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Galaxy formation is a complex process:
- cold diffuse gas inside dark matter halo
- gas heated by gravitational collapse
- cooling via X-ray emission
- condensing of gas into stars forming a disk which is supported by angular momentum
- feedback by stellar winds and supernova
- merging of galaxies to build up halo and stellar mass
Epoch of galaxy formation

- Redshift surveys have shown that galaxy formation was much more efficient at high-z.

- Most of today’s “normal” galaxies were being assembled at z=1-5.

- What are the properties of galaxies at these early times:
  - Dynamical states?
  - Distribution of SF? (clump sizes, bars, instabilities)
  - Gas Masses, SFEs?
  - Gas dynamics?
  - Interaction between SF and gas dynamics?
  - Chemical Abundances?
What we need is a way to spatially resolve distant galaxies. Then we could figure out the dynamics, distribution of SF, scale, energy and mass involved in outflows.

Key Questions:
- What are dynamics? $v_{\text{rot}}$, $\sigma$, $M/L$ ratio?
- Do galaxies form inside out or outside in?
- How much energy & mass do the super-winds have?
- Will outflows escape the galaxy? How far do they travel?

But, the sizes and flux scales involved make it incredibly difficult to spatially resolve the dynamics and SF properties of star-forming galaxies at high-$z$. 
Identifying high-redshift galaxy populations

- Significant population of “normal” galaxies at z~3 identified are LBGs.

- Actively SF, low dust, dynamical/stellar masses and chemical properties expected for local spirals/spheroidals
Identifying high-redshift galaxy populations

- Actively SF, low dust, dynamical/stellar masses, chemical properties and space densities expected for local spirals/spheroidals

- Responsible for ~30-40% of the cosmic SF history between z=2-3

e.g. Shapley et al. 2003, 2006, Erb et al. 2004

- SFR~20Mo/yr
- $M^* \sim 4 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$
- $L_{bol} \sim 10^{11.5} L_\odot$
- $M_{dyn} \sim 7 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$

- $\Delta V$

- $L_{bol} \sim 10^{11.5} L_\odot$
- $M_{dyn} \sim 7 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$
Forster-Schreiber et al. (2006) studied 14 LBGs with SINFONI and found rotation on ~4kpc scales in 3 galaxies and velocity shears in 9/14.
Most studies have mapped the demographics of the population as a whole. What is needed is detailed studies of individual galaxies.

Genzel et al. 2006 studied unusually large objects at $z=3$ on $\sim1$ kpc ($0.1''$) scales and found evidence for rotation.
Near-IR Diffraction limits

- Starburst region
- Giant HII region
- Compact HII region
- Globular cluster
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Angular size (arcsec)

- 8m
- 40m
- 100m
The Problem:
- HII regions have characteristic sizes of ~50pc
- distant galaxies are faint!
- dispersed light loses contrast (sky noise, flat field errors), read-noise, dark current (in near-IR)
- distant galaxies are small
  (AO correction is not magic!)
The Answer: Use a BIG telescope!

Gravitational Telescopes:
- Lensed Galaxies are much brighter
- AND much bigger

$10^{21} \text{m}$

primary with an $8 \text{m}$ secondary

$10^{21} \text{m} \ (M \sim 10^{14} M_\odot)$
Near-IR Diffraction limits

- Starburst region
- Giant HII region
- Compact HII region
- Globular cluster

Wavelength (microns)

Angular size (arcsec)

Distance:
- 1000 pc
- 300 pc
- 50 pc
- 10 pc

Telescopes:
- 8m
- 40m
- 100m

JWST
Near-IR Diffraction limits

- Starburst region
- Giant HII region
- Compact HII region
- Globular cluster
Near-IR Diffraction limits

- Starburst region
- Giant HII region
- Compact HII region
- Globular cluster

Angular size (arcsec) vs. Wavelength (microns)

- JWST 8m
- 40m
- 100m
Mass modelling and source plane reconstruction
Example: Abell 2218 arc#289

Original image → Galaxy Cluster → Lens model

The 3D view:

Unlensed Image

+200 km/s

-200 km/s

Swinbank et al. 2003, 2006
Mass modelling and source plane reconstruction

Example: Abell 2218 arc#289

Swinbank et al. 2003, 2006
Extremely Detailed Studies: example of detailed study of lensed L* LBG at z=3

Smail, Swinbank, Richard, Ellis, Coppin et al. 2007
$L_K = 22.6 \pm 0.2 \, (AB), \ M_K = -22.2 \pm 0.2 \, (\sim L_K^*)$

SFR $\sim 100 \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$

Masses: $1 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ (dynamics)
$7 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ (stellar)
$5 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ (gas)

Timescale = Gas mass/SFR = 40 Myr!

(Coppin, Swinbank, Neri, Cox, Smail et al. 2007)
What is gas content of early galaxies?

`Cosmic Eye' - Preview of ALMA science

What is gas content of early galaxies?

z~3.07 LGB pair lensed by

L*$_K$ z=0.73 galaxy + z=0.33 cluster

Cluster provides ~30% boost & induces non-concentricity of arcs

Magnification = × 28 ± 3

Sources 1.5 kpc apart (< 1kpc in size)

Intrinsic properties:

L$_K$ = 22.6 ± 0.2 (AB), M$_K$=-22.2+/−0.2 (~L$_K^*$)

SFR ~ 100 $M_\odot$yr$^{-1}$

Masses: 1x10$^{10} M_\odot$ (dynamics)

7x10$^9 M_\odot$ (stellar)

5x10$^8 M_\odot$ (gas)

Timescale = Gas mass/SFR = 40Myr!

Gas-rich & similar (less vigorous) to sub-mm popn.

Keck/OSIRIS LGS (Sept 2007). LGS delivers 0.075” resolution (100pc in source plane!)

see also Nesvadba et al. 2007
Each pixel in 100pc and independent:
Resolution is 10mas in non-lensed case!

$M_{\text{dyn}} \sim 6 \times 10^9 M_\odot \,(R<1.8 \text{kpc})$

$\Sigma_{\text{SFR}} = 4.4 M_\odot/\text{yr/kpc}^2$

$v/\sigma = 1$ (thick disk)

Stark, Swinbank, Ellis et al 2008 Nature
Predicted location of CO

Predicted FWHM of CO

Constraints on $\alpha$ at high-z:

$M(H_2) = \alpha L'_{CO}$

Since gas mass MUST to be less than dynamical mass suggests $\alpha < 0.8$ (see also Tacconi et al. 2008)

Synergies with other facilities: eg. ALMA
Push to higher-z:
Quick example: RCS0224-002 $z_{cl}=0.78$

$z=4.88$ arc

$I=25.2$ (source plane) ... an $L^*$ galaxy at $z=5$
The VIMOS IFU movie
P-Cygni Profile (blue wing absorbed)

Lyα is redshifted; UV ISM lines are blue shifted
But, Lyα is a pain to interpret on its own. We really need the nebular emission to interpret the velocity offsets correctly.
SINFONI IFU observations map the OII emission at 2.2μm
SINFONI IFU observations map the OII emission at 2.2μm
Reconstructed images of the $z=4.88$ arc

Reconstructed image (HST VI-band)

Amplification = 16 ($\Delta m = 3.0$ mags)

$\text{SFR} = 12+/-2 \ M_\odot/\text{yr}$
Putting the OII, Ly$\alpha$ and UV-ISM diagnostics together

Based on Tenorio-Tagle et al
Implications from RCS0224-002 z=4.88arc

- Highly magnified galaxy
  - Magnification factor ~16
  - Source-frame morphology only ~3kpc in size
  - 200 pc resolution with HST
  - OII has small velocity shear (line widths estimate M ~ few x10^{10}Mo)
    - ~6x smaller than median LBG mass at z=3
  - SFR~12+/−2 Mo/yr
    - That's small for a z=5 galaxy!
  - Lyα redshifted, UV ISM lines blueshifted
    - Starburst driven wind
  - Emission-line morphology
    - Bi-conical outflow with extent >>10 kpc.

- Energetics:
  - Age of outflow ~60Myr
  - Mass swept up 2x10^8Mo
  - Outflow rate ~ 3/x M/yr
  - KE ~ 5 x 10^{56} /x erg
  - Energy from SNe ~ 5 x 10^{57} erg
  - Outflow will reach several ~1Mpc (comoving) before it stalls.
Key Advantages of lensing studies:
- Galaxies are much bigger AND brighter than the non-lensed case
- For a flux gain of factor ~30x, gain in spatial size is factor ~6x
- Begin to resolve the largest HII regions in galaxies at z=1-5

Key disadvantages:
- Need a good lens model (requires at least 3 spectroscopically confirmed multiple images (expensive)
- Even with lens model, there are still uncertainties in the lens plane reconstruction due to degeneracies
- Not that many targets are suitable (highly magnified, correct redshift, etc)
IFU are a powerful probe of physics in high-z galaxies.

In particular, the relation between star-formation and gas dynamics critical for understanding role of feedback.

Coupled with Gravitational Lensing makes IFU studies very appealing:

- Provides complementary view of high-z star-forming galaxies at lower spatial resolution (although limited number of galaxies currently available)

- Provided valuable early glimpse of ELT and ALMA science

Future Prospects:

- More concerted efforts at finding z>2 lensed sources
- Resolved dynamics (especially with LGS AO)