



Fig. 3: Colour-magnitude diagram for selected X-ray point sources in the Pavo field.

our understanding of the source content. Unfortunately 13 of these X-ray sources have candidates in the error boxes 21 magnitude or fainter, which are at present beyond the reach of currently available spectroscopic equipment in the southern hemisphere. One is therefore forced to resort to statistical arguments to make further progress.

A statistical test comparing the proportion of red and yellow stars in the X-ray candidates and in the field stars shows that a much higher proportion of the X-ray candidates belongs to the yellow population. However, because we have only 17 stellar objects as candidates in the yellow population, we cannot conclude that the number-magnitude relationship is significantly different from that found for the yellow field stars. One sees this effect in Figure 3. Thus far, one has shown only that a reasonably high proportion of these candidates *could* be quasars. The statistics of small numbers does not preclude the possibility that a reasonably high number could be galactic stars. One can argue that number counts for all quasars provide sufficient objects to account for all the X-ray candidates. This is a necessary but not sufficient condition in this statistical approach, since there are also enough yellow field stars to do the same trick! Similarly, an argument based on the ratio of X-ray to optical luminosity of the candidates is only strong enough to be consistent with their being quasars, but does not rule out yellow stars as possible sources. One has noted also that the average colour of the candidates is bluer than the average colour of the yellow field stars. Even if this is a statistically significant result, it is premature to draw strong conclusions from it without knowing what the average colour of faint X-ray stars might be. Finally, one should not overlook the fact that Warwick *et al.* (*Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **190**, 243, 1980) have observed a 2.5 to 7.2 per cent anisotropy in the X-ray background which is consistent with galactic stars in an extended halo providing a considerable proportion of the candidates.

Radio observations at 6 cm with the Parkes 64-m telescope detected only one source associated with the X-ray identifications. This was one of the four quasars and it has an inverted

spectrum. Thus no unusual radio properties have been observed.

It is now apparent that use of the Pavo deep field survey to settle questions of the X-ray background requires more detailed knowledge of 12 to 14 candidates for which spectra are lacking. Until the Space Telescope is in operation the best possibility for achieving this seems to be multi-colour observations with broad or intermediate band filters capable of distinguishing quasars from stars, and a sensitive linear detector.

The Medium Survey

At this stage the optical observations and analysis of sources detected in the medium survey are continuing, and may eventually lead to stronger conclusions than the deep field surveys have provided. When all the results are combined there should be well over 100 source identifications from both hemispheres to be discussed and evaluated. In the meantime a zoo of interesting identifications is expanding. Members of this zoo include quasars, Seyfert galaxies, clusters of galaxies, BL Lac objects and stars.

Only one BL Lac object has been found in an optical identification programme for a complete sample of *faint* X-ray sources. Since this one object represents 2 per cent of the total content, which is less than the 7 per cent content found for brighter X-ray sources, one can say that BL Lac objects do not evolve similarly to quasars and Seyfert galaxies! These latter objects change their contribution from 41 to 74 per cent over the same range of decrease of X-ray flux. Given this trend it seems probable that BL Lac objects do not contribute significantly to the soft X-ray background. Their evolutionary trend is rather similar to that shown by clusters of galaxies, where only weak cosmological evolution is apparent.

The work described in a qualitative way above results from collaborations with various combinations of the following astronomers: R. E. Griffiths, J. Bechtold, R. Giacconi, S. S. Murray, P. Murdin, M. Smith, H. McGillivray, M. Ward, J. Lub, B. Peterson, A. Wright, M. Batty, D. Jauncey, D. Malin, J. Stocke, J. Liebert, H. Stockman, T. Maccacaro, D. Kunth, H. de Ruiter.

List of Preprints Published at ESO Scientific Group

September – November 1981

169. E. A. Valentijn and R. Giovanelli: 21 cm Line Observations of cD Galaxies. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*. September 1981.
170. A. C. Danks: A Carbon Star in the Globular Cluster Lindsay 102. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*. September 1981.
171. G. F. Gahm and J. Krautter: On the Absence of Coronal Line Emission from Orion Population Stars. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*. September 1981.
172. E. A. Valentijn: The 1919 + 479 Radio Tail, a Moving Galaxy within an Accumulated Gaseous Halo. Proc. IAU Symp. No. 97 "Extragalactic Radio Sources". September 1981.
173. P. A. Shaver: The Radio Morphology of Supernova Remnants. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*. November 1981.
174. A. Sandage and G. A. Tammann: Steps toward the Hubble Constant VIII. The Global Value. *Astrophysical Journal*. November 1981.
175. S. D'Odorico and M. Rosa: Wolf-Rayet Stars in Extragalactic H II Regions: Discovery of a Peculiar WR in IC 1613/#3. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*. November 1981.
176. K. R. Anantharamaiah, V. Radhakrishnan and P. A. Shaver: On the Statistics of Galactic H I Clouds. Proc. 2nd Asian-Pacific Regional Meeting of the IAU, Bandung, Indonesia, Aug. 24–29, 1981. November 1981.