## Some details on the simulations...

## Technical data

> J, H, K-band Laser Tomography AO (LTAO) simulated PSFs (DRM technical database):
$D=42 \mathrm{~m}, 6$ LGS, seeing $=0.8$ " at $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{zd}=0(z d=30,60$ only for the K-band)
> Pixel scale: 2, 2.6, 3.5 mas (PSF sampling) and 5 mas

$$
\text { > Fov = 2" } \times 2^{\prime \prime} \text { : }
$$

$\checkmark$ The PSF does not vary in the region
$\checkmark$ No contamination by field stars and galaxies

## Technical data

## Variable background

Variable background is due to stellar light reflected by dust: unresolved background, variation lenghtscale smaller than the PSF FWHM

additional source of noise

Typical emission nebulosity has blue colors: $(\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{H}) \sim-0.9,(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}) \sim \mathbf{0 . 6}$
Background level of $\mathrm{J} \sim 23.9, \mathrm{H} \sim 24.8$ and $\mathrm{K} \sim 25.4$

## Input star catalog:

> Chabrier (2005) Initial-Mass-Function + Baraffe (2003) evolutionary tracks for an age of 5 Myr and solar metallicity:

100 stars from 2 to 0.003 M © uniformly distributed in a circular area with $R \approx 1 \operatorname{arcsec}$

DM0 $=18.5$ (LMC, Freedman et al. 2001)

## Scientific inputs

random extinction
from $A v=0$ to 10 mag


## 10 J -band images

Uniform background

$$
t_{\exp }=1 \mathrm{~h}
$$

## Sky = 16 mag/arcsec ${ }^{2}$

Pixel scale = 2 mas

PSF-photometry with DAOPHOTIV (Stetson):

- Analytical + numerical


Moffat function ( $\beta=2.5$ )


## 25 H -band images



## 25 K -band images

Uniform background

$$
t_{\exp }=1 \mathrm{~h}
$$

Sky = 13 mag/arcsec ${ }^{2}$

Pixel scale $=3.5 \mathrm{mas}$


Magnitude scatter: $\quad S=\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum(\text { input }- \text { recov ered })^{2}}$

| Band | Pix scale <br> (mas) | Lim. Mag <br> (S/N~4) | Scatter <br> 0.2 mag | Scatter <br> 0.1 mag |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Juni | 2 | 30.1 | 29.5 | $\mathbf{2 9 . 0}$ |
| Jvar | 2 | 29.0 | 28.7 | 28.0 |
| Jvar | 5 | 26.0 | 25.5 | 24.0 |
| Huni | 2.6 | 29.6 | 29.2 | 28.0 |
| Hvar | 2.6 | 29.0 | 28.6 | 27.3 |
| Hvar | 5 | 27.6 | 25.8 | 24.0 |
| Kuni | 3.5 | 29.8 | 29.3 | 27.6 |
| Kvar | 3.5 | 29.5 | 28.8 | 27.5 |
| Kvar | 5 | 28.4 | 27.8 | 26.2 |
| Kzd30 | 3.5 | 28.8 | 28.6 | 28.6 |
| Kzd60 | 3.5 | 28.6 | 28.3 | 27.5 |



## Zenith distance

Limit. Magnitude
decreases of $\sim 1$ mag

Scatter increases for
K > 26 mag


## To increase the statistics: $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ stars in a circle of radius 10 "

to preserve the stellar density


## Completeness








## Recovered fraction of stars at different

## magnitudes and masses

| Band | $90 \%$ <br> mag | $50 \%$ <br> mag | $90 \%$ <br> MJup | $50 \%$ <br> MJup |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J | 29.15 | 29.4 | 24 | 16 |
| H | 28.8 | 29.4 | 18 | 12 |
| K | 28.6 | 29.3 | 17 | 9 |

We partly accomplish proposal goal

## What we find out with the simulations

How deep can we go in mass?
We reach J ~ 29.4 (16 Mjup), H ~ 29.4 (12 Mjup), K~29.3 (9 Mjup) with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} \geq 5$ and $50 \%$ completeness

Derive optimal parameters (pixel scale...): pixel scale < 5 mas
May or may not be possible depending on the chosen site: increasing to $z d=30$ \& zd $=60$ loose 1 mag in K (*)

Photometric accuracy required at the $\sim 0.1$ mag level -is it at all possible given the brighter members of the region? Yes, but reach J ~ 29, H ~ 28, K ~ 27.6 mag

Effect of embedding reflection nebulosity: larger in J where loose
1 mag, 0.6 mag in H , almost negligible in K

## Does it make sense scientifically?

## Yes! We will observe:

> Nearly complete sample of young brown dwarfs
above the deuterium-burning limit (M~13 Mjup) in
LMC and possibly in other galaxies and/or star
cluster;
> Giant planet masses ( $\mathrm{M} \leq 10$ MJup) in the LMC in
favorable conditions

