CANARY 101

- MOAO technology demonstrator for EAGLE
  - The proposed E-ELT MOAO instrument
  - MOAO: Multi-Object AO
- On 4.2m William Herschel Telescope
  - Visitor instrument
  - First light 2010
  - Second light 2012
- 4 NGS (3 open-loop, 1 closed-loop truth sensor)
- 1 LGS
  - 3 more May 2013
- On-axis correction
  - 1 MOAO channel
  - Open-loop correction
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The CANARY bench
CANARY Real-time control

- Uses DARC
  - The Durham AO Real-time Controller
  - Downloadable from Sourceforge
  - Completely generic
    - CANARY is one usage example
- Principally a CPU based controller
  - Optional FPGA front-end
  - Optional GPU reconstruction
  - Modular design ideal for CANARY
    - Lots of algorithms to test and try
CANARY experiences

- A complex system
- Multiple wavefront sensors (of different types)
  - LGS and NGS
- Multiple offload loops
  - TT, LGS steering and alignment, LGS asterism rotation and tracking, telescope offloads (autoguider etc)
- Multiple trigger signals
  - Lasers at 10kHz, synchronised with LGS camera at 100-500Hz and range gate at 10kHz
  - NGS triggers
Synchronisation

- Using a custom programmable FPGA based trigger box
- Multiple BNC and fibre outputs
  - And inputs (unused)
- Provides the required flexibility
Algorithms

- Lots of algorithms tried and used
- Lots of practical experience garnered
  - Let's have a look at some of the more interesting things...
Image calibration

• If background subtraction is wrong:
  - Poor slope estimate → poor reconstruction
  - Critical performance loss for open-loop AO

• So, we use a “brightest pixel” selection algorithm to help
  - In each sub-aperture, the N (typically ~12-40) brightest pixels are selected
  - All others are set to zero
    • i.e. fall below the background
Brightest pixels

- But this can introduce bias
  - So, improvements made:
    - The N+1\textsuperscript{th} brightest pixel value can be used as the threshold
    - This is subtracted from all brighter pixels
    - Reduces bias
  - Further improvements:
    - N+1 → N+M pixels can be averaged to be used as the threshold
    - Reduces the randomness (due to read noise) in the threshold
- Used with great effect on CANARY
  - Slope variance and WFE reduced
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And LGS example
Adaptive windowing

- CANARY off-axis WFS are open-loop
  - Large spot motions $\rightarrow$ large sub-apertures
- Spot tracking
  - Per sub-aperture or as groups (for TT)
  - Sub-apertures are shrunk
  - Adaptive windowing switched on
    - Sub-aperture location defined by open-loop integrator based on current spot location
      - User defined gain
    - Typically we reduce sub-aperture area by x4
Adaptive problems

- Noise or short bursts of bad turbulence can mean spots get lost
- Sub-apertures then wander
  - Until they fix on a spot (which may be from a neighbouring sub-aperture)
- So: Limit the range of motion
- But: Can still get stuck at the edge of allowed motion (e.g. vignetted sub-apertures or when TT offload is wrong)
  - This is noticeable for CANARY
  - But with 80x80 sub-apertures and >6 WFS not
  - So, automatic unsticking logic added
    - If sub-aperture is at the edge of allowed motion for some user defined time, resets to the centre
    - Worked well even under very poor seeing conditions
Sub-aperture resizing

- User controlled (or scripted)
- Nothing clever in the real-time core
  - Though something could easily be added...!
Adaptive windowing
Correlation WFSing

- CANARY uses Rayleigh lasers
- Spot elongation can be controlled by adjusting the laser range gate
  - Allowing studies of different spot elongations
  - Well suited for testing correlation based wavefront sensing
- Linear, ideal for open-loop WFS
Solar correlation primer

- Reference image taken from a single sub-aperture from a single frame
  - Used for all sub-apertures
  - Typically updated every 30s
  - Insensitive to Tip/Tilt between successive reference images
    - Jump in science image position
    - But this doesn't matter since science integration time is ~few seconds
Solar correlation primer

- Reference image taken from a single sub-aperture from a single frame
  - Used for all sub-apertures
  - Typically updated every 30s

(Array of subaperture images)

Compute cross-correlations using off-the-shelf DSP processors

(Rimelle et al, 2011)
Astronomical correlation

- Integration times much longer than correlation reference update time
- Elongated LGS spots differ between sub-apertures (radial pattern)
  - Cannot use the solar approach
- SHS images much fainter
- So:
  - Correlation reference obtained by averaging $O(10)$ WFS frames $\rightarrow$ depending on SNR
    - A different reference image for each sub-aperture
  - Update of reference slopes also required
    - Correlate new reference image with current reference image (for each sub-aperture)
    - Compute CoG of this correlation (for each sub-aperture)
    - Add these values to the current reference slopes
  - Successful update of reference image and slopes while loop closed
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Canary correlation details

- We use FFT-based correlation
  - Zero-padding necessary for extreme elongation
    - i.e. at the edge of pupil
    - Otherwise correlated image is biased
  - But this introduces extra computation
    - And is unnecessary when spot size is small
  - So we allow zero padding extent to be defined on a per-sub-aperture basis
    - Fast enough for Solar AO systems (20x20 subaps, 2kHz)
- WFS mode defined on a per-sub-aperture basis
  - Allows different techniques for NGS and LGS
Correlation: With no padding
4 pixel padding
8 pixel padding
Wavefront control

- MVM is the standard reconstructor for CANARY
  - SCAO → conventional least squares using SVD
  - GLAO (open-loop) → simple averaging off-axis WFS
  - MOAO → Learn and Apply algorithm
  - A GPU option is available and used on-sky
    - But not necessary for CANARY
Additional wavefront control

- Dynamically loadable modules allows rapid change between algorithms
  - And development while on-sky
- LQG: optimal control
  - Used in SCAO and MOAO modes
    - With and without LGS
  - Significant performance improvement seen when compared with L&A
  - State vector of size 238 for SCAO, ~800 for MOAO
CuRe and DiCuRe

- Also tested for SCAO
- Results in a later talk
Mobile DARC

- First instance of AO on a phone?
Mobile DARC

- First instance of AO on a phone?
Other Canary systems

- Dual quad-core AMD server (2008 vintage)
  - Main RTCS system
  - SFPDP for WFS input (4 NGS, 1/4 LGS)
  - DM output by socket to a DMC PC
    - Also running DARC for a figure sensor
    - Mirror control using a COTS 96 channel DAC card
- 2 PCs for non-real-time tasks (GUIs, data processing, statistics, storage etc)
- DARC also used for:
  - Science camera
  - LGS acquisition camera
  - LGS beam steering camera
  - Separate operation of LGS WFS
    - Allowing simultaneous NGS MOAO operation and LGS tweaking/optimisation
    - Consistent interface for all cameras
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Offload loops

- Telescope autoguider
  - Offload based on:
    - mean WFS slope from one/all NGS WFS
    - WFS Flux
    - Tip/tilt of wavefront
    - Tip/tilt mirror demands
    - Truth sensor slopes no good when loop closed
      - Automagically swaps to mirror demands
    - Sensibly handles situations where no data arrives
    - And when flux is too low
    - And when the telescope is no longer autoguiding!

- Laser steering mirror
  - Using LGS slope measurements
  - Taking not of flux

- Laser beam combination
  - Itself a close loop AO system on M2

- Laser asterism rotation
  - Using 4-LGS slope measurements
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Canary results

Comparison between SCAO-MOAO-GLAO on A47
(08-28-2012)
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Canary results

- K-Band: 2.2 μm
- H-Band: 1.65 μm
- J-Band: 1.28 μm
Canary results
Notes

- Lots of fancy algorithms used in CANARY
- All helped to improve performance
- Can such complexity scale to the E-ELT?
  - We know we can scale basic AO for most E-ELT instruments
    - Including MAORY, EAGLE
    - Calibration (DN,BG,FF), WCoG, MVM
    - Using a small number of PCs/GPUs
  - But haven't yet studied performance with these extra algorithms
Ongoing work

- Implementation of robustness algorithms
  - Single button AO
- Other reconstructors for CANARY
  - Shop open for tests...!
- Implementation of full DARC pipeline in GPU
  - While maintaining the full feature set
Conclusions

- A number of complex algorithms all helped improve CANARY performance