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E-ELT
Delta-Phase-B Trade-Off

~ D⁶: direct imaging of exoplanets

~ D⁴: AO-limited observation, e.g. stellar spectroscopy in Virgo Cluster galaxies

~ D²: photon-limited observations, e.g. cosmic expansion → CODEX

~ D¹: resolution limited obs., e.g. resolved stellar populations

~ D⁰: RV research of exoplanets
MICADO

• 3 mag sensitivity gain with AO
• reach e.g. tip of RGB in Virgo galaxies
• star clusters in z=2 galaxies
• intermediate mass black holes in GC

HARMONI

ELT-MOS (!)
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ABSTRACT

The difficult art of stellar photometry in crowded fields is currently undergoing a surge of popularity, and a number of different computer programs for deriving photometric information from two-dimensional digital images are currently in use. This paper describes one such program, DAOPHOT, which was written and continues to be developed at the Dominion Astrophysical Observatory. Emphasis is placed on the various types of philosophical and technical complications which arise when accurate photometry is sought for blended stellar images, and on the mathematical algorithms with which DAOPHOT attempts to deal with these complications, rather than on details of the coding. Some ways in which DAOPHOT resembles or differs from other similar programs are mentioned, and a discussion is presented of known shortcomings of the current program as well as possibilities for future improvement.
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ABSTRACT

We describe a new method of extracting the spectra of stars from observations of crowded stellar fields with integral field spectroscopy (IFS). Our approach extends the well-established concept of crowded field photometry in images into the domain of 3-dimensional spectroscopic data cubes. The main features of our algorithm follow. (1) We assume that a high-fidelity input source catalogue already exists, e.g. from HST data, and that it is not needed to perform sophisticated source detection in the IFS data. (2) Source positions and properties of the point spread function (PSF) vary smoothly between spectral layers of the datacube, and these variations can be described by simple fitting functions. (3) The shape of the PSF can be adequately described by an analytical function. Even without isolated PSF calibrator stars we can therefore estimate the PSF by a model fit to the full ensemble of stars visible within the field of view. (4) By using sparse matrices to describe the sources, the problem of extracting the spectra of many stars simultaneously becomes computationally tractable. We present extensive performance and validation tests of our algorithm using realistic simulated data cubes that closely reproduce actual IFS observations of the central regions of Galactic globular clusters. We investigate the quality of the extracted spectra under the effects of crowding with respect to the resulting signal-to-noise ratios (S/N) and any possible changes in the continuum level, as well as with respect to absorption line spectral parameters, radial velocities, and equivalent widths. The main effect of blending between two nearby stars is a decrease in the S/N in their spectra. The effect increases with the crowding in the field in a way that the maximum number of stars with useful spectra is always ~0.2 per spatial resolution element. This balance breaks down when exceeding a total source density of one significantly detected star per resolution element. We also explore the effects of PSF mismatch and other systematics. We close with an outlook by applying our method to a simulated globular cluster observation with the upcoming MUSE instrument at the ESO-VLT.

Key words. methods: data analysis – techniques: imaging spectroscopy – globular clusters: general

Shaping E-ELT Science and Instrumentation, ESO, Feb 28, 2013
1. Crowded Field Photometry
Hesser et al. 1987

47 Tuc
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Anderson et al. 2008

ω Cen (HST/ACS)
2. Crowded Field 3D Spectroscopy

- early experiments
Crowded-field 3D spectroscopy

Figure 1. Crowded field 2D spectroscopy of a nebula.
The greyscale image represents the continuum, and a line surface brightness in Hα. Integrated spectra from a point source (m₅ ≈ 18) and a nearby H II region are plotted on the right. The example demonstrates how the subtraction of the nebular emission would have been underestimated from slit spectroscopy, taking e.g. background values from above and below the star with a vertically oriented slit. MPFS data kindly provided by S. Fabrika, V. Afanasiev, S. Dodonov (SAO).

"Crowded-field 2D spectroscopy: promise and limitations"
presented at
"Imaging the Universe in Three Dimensions"
Walnut Creek, March 1999
Crowded-field 3D spectroscopy

gravitational lens HE 0435-1223

Crowded-field 3D spectroscopy

Wisotzki et al. (2003), Integral-field spectroscopy of the quadruple QSO HE 0435-1223: Evidence for microlensing, A&A 408, 455
Crowded field 3D spectroscopy of LBV candidates in M 33
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Fig. 1. a) MPFS map of B416 at 6000 Å (spatial sampling 1 arcsec, the FOV is 16 × 15 arcsec²) and the same field b) from the HST WFC2 image. An enlarged fraction of this field from the INTEGRAL in the same wavelength c) and the corresponding enlarged HST field d). Note the different orientation of the MPFS and INTEGRAL fields.
Crowded-field 3D spectroscopy

Planetary Nebulae in M31

Roth et al. (2005), ApJ 603, 531
Crowded-field 3D spectroscopy

Standard Star HR 1544

Roth et al. (2005), ApJ 603, 531
3. Crowded Field 3D Spectroscopy

- recent progress
  (thesis Sebastian Kamann)
PMAS at the Calar Alto 3.5m Telescope
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PMAS at the Calar Alto 3.5m Telescope
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PMAS at the Calar Alto 3.5m Telescope

M3

HST image

PMAS data
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PSF-fitting crowded field 3D spectroscopy, assumptions:

(1) a priori knowledge of stellar centroids

(2) smooth variation of centroids and FWHM between datacube layers (fitted by polynomials)

(3) PSF adequately described by analytical function

(4) Use of sparse matrices for source description (to make source extraction numerically tractable)
Crowded field 3D spectroscopy: Kamann et al. 2013

Modelling the Point Spread Function (PSF):

\[ \hat{x} = (x - x^o) \cos \theta - (y - y^o) \sin \theta, \]

\[ \hat{y} = (x - x^o) \sin \theta + (y - y^o) \cos \theta, \]

\[ r(x, y) = \sqrt{\hat{x}^2 + \left( \frac{\hat{y}}{1 - e} \right)^2} \]

\[ M(x, y) = \Sigma_0 \left( 1 + \left( \frac{r(x, y)}{r_d} \right)^2 \right)^{-\beta} \]

\[ FWHM = 2 \sqrt{2^{1/\beta} - 1} r_d \]

Moffat Function
Crowded field 3D spectroscopy: Kamann et al. 2013

Global Model:

observed datacube:

model datacube:

minimization:
Crowded field 3D spectroscopy: Kamann et al. 2013

Recovering the PSF throughout the entire datacube:
Crowded field 3D spectroscopy: Kamann et al. 2013

Simulation of PMAS datacubes with 47 Tuc data

→ evidence for IMBH?

**Input:**
- HST photometry Sarajedini et al. 2007, Anderson et al. (2008)
- log g, Teff from isochrone 13 Gyr, z=0.0045, Marigo et al. (2008)
- library spectra from Munari et al. (2005)
- random velocities, normal distribution (σ = 10 km/s)
Crowded field 3D spectroscopy: Kamann et al. 2013

Simulations, recovering the PSF:

\[ \Delta \text{FWHM} \% \]
\[ \Delta \beta \% \]

1-2 %

10 %
Crowded field 3D spectroscopy: Kamann et al. 2013

Simulations, recovering the centroid:

\[ \Delta x/\text{FWHM}_{PSF} \]

\[ \Delta y/\text{FWHM}_{PSF} \]

\( \sim 1\% \)
Crowded field 3D spectroscopy: Kamann et al. 2013

Simulations, blending effects for various levels of contrast:

S/N affected by blending

continuum affected by blending
Crowded field 3D spectroscopy: Kamann et al. 2013

Simulations, blending effects:

Equivalent widths:

Radial velocities:
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Crowded field 3D spectroscopy: Kamann et al. 2013

M13
HST/ACS, F606W
PMAS, seeing = 1.5″

M92
HST/ACS, F606W
PMAS, seeing = 1.0″

NGC362
HST/ACS, F606W
ARGUS, seeing = 0.8″
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50 vrad + 161 from literature 17 excl.

M3

HST/ACS, F606W
PMAS, whitelight

M92

HST/ACS, F606W
PMAS, whitelight

77 vrad + 308, 23 excl.

MUSE FoV

80 vrad + 256 from literature 40 excl.
4. Crowded Field 3D Spectroscopy

- and the (very near) future
Crowded field 3D spectroscopy: Kamann et al. 2013

MUSE simulations (0.8 arcsec seeing)
Crowded field 3D spectroscopy: Kamann et al. 2013

MUSE simulations (0.8 arcsec seeing)

total MUSE FoV: ~ 5000 stars!

580 stars deblended

610 stars deblended
5. Crowded Field 3D Spectroscopy

- in nearby galaxies
Crowded field 3D spectroscopy in nearby galaxies

► LBV candidate in M33

Fabrika et al. 2005

slit spectroscopy

IFU
Crowded field 3D spectroscopy in nearby galaxies

- planetary nebulae in M31

Roth et al. 2005
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6. Crowded Field 3D Spectroscopy

- and MOS
CALIFA Survey:
Sample: 600 galaxies of all Hubble types
Spatial coverage to >2*re, resolution ~1 kpc
Spectral coverage from [OII] to [SII]
Spectral resolution 85 km/s in blue (150 in red)
Stellar populations, gas kinematics, star formation rates

Husemann, B. et al. 2013, A&A 549, 87
Kinematic Classification

Falcon-Barroso et al., in prep.
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Kinematic Classification

Falcon-Barroso et al., in prep.
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Future IFU-MOS surveys (~5,000 galaxies)

SAMI (AAO)

Fogarty et al. 2012

MaNGA (AS3)

NGC 2916
(data from recent test run)
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ELT-MOS pathfinder at VLT: FIREBALL concept

FIREBALL Baseline Parameters

- FLAMES OzPoz patrol field with 26' diameter FoV
- 90 hexabundle IFUs, each with ~5” diameter FoV
- Hexabundles: 61 fibres, 0.6” projected fibre core diameter
- 6 spectrographs, adapted for fibre-feed, R~1200-2100
- free spectral range: 430-850nm (goal: blue extension)
- total throughput goal: 30%
- sensitivity: R ~19.8 survey limit, resulting in 100-160 galaxies per FLAMES field at median z ~ 0.2; typical half-light sizes for disk galaxies 2”-6” diameter
- detector head, NGC CCD controller, vacuum/cooling system adapted from MUSE
- individual spectrograph shutters
- no moving parts other than shutters + fibre positioner
- retain full existing facility and utilise as much FLAMES infrastructure as practical
ELT-MOS...

...and crowded field spectroscopy!