Emission-Line Galaxies from WFC3 Early Release Science grism data & looking to the future with the NIRCam grisms

> Amber N. Straughn NASA Postdoctoral Program Fellow NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

In Collaboration with: Jon Gardner (Advisor, GSFC), H. Kuntschner (ESO), M. Küemmell (ESO), N. Pirzkal (STScI), J. Walsh (ESO), S. Cohen (ASU), R. Windhorst (ASU), P. McCarthy (Carnegie) & the WFC3 SOC

14 April 2010`

JWST and the ELTs: An Ideal Combination

NA Š

WFC3 Early Release Science II Program

- Eight fields imaged with UVIS channel

 F225W, F275W, and F336W
- Ten fields imaged with IR channel
 - F098M, F125W, F160W
 - 123 x 136 arcsec FOV; 0.13 arcsec/pix
- One grism field

 G102 (R~210) & G141 (R~130)



WFC3 Early Release Science II Program

- Eight fields imaged with UVIS channel

 F225W, F275W, and F336W
- Ten fields imaged with IR channel
 - F098M, F125W, F160W
 - 123 x 136 arcsec FOV; 0.13 arcsec/pix
- One grism field

 G102 (R~210) & G141 (R~130)



WFC3 Early Release Science II Program







Background: The Assembly of Galaxies & Star Formation across Cosmic Time



Bouwens et al. 2009, ApJ, 705, 936

- How & when did the Hubble sequence form?
- What physical processes regulate SF & BH growth?
- What role do starbursts & AGN play in hierarchical assembly?



Background: The Probing Evolution And Reionization Spectroscopically (PEARS) ACS Survey

- Sample of >200 faint emissionline galaxies in GOODS-South (Straughn et al. 2008, 2009)
- HST/ACS G800L grism (6000-9500 Å; R~100)
- Majority of sources have a single line; line ID & grism redshift determination possible with photz





Emission-line Galaxies Pre-selected from ACS/PEARS









- -15 PEARS pre-selected ELGs with lines in IR
- Avg. cont. magnitude m_{F098m}=22.9
- [OIII] $\lambda\lambda$ 4959,5007 resolved

Emission-line Galaxies Pre-selected from ACS/PEARS





New WFC3-only ELGs









-15 new WFC3 ELGs - Avg. cont. magnitude m_{F098m}=22.9

Star-formation Rates of ELGs

- SFRs calculated using Hα,
 [OII], & [OIII]
- Compare SFR_{EL} to SFR_{SED}
- Lowest SFR_{EL}:SFR_{SED} galaxies generally redder; highest are blue and compact



Star-formation Rates of ELGs

- SFRs calculated using Hα,
 [OII], & [OIII]
- Compare SFR_{EL} to SFR_{SED}
- Lowest SFR_{EL}:SFR_{SED} galaxies generally redder; highest are blue and compact



Specific Star-formation Rate of ELGs



Damen et al. 2009, ApJ, 690, 937

- Previous studies of SSFR vs. z have used very large galaxy samples (e.g., GOODS, COMBO-17, SIMPLE etc.)
 - Damen+09, Zheng+07,
 Martin+07, Perez-Gonzalez
 +08
- Find lower SSFR for higher mass galaxies

Specific Star-formation Rate of ELGs



 Previous studies of SSFR vs. z have used very large galaxy samples (e.g., GOODS, COMBO-17, SIMPLE etc.)

- Damen+09, Zheng+07,
 Martin+07, Perez-Gonzalez
 +08
- Find lower SSFR for higher mass galaxies

Straughn et al. 2010

We detect this general trend with just two orbits of HST time.

The next era: NIRCam grisms

- Two identical long wavelength grisms
- Used for coarse phasing
- Also science applications (see, eg., Greene et al. 2007 for detailed discussion on exoplanets)
- Some advantages over NIRSpec for particular science objectives:
 - Higher spatial resolution spectroscopic obs.
 - No slit losses
 - Ability to dither slitless spectra: better flat-fielding
 - Sample entire NIRCam FOV
- Emission lines to much higher redshifts

ELGs with JWST & ELTs



General strategy:

Imaging

Grism

Ground-based spectroscopy

The next era: NIRCam grisms

