57 Virgo cluster members with CO data are detected, for a cluster detection rate of $0.21 \pm 0.05$ or less than $1 \sigma$ lower than the detection rate for the sample as a whole. However, since the Virgo cluster is relatively nearby and we have observed our sample to a fixed noise level, it is more appropriate to compare the detection rate in Virgo to that of galaxies at a similar distance. The entire ATLAS $^{3 D}$ sample contains 89 galaxies at $D \leq 20 \mathrm{Mpc}$ and

Table 4. CO detection rates for galaxies within 24 Mpc .

|  | CO | No CO | Total | CO detection rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virgo cluster | 12 | 45 | 57 | $0.21 \pm 0.05$ |
| Non-cluster | 19 | 47 | 66 | $0.29 \pm 0.06$ |
| Total | 31 | 92 | 123 | $0.25 \pm 0.04$ |
|  | Fast rotators only |  |  |  |
| Virgo cluster | 11 | 37 | 48 | $0.23 \pm 0.06$ |
| Non-cluster | 19 | 42 | 61 | $0.31 \pm 0.06$ |
| Total | 30 | 79 | 109 | $0.27 \pm 0.04$ |

124 galaxies at $D \leq 24 \mathrm{Mpc}$; thus, within 24 Mpc , half of the sample galaxies are Virgo cluster members and half are not. A restricted comparison of galaxies within 24 Mpc mitigates the effect of the distance, since the typical $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mass limit at 24 Mpc is only a factor of 2 higher than at 17 Mpc . Table 4 compares the CO detection statistics inside and outside the Virgo cluster for all galaxies within 24 Mpc , and also for the subset of fast rotators within 24 Mpc . The detection rate among cluster members ( $0.21 \pm 0.05$ ) is nominally lower than the detection rate of non-members $(0.29 \pm 0.06)$ and the detection rate of the entire sample $(0.22 \pm 0.03)$. However, the difference is at only the $1 \sigma$ level in the combined uncertainties, so more detailed investigations of the CO luminosity functions are appropriate.

The top two rows of Fig. 10 present the normalized masses $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ versus the local bright galaxy density, both for the entire ATLAS ${ }^{3 \mathrm{D}}$ sample and for the subset of galaxies closer than 24 Mpc . Normalized $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ masses are also plotted as a function of the deprojected distance to M87. Paper VII explains the calculation of the local density $\rho_{10}$ as the number density of galaxies brighter than $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}=-21.5$, averaged within a sphere which contains 10 such neighbours and quoted in units of $\mathrm{Mpc}^{-3}$. In the ATLAS ${ }^{3 \mathrm{D}}$ parent sample, which includes all morphological types, all galaxies outside the Virgo cluster have densities $\log \rho_{10}<-0.4$ and all but two of the Virgo cluster members have $\log \rho_{10}>-0.4$. A KS test on the distributions of local densities for CO detections and non-detections (both within 24 Mpc ; middle row, right-hand side panel of Fig. 10) gives a 31 per cent probability that they could be drawn from the same parent population.

The preceding evidence shows that the CO detection rate is nearly the same for early-type galaxies in the Virgo cluster and outside the cluster. Clearly, the galaxies in the cluster are not entirely devoid of the molecular gas. However, it is still possible that they may have suffered some modest stripping, which would show up as systematically smaller $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ masses or $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ ratios for cluster members than for non-members. In order to test this hypothesis, Fig. 11 presents the Kaplan-Meier estimators for the cumulative $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ distribution functions for galaxies inside the Virgo cluster, galaxies outside the cluster but closer than 24 Mpc and the entire ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample. In these analyses, the null hypothesis is that the cluster and non-cluster galaxies have the same underlying $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ distributions, and a test statistic as large as the observed one would be expected $25-60$ per cent of the time for $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and 46-57 per cent of the time for $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$. These probabilities are not so small that the null hypothesis can be confidently excluded. In other words, the available data do not show a striking or reliable difference between the $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ distribution functions for cluster and non-cluster galaxies.

While there may be a slight decrease in the CO detection rate in the Virgo cluster compared to field early-type galaxies within

24 Mpc , there is as yet no good evidence that the molecular gas contents are systematically smaller inside the cluster. This result stands in opposition to the situation for atomic gas; H I detection rates for early-type galaxies in the Virgo cluster are acutely low compared to detection rates in the field (di Serego Alighieri et al. 2007; Grossi et al. 2009; Oosterloo et al. 2010). Additional discussion of the galaxy evolution in the cluster can be found in Section 6.

Fig. 12 shows the systemic velocities of CO detections and nondetections as a function of the projected distance to M87, as well as the velocity distributions of ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ Virgo cluster members and CO detections. The Virgo cluster members have a centrally peaked distribution with a velocity dispersion of $525 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, in good agreement with the value of $573 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ measured for elliptical and lenticular galaxies by Binggelli, Tammann \& Sandage (1987). The 12 Virgo cluster CO detections have a dispersion of $414 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and are also peaked about the cluster systemic velocity. This behaviour is in marked contrast to the broad, flat velocity distribution of the spirals in the cluster, which are characterized by a dispersion of $888 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Binggelli et al. 1987). A more complete characterization of the spirals is provided by Rubin, Waterman \& Kenney (1999) who find that the disturbed spirals are approaching a relaxed velocity distribution, whereas the regular, undisturbed spirals have a broad, flat, non-virialized velocity distribution.

The dynamical status of the CO-detected Virgo cluster early-type galaxies can be further assessed by comparing their velocity distribution to those of the other early-type galaxies and the late-type galaxies in the cluster. A comparison sample of late-type galaxies in the Virgo cluster is provided by the Sa-Sm, irregular, blue compact dwarf, Sp and dS galaxies in the Virgo Cluster Catalog (VCC; Binggelli, Sandage \& Tammann 1985). For this purpose, we select from the VCC only the galaxies identified as cluster members and having measured systemic velocities. The corresponding early types are the VCC ellipticals, lenticulars, dwarf ellipticals and dwarf lenticulars. Velocity distributions for these samples are shown in Fig. 13. The results of KS tests on the velocity distributions of these various samples are shown in Table 5. Notably, the velocity distribution of the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ Virgo cluster CO detections is consistent with those of the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ Virgo cluster CO non-detections and the VCC early types. However, our ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ cluster CO detections are not consistent with the VCC late-type galaxies; that null hypothesis can be rejected at the 95 per cent confidence level. Thus, while the number of CO detections among the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ Virgo cluster galaxies is still relatively small, the indications are that the dynamical properties of the CO-rich early-type galaxies are more consistent with the virialized early-type galaxies than with the spirals and irregulars. It thus appears unlikely that our CO detections are in new or recently added cluster members.

Fig. 14 shows the spatial distribution of ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ targets and CO detections in the Virgo cluster, compared to the location of the hot gas (Böhringer et al. 1994). Several of the CO detections

Table 5. KS tests.

| Sample | A3D Virgo cluster <br> (All) | A3D Virgo cluster <br> (CO detections) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| VCC late types | 0.021 | 0.052 |
| VCC early types | 0.863 | 0.262 |
| A3D CO non-detections | 0.999 | 0.228 |

Notes. Matrix of probability values for the KS test statistic on the systemic velocity distributions of ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ galaxies and members of the VCC.
are seen in projection in the central regions occupied by hot gas. Notable among these are NGC 4429, 4476, 4435, 4477 and 4459, all of which are secure CO detections and are within $2^{\circ}(0.6 \mathrm{Mpc})$ of M87. NGC 4435 and 4477 are members of the Markarian chain to the north-west of M87. NGC 4435, 4476 and 4459 have distance measurements from surface brightness fluctuations (Mei et al. 2007) and these place them within a true distance of 1.3 Mpc from M87. Thus, they must be physically located within the hot intracluster medium. In combination with the centrally peaked velocity distributions, these data suggest that the CO detections in the Virgo cluster are indeed a dynamically relaxed population that does not avoid the centre of the cluster. This behaviour is markedly different from that of the atomic gas in the spirals of the Virgo cluster, which show strong H I deficiencies near the centre of the cluster (Cayatte et al. 1990; Chung et al. 2009).

### 5.7 Groups and low-density regions

Fig. 10 shows possible evidence for an enhanced CO detection rate at the outskirts of the Virgo cluster, $\approx 15^{\circ}-20^{\circ}(5-8 \mathrm{Mpc})$ from M87. This result is driven by the galaxies near NGC 4278 (north of M87), the Leo II or NGC 3607 group (north-west of M87) and galaxies near NGC 4684 (south of M87). For the galaxies $5-8$ Mpc from M87, the CO detection rate is $8 / 17=0.47 \pm 0.12$, not quite $2 \sigma$ above the global detection rate.

Paper VII also presents measurements of a local density $\Sigma_{3}$ for the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ members; this estimate gives the projected density of galaxies within a cylinder of height $600 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and radius such that three neighbours are inside. As discussed in Paper VII, $\Sigma_{3}$ is large both for members of the Virgo cluster and for galaxies in small, tight groups. A KS test on the $\Sigma_{3}$ distributions of CO detections and non-detections (at distances less than 24 Mpc ) gives a probability of 7 per cent that the two distributions could have been drawn from the same parent - not a compelling difference, as we found for the cluster versus non-cluster comparisons in the previous section. Future studies investigating the effect of the group environment on the CO content or pre-processing of the molecular gas in galaxies infalling into the cluster will require larger samples.

A strong trend in the CO detection rate with the local density can only be found when considering the lowest density environments and lowest mass galaxies in this sample. The CO detection rate rises to $0.55 \pm 0.09$ for galaxies with $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}<100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and density $\log \left(\rho_{10} / \mathrm{Mpc}^{-3}\right)<-1.7$, or $0.41 \pm 0.11$ for galaxies of dynamical mass $<2 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ in the same density regime. However, as Fig. 10 suggests, the lowest density environments primarily occur at large distances in our sample and they drop out of the subsamples limited to 24 Mpc . In addition, the trend with the local density is not significant for galaxies with $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}>100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ or mass $>2 \times$ $10^{10} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. Thus, this increase in the CO detection rate of low-mass, relatively isolated early-type galaxies did not manifest itself in the previous discussions of environmental effects.

The half-dozen most CO-rich galaxies of the sample do tend to be in relatively low density environments, which is undoubtedly an important clue to their formation histories. This CO-rich subsample includes NGC 1266, 2764, 1222, 3665 and 6014, and UGC 09519. These galaxies are all more distant than 20 Mpc , in regions where the local luminosity density is a factor of 10-200 lower than that in the Virgo cluster and the distances to their nearest $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}<-21.5$ neighbours are $\geq 1 \mathrm{Mpc}$. If the accretion of the cold gas is most efficient in these kinds of poor environments, such accretion might provide a natural explanation for the most CO-rich ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ galax-


Figure 15. Molecular mass and $\mathrm{H} \beta$ absorption line strength (McDermid et al., in preparation). Symbols are as in Fig. 6.
ies. Signatures of the accretion could be sought in the CO kinematics (through interferometric imaging) or in the gas-phase metallicity.

### 5.8 Young stellar populations

As one might expect, the molecular gas content of early-type galaxies is tightly correlated with dust and young stellar populations, which are indicated for the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample in Paper II. The CO detection rate is $37 / 48(0.77 \pm 0.06)$ in galaxies with dust discs, dusty filaments or blue regions, but only 17/208 ( $0.08 \pm 0.02$ ) in galaxies with none of those features.

Fig. 15 shows that the galaxies that are rich in molecular gas also have evidence of young stars, as traced by the $\mathrm{H} \beta$ absorption line strength index in the classic Lick/IDS system from our SAURON spectroscopy (McDermid et al., in preparation). Here the $\mathrm{H} \beta$ absorption line strength is measured in an aperture of radius $R_{\mathrm{e}} / 2$, which has a median value of 9.3 arcsec for the sample so is similar to the spatial region in which we have searched for the CO $J=$ $1-0$ emission. However, the trend is not qualitatively different when apertures of radius $R_{\mathrm{e}}$ or $R_{\mathrm{e}} / 8$ are used. Naturally, as $\mathrm{H} \beta$ is an equivalent width measure, both this and $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ are roughly normalized to the total stellar luminosity.

There is a clear trend for galaxies with strong $\mathrm{H} \beta$ absorption to exhibit higher $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ ratios, indicating that higher gas fractions are found in galaxies with larger proportions of young stars. Intuitively, the trend makes sense, since the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ reservoir is thought to fuel any ongoing star formation. However, the relation is not especially tight, with more than an order of magnitude variation in $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ at a given line strength. This spread probably reflects the differences between these two tracers. The mass of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ should trace the instantaneous star formation activity, with some caveats noted below; $\mathrm{H} \beta$, in combination with metal indices, traces a weighted average age which is strongly biased towards recent star formation activity. Differences in recent star formation histories (especially bursty or merger-driven activity) could produce some of the scatter. Some scatter is also probably due to the fact that $\mathrm{H} \beta$ is degenerate for a broad range of possible star formation histories and does not exclusively trace recent ( $<1 \mathrm{Gyr}$ ) events.

Another cause of the scatter in the $\mathrm{H} \beta-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ trend could be differing star formation efficiencies, driven by several mechanisms. For example, the shape and depth of the potential can affect the stability of the cold gas disc embedded within it (Martig et al. 2009). It may also be that the molecular gas reservoir is prevented from forming
stars in some galaxies due to some form of heating, for example, from the AGN activity or hot phases of the stellar evolution. Crocker et al. (2011) explore the latter in some detail, proposing connections to the optical emission from the ionized gas. We postpone such analysis using the full ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ data complement for future papers in this series.
There are a number of CO upper limits found in galaxies with strong $\mathrm{H} \beta$ absorption ( $>2.3 \AA$ ). At face value, these facts could indicate the presence of a significant young stellar population without any associated molecular gas, perhaps immediately following the exhaustion of the gas after a significant star formation event. However, those $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ upper limits are quite high because the galaxies are distant. These non-detections may be comparable in their $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ properties to the detected galaxies, simply falling just below our sensitivity level. At the other extreme, while there are many objects with intermediate values of $\mathrm{H} \beta$ that are both CO detected and CO undetected, there are no CO detections in objects with $\mathrm{H} \beta \leq$ $1.4 \AA$. The galaxies showing no evidence for young stellar populations also show no evidence of the molecular gas within our detection thresholds.

## 6 DISCUSSION

### 6.1 Rejuvenation

Thomas et al. (2010) have used the SDSS spectroscopy to measure luminosity-weighted simple stellar population ages for a sample of low-redshift, morphologically selected early-type galaxies. They interpret a population of younger galaxies as examples of a rejuvenation phenomenon driven by recent ( $<2 \mathrm{Gyr}$ ) star formation. They also argue that this rejuvenation phenomenon is mass- and density-dependent, being more common (or perhaps stronger?) in low-mass galaxies in low-density environments.
This picture is at least qualitatively consistent with what we find for the molecular gas content of early-type galaxies, with a few important caveats. For example, the results presented above suggest that the environmental density dependence of the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ content is extremely subtle except at the lowest densities. We do detect molecular gas in galaxies covering the full range of densities and dynamical masses probed in our sample. Thus, if the rejuvenation episodes are indeed connected to the present-day $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ content, we should expect that they are not exclusively limited to low-mass galaxies in low-density environments. A model which fits all of these data should also allow for significant rejuvenation rates in high-mass galaxies in high-density environments.

### 6.2 Molecular masses of early-type galaxies

The cumulative $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ distribution functions in Figs 7 and 11 remind us that the majority of the galaxies studied here are not detected in the CO emission, so that we are really only studying the gas-rich ends of the distribution functions.

Given that the galaxies studied here are early-type galaxies, the molecular masses detected are surprisingly high in some cases. Three of the sample galaxies have molecular masses greater than $10^{9} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ (assuming their true CO to $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ conversions are not too different from that used here). These galaxies have comparable amounts of molecular gas to the Milky Way and several times more than in M31 (Dame et al. 1993), yet they are clearly not spirals. The highest molecular masses found in this sample are also comparable to that of the merger remnant NGC 7252 (Dupraz et al. 1990) and that of some lenticular polar ring galaxies (Galletta, Sage \&

Sparke 1997). Nine of the sample have molecular masses greater than $5 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, which is comparable to the molecular mass of the radio galaxy Fornax A (NGC 1316; Horellou et al. 2001). The mass of the molecular gas in Cen A (NGC 5128) is also a few $10^{8}$ $\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ (Morganti 2010), at an assumed distance of 4.2 Mpc (Tonry et al. 2001). These two galaxies meet all of the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ selection criteria except for the Declination limit, so they could have been in the sample if they were elsewhere on the sky. The comparison to Cen A is especially notable because, in spite of its most flamboyant optical appearance, Cen A would not have been the most CO-rich galaxy in the sample. At $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}} \approx 4 \times 10^{-3}$, it would also have been solidly in the middle of our detected $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ values $\left(3 \times 10^{-4}-6.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}_{\odot} / \mathrm{L} \odot\right)$. Evidently, the molecular gas content of a galaxy does not serve as a reliable predictor of its optical morphology, nor does the optical morphology reliably predict the molecular content.
The molecular gas masses detected here are, however, not quite in the same league as that of Perseus A (NGC 1275), which has some $10^{10} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ of cold $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (Salomé et al. 2006). NGC 1275 is the central galaxy of the Perseus cluster and Lim, Ao \& Dinh-V-Trung (2008) have recently shown that its molecular gas is distributed in filaments which are free-falling towards the centre of the potential. The behaviour is kinematically consistent with the molecular gas having cooled and condensed out of the galaxy's X-ray halo. However, this behaviour is probably not taking place in the bulk of the CO-detected ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ galaxies, since the preponderance of double-peaked CO profiles suggests relaxed discs in regular rotation. If the molecular gas in the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ galaxies did originate through condensation, it must have happened at least a crossing time ago, so that the gas has settled.
Both the CO detection rate and the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mass distribution function are independent of the stellar luminosity, for early-type galaxies. When considering all types of galaxies, of course, there is a very strong dependence of galaxy properties on the stellar mass; galaxies with stellar masses above $3 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ tend to be old spheroids, hence poor in cold gas, and less-massive galaxies tend to be $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ - and CO-rich young discs (e.g. Kauffmann et al. 2003). Among the redsequence galaxies, van der Wel et al. (2009) argue that a stellar mass of $10^{11} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ is a watershed above which there are very few flattened, disc-like systems. However, among the red-sequence galaxies, we find no evidence that a stellar mass of $3 \times 10^{10}$ or $10^{11} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ has any particular significance for a galaxy's molecular content.

### 6.3 On the origin of the molecular gas in early-type galaxies

Our results show that at least 22 per cent of all early-type galaxies contain molecular gas and Fig. 11 presents the inferred $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ distribution for $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}>10^{-4} \mathrm{M}_{\odot} / \mathrm{L}_{\odot}$. It is worthwhile to recall that this volume-limited survey, complete down to $M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}=$ -21.5 , has no selection on either the far-infrared luminosity or the $B$ magnitude, so that it finally eliminates the selection bias towards the galaxies which are more likely to have the star formation activity.
Using interferometric Hi observations, Oosterloo et al. (2010) have recently argued that the ongoing accretion of cold atomic gas is common for field early-type galaxies. In some cases, the atomic gas retains a large angular momentum and forms structures which are tens of kpc in extent, but in other cases, the atomic gas is found in the central few kpc of the galaxy, coincident with a molecular disc. Oosterloo et al. (2010) thus propose that at least some of the molecular gas in early-type galaxies may have been accreted in this manner, possibly in the form of atomic gas, settling into a regular disc and converting into molecular gas as its density increases.

Future comparisons of molecular, atomic and ionized gas and stellar kinematics in the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ galaxies should help quantify the role of the cold accretion as an origin for the molecular gas.

There is a notable decrease in the CO detection rate of the slow rotators or 'NRRs'. Since this effect remains even after controlling for the mass distributions of slow and fast rotators, it must be driven by $\lambda_{\mathrm{R}}$ rather than by mass. In other words, the molecular content is more strongly correlated with the types of orbits the stars occupy than with the number of stars.

The rate of the internal stellar mass-loss in a galaxy should naturally be independent of the angular momentum of the stars. However, the mass-loss material should retain some memory of the specific angular momentum of its progenitor stars. If the material suffers shock heating, cooling and condensation to form molecular gas, it may lose some specific angular momentum in the process, but we would generally expect the mass-loss material in a slowly rotating galaxy to have smaller specific angular momentum than that in a fast rotator. If this scenario accounts for the bulk of the molecular gas in early-type galaxies, then we might expect the molecular gas discs in the galaxies of small (intrinsic) $\lambda_{R}$ to be more compact than in the large $-\lambda_{\mathrm{R}}$ galaxies. Indeed, the molecular gas in the galaxies of small $\lambda_{R}$ might have dropped to the nucleus and been consumed, instead of forming a kpc-scale disc. From a different perspective, if the formation processes of slow rotators are different from those of fast rotators, it might also be the case that the formation of a slow rotator inevitably destroys any cold gas disc. In short, there are at least two scenarios which can explain a lack of cold gas in the slow rotators.

The detection of CO in Virgo cluster early-type galaxies provides a useful perspective on the issue of the origin of the molecular gas. We have argued above that the CO detection rate among ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ Virgo cluster members may be modestly lower than the detection rate outside the cluster, but the statistical significance of the result is not great. Furthermore, the $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ distributions inside and outside the cluster are consistent. We have also argued that the CO-detected galaxies are virialized in the cluster potential and deeply embedded within the hot intracluster gas. Despite a long residence in the cluster, their molecular content is not much lower than in early-type galaxies outside the cluster.

Both the internal and external scenarios for the origin of molecular gas seem significantly more problematic when galaxies are already deep in the cluster potential. The cold-mode accretion of gas through filaments may be viable for relatively isolated galaxies, but the gas falling into the cluster should more likely end up as hot gas in the general cluster potential than as cold gas in a specific galaxy. Acquisition of molecular gas through major or minor mergers also should be rare, both because the cluster's high velocity dispersion decreases the merger rate and because mergers tend to fling gas to large radii (Barnes 2002) where it would be highly vulnerable to stripping. These general expectations are supported by the $N$-body plus hydrodynamical simulations of Tonnesen, Bryan \& van Gorkom (2007), which find that galaxies commonly accrete gas when they are far in the outskirts of a cluster but rarely accrete gas after they pass through the cluster's virial radius. In addition, the internal stellar mass-loss scenario also seems difficult because that material is expected to shock heat to X-ray temperatures (e.g. Mathews \& Brighenti 2003) and the concomitant low densities will again make the material vulnerable to stripping. More detailed simulations of the evolution of hot gas in cluster members (not cluster-dominant or cluster-centred galaxies) would help to quantify this impression. In short, these considerations suggest that the CO-detected Virgo cluster early-type galaxies probably did not acquire their cold gas after entering the cluster. This in turn suggests that they have re-
tained their molecular gas since they fell into the cluster and that the gas has not cycled through a low-density phase in that time.

### 6.4 On the removal of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$

If the CO-rich Virgo cluster early-type galaxies are indeed virialized, so that they have been in the cluster for at least a relaxation time yet have still retained their molecular gas, then we conclude that it must be difficult to remove the molecular gas entirely. For a cluster such as Virgo, with several hundreds to thousands of galaxies, the relaxation time is at least 10 crossing times (Binney \& Tremaine 2008) and the crossing times are of the order of a Gyr. Thus, we infer that the virialized, CO-rich early-type galaxies have probably retained their gas over at least several Gyr in the cluster.

It has been suspected for many years that it would be more difficult to remove the molecular gas from cluster galaxies than to remove the atomic gas. The reasoning is straightforward: the molecular gas has much higher volume and surface density than the atomic gas and in addition it tends to be deeper in the galaxy's potential well, both of which make it more tightly bound. Individual galaxies do sometimes show evidence that their molecular hydrogen is being stripped (Vollmer et al. 2008; Sivanandam, Rieke \& Rieke 2010), but in general the observations of the molecular gas in the Virgo cluster spirals have suggested that $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ deficiencies are subtle, even when H I deficiencies are strong (Kenney \& Young 1989). The same now appears to be true for Virgo cluster early-type galaxies, where $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ deficiencies are subtle to non-existent, whereas $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ deficiencies are strong (di Serego Alighieri et al. 2007; Grossi et al. 2009). In this context, the Virgo cluster early-type galaxies provide a useful perspective, because (as we argued above) they have been residing in the cluster longer than the spirals. They therefore provide longer time-baseline views into the gas removal processes than spirals do.

Recent adaptive-mesh hydrodynamical simulations of Tonnesen \& Bryan (2009) suggest that when a galaxy first falls into the intracluster medium, it suffers a brief but intense period of stripping when most of the loosely-bound material is removed. In the simulations, dense molecular gas can be retained through this period, in agreement with the implications of our work. Quantitatively, the standard Gunn \& Gott (1972) stripping criterion requires a ram pressure $\sim V_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} \Sigma_{\text {gas }} / R$. For typical values we take a circular velocity $V_{\mathrm{c}}$ of $200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, molecular gas surface density $\Sigma_{\text {gas }}$ at $100 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ $\mathrm{pc}^{-2}$ and a radius $R$ of 1 kpc , based on the interferometric CO observations of Crocker et al. (2011). The ram pressure needed is then $\sim 3 \times 10^{-9}$ dyne $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$. Vollmer (2009) finds that these kinds of pressures require a galaxy to approach within $\sim 50 \mathrm{kpc}$ of the Virgo cluster centre even if the velocity at the closest approach is 2000 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Thus, the molecular gas should be retained except on very high eccentricity orbits within the cluster. Tonnesen \& Bryan (2009) also mention that the angular momentum transfer to the non-rotating cluster gas can gradually drive the remaining molecular gas towards the centre of its host galaxy. For all of these reasons, the CO-rich Virgo cluster early-type galaxies could motivate longer simulation runs in an attempt to test whether these processes can transform an exponential gas disc (typical of spirals) into a rather compact, sharp-edged molecular disc such as those observed in NGC 4459, 4526 and 4477 (Young et al. 2008; Crocker et al. 2011).

### 6.5 On the formation of fast rotators

While our early-type galaxies are today rather red in colour, they must have been blue during the epoch when their stars were forming. The mass distributions and morphologies of their progenitors are, however, the subjects of much debate. One major
question is whether or not the fast rotator galaxies passed through a stage as spiral galaxies. Some authors suggest not. For example, Dekel, Sari \& Ceverino (2009) propose that if the matter accreting through cold streams into a protogalactic halo is highly clumped, then the dynamical interactions between the clumps will counteract the tendency of the cold gas to settle into a disc. In this manner, a spheroid-dominated galaxy could form without ever passing through a disc-dominated stage, even if the bulk of the accreted baryons are in the form of cold gas.

Other popular scenarios propose to form fast-rotator early-type galaxies by converting spirals. One aspect of the conversion must involve quenching the star formation activity (so as to redden and age the stellar population); another aspect is to affect the morphological change (dispersal of the spiral structure, disc thickening and/or bulge growth). It is often assumed that the removal of the cold gas will accomplish these objectives. Turning off the new star formation would remove the continuous input of a dynamically cold stellar population, so that the dynamical heating and thickening would naturally erase the non-axisymmetric spiral structures. Removal of the cold gas may not be necessary for this conversion process, though. Bournaud, Jog \& Combes (2007) have suggested that multiple minor mergers (with mass ratios of 10:1 and greater) will gradually thicken a spiral's stellar disc and increase its central concentration (Sérsic index). Martig et al. (2009) also remind us that if the gravitational potential can be sufficiently deepened, a cold gas disc could be simply rendered incapable of the star formation activity. This work suggests that, indeed, some cluster galaxies can retain significant amounts of molecular gas even after being resident in the cluster for several Gyr.
We cannot, at present, confirm or refute the speculation that fastrotator early-type galaxies in the Virgo cluster are the stripped, heated and/or quenched remnants of spirals. We simply comment that if this is the case, the paradigm should not necessarily require all of the molecular gas to be removed in the conversion process. In a purely hydrodynamic stripping scenario, it would be interesting to test whether the star formation quenching and morphological change can be accomplished quickly enough by removing only some of the molecular gas and simply rearranging the rest. In the merger, morphological quenching and clumpy accretion scenarios, it would be valuable to have statistical predictions for the properties (masses and spatial extents) of any cold gas discs which might remain.

## 7 SUMMARY

We present a ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO} J=1-0$ and $J=2-1$ search in the galaxies of the ATLAS ${ }^{3 D}$ sample, a complete volume-limited sample of earlytype galaxies with $-21.5 \geq M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}} \geq-26$ and with morphologies verified by inspection of optical (mostly SDSS) images. CO data from the IRAM $30-\mathrm{m}$ telescope are collected for 259 out of the 260 members, including 204 new observations and the remainder collected from the literature. The $3 \sigma$ upper limit for a sum over a $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ linewidth corresponds to an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mass $\sim 1 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ for the nearby sample members ( 11 Mpc ) and a mass $\sim 1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ for the most distant members ( 40 Mpc ). A few targets are observed significantly more deeply than that.

The detected CO line intensities correspond to $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ masses of $\log M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / M_{\odot}=7.10$ to 9.29 and $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}=2.8 \times 10^{-4}$ to $0.063 \mathrm{M}_{\odot} / \mathrm{L}_{\odot}$. The CO detection rate is $56 / 259=0.22 \pm 0.03$, a rate known to be a lower limit since (1) the molecular gas outside the central 22 arcsec has not been observed; (2) we expect to be incomplete for linewidths greater than $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; and (3) compact distributions suffer strong beam dilution. Many of the detections
are brighter in $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ than in $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$. The line profiles are often double horned and/or asymmetric. These observations collectively suggest that the molecular gas is often found in regular rotating discs. It is sometimes in compact structures [smaller than the $12 \operatorname{arcsec} \mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ beam, which is 1.1 kpc at 20 Mpc ] and sometimes in structures comparable to or larger than the $\mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ beam $(2.2 \mathrm{kpc}$ at 20 Mpc$)$. The linewidth distribution peaks at smaller widths than expected, suggesting that we have some cases in which the molecular gas reaches the galaxy's asymptotic circular velocity and some in which it does not. Molecular surface densities, averaged over the inner $2-4 \mathrm{kpc}$ of the galaxies, are comparable to those found in spirals. Interferometric observations will be necessary in order to quantify those general impressions about the typical gas distributions.
There is a strong correlation between the presence of molecular gas and the presence of dust, blue features, and young stellar ages seen in the $\mathrm{H} \beta$ absorption. Thus, the molecular gas that we detect is often engaged in the star formation.
The CO detection rate is not a function of the luminosity over the range $-21.5 \geq M_{K_{\mathrm{s}}} \geq-26$, and the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mass distribution function is also independent of the stellar luminosity. The CO detection rate is a strong function of the global velocity width $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$, with galaxies of $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}<100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ more than twice as likely to be detected. However, the dependence on the dynamical mass inferred from modelling the stellar kinematics is considerably more subtle. These considerations suggest that the $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ effect is probably a combination of a downsizing trend and an observational bias in favour of detecting CO in face-on galaxies.
The CO detection rate is strongly correlated with the stellar specific angular momentum and internal kinematic substructure, such that slow rotators and NRRs have much less molecular gas than their fast and regular rotating counterparts. This result remains true after controlling for the $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}$ and dynamical mass dependencies noted above. We suggest that either the assembly processes which built the slow rotators also destroyed or removed their molecular gas, or they are less likely to re-acquire the molecular gas after assembly.
Approximately, half of the fast rotators at distances less than 24 Mpc are members of the Virgo cluster and half are not. The CO detection rate is modestly lower in cluster members than in non-members; however, it is important to note that the drop in the detection rate is only a $\sim 1 \sigma$ effect. There is no measurable difference in the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ or $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ distribution functions of cluster and non-cluster galaxies within 24 Mpc . CO-rich Virgo cluster earlytype galaxies are virialized in the cluster potential and they do not avoid the centre of the cluster (unlike cluster spirals). Apparently, they have retained their molecular gas through extended residences in the cluster.
The rarest, most gas-rich galaxies $\left[M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K}>0.02 \mathrm{M}_{\odot} / \mathrm{L} \odot\right]$ are found in relatively low-density environments at distances beyond 24 Mpc . These results suggest that the CO-detected Virgo cluster galaxies have CO-detected analogues of the same $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / L_{K_{\mathrm{s}}}$ in the field, but there is in addition a small population of CO-rich objects in the field that is not found in the Virgo cluster.
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